

Alternative Voting Methods and Arrangements: Standards and Commitments

Alexander Shlyk, Head of ODIHR Election Department

13 November 2020

17th EMB Conference

Alternative? Why now?



- ❖ Sometimes commonly used, so not very alternative
- ❖ COVID – need to innovate, protect and safeguard turnout
- ❖ New technologies – not a short-term fix
- ❖ Methods:
 - ❖ POSTAL
 - ❖ EARLY
 - ❖ MOBILE (home and institution-based)
 - ❖ PROXY
- ❖ Arrangements
 - ❖ In and around polling stations

Standards and Commitments



- ❖ Same as for any other election

- ❖ Key standards:
 - ❖ Universal
 - ❖ Equal
 - ❖ Free
 - ❖ Secret
 - ❖ Accountable

- ❖ Additional:
 - ❖ Early introduction
 - ❖ Consultation
 - ❖ Inclusivity

Methods: Balance (what matters?)

- ❖ **Universality – key objective.**
- ❖ Postal – free? secret? equal? accountable?
- ❖ Proxy – secret? equal? free?
- ❖ Early – accountable?
- ❖ Mobile – free? secret?

Safeguards are key.
Practical (and financial) aspects matter!
Trust matters even more!



Arrangements: Balance (what matters?)

- ❖ **Safety -> Universality – key objective.**
- ❖ Location – equal?
- ❖ Layout – secret?
- ❖ Hours – equal?
- ❖ Queue management – free? secret?

Practice matters more than plans.



Conclusions



- ❖ Same standards and commitments apply
- ❖ Universal, equal, free, secret, accountable – key issues
- ❖ Sometimes not so alternative – chance to learn
- ❖ Balance of objectives:
 - ❖ In theory – close to impossible
 - ❖ Practical considerations – bring solutions
- ❖ Introduction matters for trust and practice
 - ❖ Timely/early
 - ❖ Consultation and inclusion