OPINION ON THE PRESENT CONSTITUTIONAL SITUATION IN UKRAINE FOLLOWING THE ADOPTION OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SUPREME RADA OF UKRAINE AND THE PRESIDENT OF UKRAINE

on the basic principles of the organisation and functioning of the State power and local self-government pending the adoption of the new Constitution in Ukraine

adopted by the European Commission for Democracy through Law at its 24th Meeting 8-9 September 1995 on the basis of contributions from:

sson to Denocracy intough Law at its 2-4th vectoring o-contributions from:

Ms Anna MILENKOVA, Bulgaria
Mr Sergio BARTOLE, Italy
Mr Godert W. MAAS GEESTERANUS, Netherlands
Mr Cyril SVOBODA, Czech Republic
Mr Nicolay V. VITRUK, Russia
with a Memorandum by
Mr Oleksander LAVRYNOVYCH, Ukraine

The adoption of the Constitutional Agreement

- The Ukrainian authorities have taken the unusual step of concluding a Constitutional Agreement between the President and Parliament which for surposes serves as an interim Constitution. This is to be explained in the light of the recent history of Ukraine and the present political situation.
- 2. After laving declared the State sovereigny of Ukraine and the primery of its laws over those of the URSS in July 1990, the Ukrainan Parlament adopted the Declaration of Independence of Ukraine on 24 August 1991; this Declaration was confirmed by referendum on I December 1991.

Notwitstanding that the Declaration of July 1990 had provided for some principles which were in conflict with principles in the Ukrainian Constitutie 20 April 1978, that Constitution remained in force and was only partially amended for the particular purpose of creating the transition of Ukraine to communist regime to feedom, demoncary and the rule of law. Some further amendments, in respect of which the required mignify of from thire the total number of the People's Deputies of Ukraine was obtained, were subsequently approved, but the necessary consent has not been achieved completely new draft Constitution. Ukraine therefore still maintains in force the old narmeded socialistic Constitution.

- 3. The Supreme Rada of Ukraine and the President of Ukraine, which are the only two directly elected national bodies of Ukraine, decided to settle their differences by adopting a constitutional agreement on the basic principles of the organisation and functioning of the State power and local self-government in Ukraine pending the procedure annual at adopting the new Constitution. After difficulties and discussors, the agreement was approved by a law of the Supreme Rada and 1 later a compromise was adopted by law for its enforcement and for the approval in the future of the new Constitution. But neither the first Act nor the second one obtained the required majority of two thirds of the members of the Supreme Rada.
- 4. On the basis of the preamble of the Agreement, and according to the dispatches of the RIA news agency, both the majority of the Supreme Radia and the President recognise that the content of the 1978 constitutin (even in its amended teet) and that of the new law conflict in some parts. Nevertheless they apply the rules that, on the one land, the legislation of Ukrainer shall be effective in the part which is not contrary to the rules' of the rave law and, on the other hand, that "the provisions of the applicable constitution of Ukraine shall be effective only in the part which complies with the processor constitutional agreement," (art of 11 and 11 of the Agreement).
- As the Agreement has been adopted by law, it cannot be treated as a mere constitutional convention, that is a political agreement between the nerme elected bodies of the country on the ways of implementing the Constitution in force. But the failure to approve the law by the required majority the consequence that the old Constitution cannot be superseded by the new law. Nevertheless his was and is the objective of Parliament and of the sadient; perhig the procedured airred at the approval of the new Constitution, they agreed to apply the new principles set forth in the law 'On State were and local self-government in Unitary' on the basis of their good will, and though regard to the mental conversions and compromise.

The present position, then, is a transiony solution which does not imply the abrogation of the old Constitution but - instead - implies the stepension of its absorbering the State power and local self-government in Ukraine, or rather those rules which do not comply with the new principles. This solution is obviously based on a policial agreement, but the cortext of this agreement is not the new principles, but rather the decision of the government of the source in solution to settle their differences and to abide by principles which are generally accepted and have been adopted by a parliamentary law. It is not a solution respectful of the constitutional hierarchy of the sources of law provided for by the Ukrainian (constitution of April 1978. Nevertheless, it is a solution which comples with the principle of legality insofter as a brank the Ukrainian governing bodies to adhere to an identified and subtle statute acknowledge that there has been a rapture in Ukrainian constitutional continuity, but it is a transloory rapture only until such time as the full Eguilty of the normalite order is restored through the adoption of the new Constitution.

II. Assessment of the present constitutional situation

- The 1978 Constitution
- 6. The force of only a part of the old Constitution is suspended. For instance, its chapters 5 and 6 are still in force and shall be enforced to the extent that they do not contradict the constitutional Agreement, or rather comply with the Tilks is an important feature of the present constitutional order in Unknine because the Suprems Reads has not been able to adopt a new bill of rights since the Declarations of Ukranian sovereigny and independence.
- 7. In effect, the constitutional provisions on the fundamental rights, freedoms and duties of the citizens of Ulcanine are drailed in a very old fishioned way, respectful of the principles of socials law and especially of the theory of the miterial guarantee of rights and freedoms. Their main purpose is to entrust the State authorities with the obligation to create the material conditions for ensuring the enjoyment by citizens of their rights and freedoms. This arrangement implied, on the one hand, that the State authorities should focus on the material protection more than the legal and judicial guarantees of rights and freedoms and, on the other land, that their enjoyment at other terminent guarantees of these rights and freedoms were received to those with complet with the publical obligations of the socialst regime. An example of a wording of a furdamental freedom not computable with international standards is An 4.8 which milkes a possible to served yearst effection of expression and assembly.
- 8. Nevertheless the mirierance in force of these provisions, which are unaffected by the constitutional Agreement, can offer ground for interverious by the Constitutional Court when the law establishing this body is adopted in due course. Even if they are drafted according to the socialist theory of law, the constitutional Court when the law establishing this body is adopted in due course. Even if they are drafted according to the socialist theory of law, the constitutional positions concerning thatfurnerial rights and freedoms can constitute a basis for the pickal rivew of legislation in the fall. They could be corrected and integrated by some of the pickapies received in the Ustrainan legal order through the Declaration of successing weak and transitiony enteredirect and the constitutional system, but such an enteredirective would be a bridge to the adoption of rest statutes on the implementation of rights and freedoms and on their reception in the Ustrainian legal order through the signature and mitfication of international instruments in the field.
- The General Provisions of the Constitutional Agreement

9. The preamble only defines the purpose of the law as being 'desirous to reform State power on the principles of strict delinitation of functions between its legislative and executive branches as a necessary preceptiske for overcoming of economy, social and constitutional crisis. The peramble is select in talkful to the picturial power, bonetheless it is clear that judicial reforms to the fundamental preceptiske for the economic, political and social transition. This arroundy must be rectified in the preamble because the constitutional Agreement contains numerous sections dealing with judicial power, relating sections'.

ARTICLE 2

10. The beginning of Article 2, which provides that power belongs to the coughe and that the people are the sole source of power, corresponds to existed constitutional but doctors. If the article continued by undireg that the people excess the power both directle, it by preferredam and through the system of public and local self-government authorities. The accert is this put on direct democracy, following the doctrine of self-government prevailing during the preservoise period.

This may threaten the constitutional character of the system of government and endanger political stability. It is recommended that the structures of a representative political system be clearly established, and that at the same time various forms of direct participation by the people be foreseen.

ARTICLE 5

- 11. Paragraph 1 of this Article sets out the principle of the supremacy of harma rights. It is to be regretted that this is not taken up again, e.g. in Articles 43, 51 and 43 (with the exception of 3rt. 37). The Resistance superience shows that this paragraph can have practical importance for the work of the Constitutional court of Ukraine, in particular when applying Art. 17, No. 27.
- C. The Supreme Rada
- 12. The Agreement contains a mixture of various forms of government. While some parts retain certain features of the Soviet system, other parts introduce certain principles and constitutional arrangements typical for countries like Finare and the United States. There is no clear decision in fusion of a parliamentary or presidential form presidential forms presidential superment is far from being realised in its pure form. When establishing a new constitutional system particular attention has to be given to the form of government. Clarifying fits question would have enabled certain contradictions to be avoided.

13. It is not clear how the elections are to be conducted under a mixed majoritatine proportional system. The essence is that in fact every elections system is importatine proportional engineering. Generally, each system bear some electrical forther one, but one provide over the other. This paragraph must clarify which of the two systems will be adopted or whether in fact both elements will be adopted e.g. by introducing a second characteristic mass.

ARTICLE 7

14. This article provides that the Supreme Rada carries out its work in sessions of 2 types, ordinary and extraordinary, without defining the length of the sessions. This opens the door to the old Soviet practice of limiting the sessions of representative bodies to short periods destrued simply to inhiberstamp decisions already taken.

Experience shows that the legislative agenda of parliament tends to be overburdened during periods of transition, and it is therefore appropriate to provide for long-lasting sessions enabling the legislature to become an effective forum for public discussion of the fundamental questions of society.

Political practice in Bulgaria is instructive in this respect. The Constitution provides that the National Assembly acts continuously, and the Assembly is therefore in session during the whole year with the exception of brief Christmas and Easter holidays as well as one month in the summer.

ARTICLES 9 et seq.

- 15. The text provides for two kinds of organs at the top of the Supreme Rada:
- the Bureau of the Supreme Rada, composed of the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Supreme Rada of Ukraine, the chairmen of standing commissions, and the heads of parliamentary groups and factions in the Supreme Rada of Ukraine.
- the President/Chairman assisted by Vice Chairmen with more extensive competences.

This seems to be too much. It would be preferable to make a choice between the two classical systems of chairing a Parliament; collective bureau or speaker. In the former case, the Bareau would have to be made smaller to become more effective. In the latter case, a consultative body composed of the heads of parliamentary groups and standing committees should be set up.

The text also gives the Chairman powers not proper for the holder of such an office, in particular to submit together with the President of the Reput proposals for the appointment of the Chairman of the Constitutional Court as well as of half the judges. This confers too much power on the chairman and may nituce him to enter into competition with the President of the Republic. It is preferable that the Chairman acts only as an intermediary and the initiative in these cases like with deputies of parliamentary groups.

ARTICLES 13 and 14

16. The rules on the legal status of the Deputies will be contained in a separate law. Certain questions like parliamentary immunity and the character of the mandate of the Deputies should however be settled by the Constitution itself.

ARTICLE 15

17. The right to initiate legislation in the Supreme Rada of Ukraine is given to people's deputies, the standing commissions of the Supreme Rada, the President of Ukraine, the Cabinet of Ministers, the Supreme Court and the Highest Arbitration Court of Ukraine.

The Deputies certainly need to have this right. It is questionable whether it should be given to the Supreme Court and the Highest Arbitration Court Law-making is political by its nature and the judiciary should remain outside politics, concentrating on applying the laws.

Nor does it appear to be the best solution to give the right to initiate legislation both to the President and to the Cabinet of Ministers. This can lead to divergencies within the executive power as to the policies to be pursued. In general, the principle of Farmroys of the executive requires that only one organ submit datal lows to Parliamer. Perfeatably this would be the government size of its policially responsible before the Supreme Rada. As a compromise, draft laws might be prepared by the government but submitted to the Supreme Rada following presidential approval.

The procedure for urgent consideration of certain bills provided for in Art. 15, para 2, appears to be a good solution, enabling the executive to determine priorities and to pursue a steady and effective policy.

possibility to unitaterally change the rules of the game. At least there should be provision for different procedures and majorities for the adoption of the Constitution.

The Supreme Rada is empowered , following a rule already established by Art. 97, para. 19, of the old Constitution, to provide official interpretation of the Constitution, tows, codes and other codified acts. On the other hand, the courts are independent (article 37 par. 2) and they obey only the law (article 37 par. 2). The question is whether courts are bound to follow the official interpretation of the Supreme Rada, and more generally whether this represents the beginning and end of judicial independence. It does not seem national to give the Supreme Rada such a competence of interpretation if one sets up a Constitutional Court.

ARTICLE 17 No. 17

19. The power of the Rada to amounce the election of the President and accept his resignation is questionable. The Head of State derives his power directly from the ration as a whole and should therefore not depend on the legislature. The first function could be entrusted to the Central Electroal Cournission and the second to the Constitutional Court.

ARTICLE 17 No. 10

20. While Art 6 provides for 4 year mediace, tilabile may lead to the possibility of exercising pressure on Parimert, including pressure from non-constitutional books. If it is contentions whether the separation of powers requires a fixed mundate or allows early dissolation, dissolation should at least be limited to conflicts between the institutions. If one whiles to treat the possibility of expressible grounds for such as top should at least be enumerated at least the contention.

ARTICLE 17 No. 15 / ARTICLE 24 No. 9

21. The functions of the Defense Council should be clarified to avoid conflicts with the Council of National Security chained by the President (see Art. 24, para. 9).

ARTICLE 17 No. 17

22. It is questionable to have the Chairman of the Constitutional Court elected by the Supreme Rada. Experience in post-totalitarian States shows that this may politicise (and delay) not only the establishment but also the work of the Court, and that it places the Chairman in a difficult position, incompatible with the status and object of the Court.

ARTICLE 17 Nos. 18 and 20

23. The appointment of the highest judges is of particular importance. A question arises when we see that, under Nos. 18 and 20, the appointing authority (Parliament) is also competent to dismiss. No. 20 adds to this: "according to the procedure established by the law", but this addition is missing from No. 18 with respect to the chairman of the superno: court.

As is well-known, it is of the utmost importance in any democratic State that judges can perform their duties in absolute independence, i.e. independent in particular of government and Parliament. The mere possibility of dismissal for no other reason than that executive or legislative authorities are displaced at a judicial settence would impair the independence of judges.

Further examination of the dismissal procedures is therefore necessary

ARTICLE 17 No. 24

. To give the Supreme Rada the right to initiate referendums does not make much political sense. In using this power the legislature would and/on its own proper function. It would be better to give this possibility to the Head of State, who could use it in exercising his functions as an alminute. This is the practice of the Ferneth-Hiff Republic.

ARTICLE 17 No. 27

25. This veto power is not justified. The assessment of the constitutionality of decrees should be reserved to the Constitutional Court. One could foresee that the entry into force of decrees is suspended until the decision of the Constitutional Court.

ARTICLE 17 para. 4

26. The Russian experience shows the usefulness of this provision.

RELATIONS BETWEEN THE SUPREME RADA AND THE GOVERNMENT - Art. 17 para. 23, Art. 22, Art. 33

27. The accert should be put on the collective responsibility of the government, including the possibility of a vote of no-confidence in some members. Such a vote should require an absolute majority and not an ordinary majority. Parliamentary control mechanisms, like questions and interpolations, obtained the beneate and these should be disaggleded from sometiments, lead, a vote of no-confidence.

Consideration might be given to enabling the government to ask the Supreme Rada for a vote of confidence on certain occasions, e.g. when submitting a bill proposed by the government. This would allow the executive to put pressure on the Deputies and to pursue a continuous and effective policy.

The question whether the President should have the power to dissolve the Rada when it passes a vote of no-confidence in the government is obviously very controversial. From press reports, it appears that the non-existence of such a possibility was a precondition of the Rada's acceptance of the constitutional Acceptance.

There is also an antigagly concerning the relationship between Articles 22 and 33. On the one band, after the Programme of its Activity of the Concernment of Usanian has been emproved by the Supreme Bods of Usanian, the latter may express so distinct of the Government of Usanian no carlier than after or an expression of the Government and the Concernment and the C

D. The President

ARTICLE 23

28. The 2/3 majority of members of the Supreme Rada required to override a presidential veto on draft legislation is extremely high in the difficult period of transition of Ukariae. It may lead to a blocking of legislative activity and to conflicts between the institutions of the State. Consideration might be given to foreceeping that the vote on the overridated by an absolute majority of the members of the Supreme Rada.

ARTICLE 24 No. 2, ARTICLE 27 para. 2

29. According to Art. 24, No. 2, the President addresses messages to the people of Ukraine. According to Art. 27, para. 2, he may address messages on pressing issues to the people and to the Supreme Rada. Are these the same or different kinds of messages?

ARTICLE 24 No. 6

30. The President of Ukraine is empowered to repeal acts by central and local public executive authorities including acts by executive authorities of the Autonomous Republic of Crimca whenever they are incompatible with the Constitution and laws of Ukraine, or with decrees and orders of the President of Ukraine. This means that the President of Scenering a smalar to be a court of the highest rationare that does not with questions of law and not of fact. The problem is that there is no judical control over the President of Ukraine (i.e. executive). Traditional democratic constitutions grant this power to the judicating, i.e. to constitutional or ordinary courts.

ARTICLE 24 para. 2

31. This provision merits approval, but it should be qualified "except cases provided for by the Constitution of the Ukraine and the present law" (cf. Art. 17 para. 4).

32. The President of Ukraine is empowered to interpret decrees and orders which are binding on the whole territory of Ukraine. This could be acceptable if the interpretation only bound the executive. The right to bind the private sector (namely the citizens of Ukraine) properly belongs only to the judiciary. See also above the remarks on Art 17N⁻².

The power given to the President in para. 2 to enact decrees on economic reform not governed by the applicable legislation seems necess the Russian experience.

E. The Judiciary

ARTICLE 38 - The Constitutional Court

33. In envisaging the fither role of the Ukrainian Constitutional Court one has to be very prudent. From a strictly legal point of view, the Court cannot be entrasted with the task of checking the implementation of the constitution appearance. This would not the Court in the difficult position of legality with a state which contradists the Constitution in force without having been approved by the migraty required for the amendment of the design with a state which contradists the Constitution in force without having been approved by the migraty required for the amendment of the an intervention of the Constitutional Court is apparently untitakable. The provisions of the Agreement establish a constitutional couldn's an intervention of the Constitutional Court is apparently untitakable. The provisions of the Agreement establish a constitutional couldn's an intervention of the Constitutional Court is apparently untitakable. The provisions of the Agreement establish a constitutional couldn's an intervention of the Constitution is confirmed by the RIA news agency which has emphasised that Parliament, or rather the Supreme Rada, approved the agreement without adopting "larticles giving the president the right to disband Parliament and setting out a procedure for the impeachment of the President".

The interpretation of the Ukminian situation would have been certainly different if we had accepted the idea that because of the difficulties of a quick approval of the resv Constitution. The constitutional Agreement was approved with the purpose of completely substituting if for the old Constitution. In interested authorities would have predented to vest it with a legal force which it does not have. The Agreement should have been add as the new Ukmainan Constitution, and the Constitutional Court should not have been obliged to stick to the old herarchy of the sources of law and to recognise the primary role of the old Constitution.

But even in this hypothesis the Constitutional Court should have been entrusted with the task of the judicial review of legislation on the basis of the old constitutional provisorus concerning fundamental rights and freedoms. In any case, the content of the constitutional Agreement does not allow for an interpretation which ringsis the advantagion of the articles of the old Constitution in the matter.

ARTICLE 42

34. This article determines the appointment of judges. One clear constitutional principle of judicial independence is the term for which judges are appointed. The term should be of sufficient length so as to promote and protect the independence of judges. The constitutional Agreement does not provide such protects. See also the remarks on Art. 17 paras. 18-20 above.

ARTICLE 43

35. Within the norms of a democracy, the Prosecutor General's office is only empowered to act on behalf of the State.

The Office does not play any legal role in private law. Accordingly, article 43 (7) is inconsistent with this principle. The prosecutor's powers should be confined to protecting material and other interests of the State. Usually only the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives because the court of the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from their gives the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens and legal persons from the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens are the court of their gives are considered as a constant of the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens are constant of the courts are empowered to protect rights of citizens are constant of the courts are

Article 43 (2) is unclear as to the extent of the Prosecutor General's power; is his power confined to breaches of the legislation before the courts or does it extend to control of court decisions.

Article 43 is proof that the legal position and power of the Prosecutor General's Office is substantially the same as it was under the totalitarian regime ARTICLE 45

36. This article is inconsistent with article 43, in relation to the independence of prosecutors. They could not be independent on the one hand and be subcordinated to the Prosecutor General's Office on the other

F. Local self-government

ARTICLE 47 et seq.

There is no clear consecration of the principle of local self-government. These provisions give the impression that local authorities remain in a nilar position to that obtaining during the Soviet period, as part of the executive. It has to be admitted that questions of local self-government in post-vior! States have not been clarified in constitutional law theory, and that the imbeneration of local self-government is difficult in these States due to a similar position to t Soviet States have lack of experience.

The present constitutional situation in Ulcraine is arrhigaous, and this arrhigaty is reflected in some of the remarks made. The only possible solution was indeed the establishment of a transfery order with the partial suspension of the old constitutional bodies and the political commitment of the suprement and constitutional bodies in Section 10 procession and the solution of the suprement and constitutional bodies of the Agreement and another than the procession of the suprement and the legislative is an example of an attempt to reach a colision legal solution to problems, in the attempt of the present situation does not met all the standards of the Council of Europe, the signature and the indication (with internal implementation) of international instruments in the field of harms rights and fundamental freedoms by Ulcraine would help the establishment of a constitutional order in Ukraine coherent with the obligation of prepheneting demonstracting rights and freedoms and the right of like.

The text of the constitutional Agreement bears the marks of a period of transition, in many respects it represents admirable progress, but the future content of the constitutional law of Ukraine will have to provide for more stable and principled solutions, in particular:

the harman rights chapter will have to be in conformity with international standards, the independence of the judiciary will have to be fully sufguanted, and judicial functions reserved to the courts, the powers of prosecutors will have to be reduced to a level found in Western Europe. there will have to be stable rules which cannot be changed unilaterally by the participants in the political process.