



Strasbourg, 26 May 2010

CDL-JU(2010)004 *

Study N° 538 / 2009

Or. Engl.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION FOR DEMOCRACY THROUGH LAW (VENICE COMMISSION)

PRELIMINARY DRAFT STUDY ON INDIVIDUAL ACCESS TO CONSTITUTIONAL JUSTICE

on the basis of comments by

Mr Gaguik HARUTYUNYAN (Member, Armenia)
Ms Angelika NUSSBERGER (Substitute Member, Germany)
Mr Peter PACZOLAY (Member, Hungary)

^{*}This document has been classified <u>restricted</u> on the date of issue. Unless the Venice Commission decides otherwise, it will be declassified a year after its issue according to the rules set up in Resolution CM/Res(2001)6 on access to Council of Europe documents.

Table of contents

1	INTE	RODU	CTION	4
2	APP	EAL	TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT	10
	2.1 REVIEW		DING IN SYSTEMS WITH CONCENTRATED OR SPECIAL TYPE OF CONSTITUT	TONAL
	2.2	TYPE	S OF ACCESS	11
	2.2.		Indirect access	
	2.2.2	2	Direct access	15
	2.3	THE S	SUBJECTS OF REVIEW	22
	2.4	PROT	ECTED RIGHTS	23
3	REV	IEW I	PROCEEDINGS	24
	3.1	CON	DITIONS FOR OPENING PROCEEDINGS ("FILTERS")	24
	3.1.		Time-limits for applications	
	3.1.2		Obligation to be legally represented	
	3.1.3		Court fees	
	3.1.4		Re-opening of cases	
	3.1.5		Abuse of the right to appeal to the Constitutional Court	
	3.1.6		Exhaustion of remedies	
	3.1.7		Complainant directly and currently affected by the violation	
	3.1.8		Complaint as a proper means to repair the complainant's grief	
	3.1.9 3.1.1		Written form	
	3.1.		Filters in preliminary ruling procedures	
	3.2	INTER	RVENTION, JOINDER OF SIMILAR CASES	27
	3.3	FURT	HER RELEVANT PROCEDURAL RULES	28
	3.3.	1 .	Adversary systems	28
	3.3.2		Publicity of the procedure	
	3.3.3	3	Conduct of oral proceedings	29
	3.4		RIM MEASURES	
	3.4.		Suspension of implementation	
	3.4.2		Stay of ordinary proceedings	
	3.4.3		Injunctive measures	
	3.5	Disc	ONTINUATION IF THE PETITION IS WITHDRAWN	31
	3.6	Disc	ONTINUATION IF THE CHALLENGED ACT LOSES VALIDITY	31
4	DEC	ISIO	N	32
	4.1	TIME	LIMITS FOR TAKING THE DECISION	32
	4.2	SCOF	PE OF THE DECISION	32
	4.2.	1	Scope of review	32
	4.2.2		Effect ratione personae	
	4.3	ТЕМЕ	PORAL EFFECTS OF THE DECISION	39
	4.3.		Ex tunc or ex nunc invalidation of an act	

	4.3.3	Moderations to the invalidations and their temporal effects	
	4.4 MA	TERIAL EFFECTS: CAPACITY OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURT TO ATTRIBUTE DAMAG	GES 42
5	OTHER	QUESTIONS	42
	5.1 DEL	IMITATION OF JURISDICTION BETWEEN CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AND ORDINAL	RY
	COURTS		42
	5.1.1	Review competences	43
	5.1.2	Binding force of the reasoning part of the judgment	44
	5.1.3	Obligation to put a preliminary request	45
	5.2 PRO	DBLEM OF DIRECT INDIVIDUAL ACCESS AND OVERBURDENING OF THE	
	CONSTITUT	IONAL COURT	46
	5.2.1	Pilot judgments or writs of certiorari	
	5.2.2	Changes in the organisation of the Constitutional Court	47
6	TABLES)	49
	6.1.1	Table 1 summarising the types of access	49
	6.1.2	Table: Time-limits for applications	51
	6.1.3	Table: Obligation to be legally represented	54
	6.1.4	Table: Exhaustion of remedies and exceptions	55
	6.1.5	Table: Preliminary ruling procedures	59
	6.1.6	Table: Joinder of similar cases	59
	6.1.7	Table: Adversary systems	61
	6.1.8	Table: Public proceedings and exceptions	64
	6.1.9	Table: Oral proceedings and exceptions	
	6.1.10	Table: Suspension of implementation	
	6.1.11	Table: Stay of ordinary proceedings	
	6.1.12	Table: Injunctive measures	
	6.1.13	Table: Extension of norms under review	
	6.1.14	Table: Erga omnes effect	
	6.1.15	Table: Confirmation of constitutionality	
	6.1.16	Table: Ex nunc or ex tunc effect of the Constitutional Court's decision	
	6.1.17	Table: Capacity of constitutional courts to attribute damages	
	6.1.18	Table: Authorisation to put a preliminary request	92
	6.2 Con	NSTITUTIONAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR INDIRECT AND DIRECT INDIVIDUAL ACCES	SS95
	6.2.1	Table: Indirect access: Ombudsperson	95
	6.2.2	Table: Indirect individual access: Preliminary requests	103
	6.2.3	Table: Direct individual access: Constitutional and legal bases	112
7	BIBLIO	GRAPHY	151
	7.1.1	Venice Commission documents	151
	7.1.2	Books and articles	152
	7.1.3	Institutional documents	154
	7.1.4	Information from the Constitutional or Supreme Courts' home pages	154
	7.1.5	Legal texts if not from CODICES	

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1. All over Europe and beyond, the past 60 years have brought about a fundamental shift in the importance of constitutional protection of Human Rights. Respect for Human Rights is now considered essential in any democratic society¹. Consequently, mechanisms allowing the individual to directly or indirectly invoke these rights conferred upon him/her in court are becoming increasingly important.
- 2. The purpose of this draft study is to provide an overview of the existing mechanisms in the Venice Commission's member and observer states so as to contribute to a better understanding of the great variety of adopted solutions, but also to analyse the merits of the various systems.
- 3. The draft study presently draws from the constitutions and legal texts provided in the CODICES database of the Venice Commission². The Venice Commission is grateful to the liaison officers for their contribution to the Bulletin on Constitutional Case-law, the database and the present study.
- 4. In the present paper, we suggest use of the following definitions³:
- 5. "Constitutional jurisdiction means judicial institutions and procedures instituted for the purpose to guarantee the observation of the constitutional order of a state."
- 6. By constitutional review we understand the power of a court to control a [legislative act / lower-ranking act] for conformity with the Constitution⁵ and to declare the former legally null⁶ or inapplicable in the case of incompatibility.
- 7. Under the title "individual access to constitutional justice" we summarise all the different mechanisms allowing violations of constitutionally guaranteed rights of individuals alone or together with others to be brought before a constitutional court or equivalent body. Basically, two different types can be discerned: indirect and direct individual access. Indirect access means here that the individual question reaches the Constitutional Court for adjudication through the intermediary of another body, whereas direct access comprises all legal means given to the individual to directly petition to the Constitutional Court without the intervention of a third body

¹ <u>CDL-STD(1995)015</u>, The protection of fundamental rights by constitutional courts, Science and Technique of Democracy, no. 15

⁴ <u>CDL-STD(1993)002</u>, H. Steinberger, *Models of constitutional jurisdiction*, Science and Technique of Democracy, no. 2.

⁵ <u>CDL-INF(2001)009</u> Decisions of constitutional courts and equivalent bodies and their execution. It should be noted that the question of community law as a standard of review is entirely left aside in this report as it applies only to half of the states under consideration.

² CODICES can be ordered on CD-ROM or found online on www.codices.coe.int. However, some texts are not published in CODICES: For Serbia, the old version of the Law on the Constitutional Court has been used. For San Marino, the revised version of the Declaration of Citizens' Rights has been used. Secretariat translations have been done in relation to provisions of Chile, Peru, Argentina, San Marino, Uruguay. The laws of Luxemburg and Monaco have been kept in their original French versions. References to all legal texts that have been used that are not included in CODICES can be found in the bibliography.

³ These definitions only serve as guidance to determine the scope of this paper without purporting to give any judicial answer to some complicated terminological questions.

⁶ A. Cavari, "Between Law and Politics: Constitutional Review of Legislation" *Paper presented at the annual meeting of The Law and Society Association, Renaissance Hotel, Chicago, Illinois*, May 27, 2004, in: <a href="http://www.allacademic.com/one/www/www/index.php?cmd=www_search&offset=0&limit=5&multi_search_search_mode=publication&multi_search_publication_fulltext_mod=fulltext&textfield_submit=true&search_module=multi_search_&search_search_field=title_idx&fulltext_search=Between+Law+and+Politics%3A++Constitutional+Review+of+Legislation, accessed 4 May 2009

8. In view of better legibility of this study, "Constitutional Court" will be used as a generic term to describe constitutional courts, tribunals, councils, and, if not specified otherwise, other supreme courts which have been identified as fulfilling the functions of constitutional courts.

1.1.1.1 Existence of a - written - Constitution

- 9. Many authors suggest that the existence of a written Constitution is the first prerequisite for constitutional review⁸; and, consequently also for individual access to constitutional justice if no written text is being given a specific status (primacy), there would be no need and no possibility for an organ whatsoever, the Parliament or the courts, to distinguish between legal and constitutional affairs and thus to conduct review of the former using the latter as review standard which could then lead to annulment of the ordinary law. However, some countries have often in addition to a written Constitution unwritten or customary constitutional law⁹, or at least unwritten constitutional principles¹⁰, which can serve as review standard. Of the Venice Commission's member and observer states, one, the United Kingdom, doesn't have a formal, hierarchically distinguished Constitution. This means that simple laws cannot be reviewed on their compatibility or conformity with a written Constitution¹¹. Even in the absence of a written Constitution, however, some authors suggest that the 1998 UK Human Rights Act serves as a basis for a constitutional protection of human rights and that in the United Kingdom "constitutional review" or "secondary, average constitutional review" exists¹².
- 10. All other member and observer states of the Venice Commission¹³ base their legal system on a written Constitution, or, as is the case in Israel, on documents which have semi-constitutional rank¹⁴, which are considered the "supreme law of the land", the top of the hierarchy

⁷ CDL-INF(2001)009 Decisions of constitutional courts and equivalent bodies and their execution

http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/ricercaleggi/vislegge.php3?action=visTestoLegge1&idlegge=6175&twid th=580&=, accessed 20 February 2009). The judges of the *Collegio* also have the power to deliver final decisions in civil, administrative and penal cases as single judges (see http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/index.php3, Article 26).

See, for instance, J.-F. Flauss, "Human Rights Act 1998: Kaléidoscope", in: *Revue française de droit constitutionnel* No 48 2001/4, P.U.F., Paris, p. 695 f., or P. Pernthaler, *Allgemeine Staatslehre und Verfassungslehre*, 2nd rev. ed., Springer Verlag, Vienna, 1996, p. 174

⁹ Korea: Constitutional Court, "Relocation of the Capital Case", no. 2004, Hun-Ma554-566 of 21.10.2004, CODICES: KOR-2004-3-003

KOR-2004-3-003. ¹⁰ Austria: fundamental principles, a change of which would entail a total revision of the Constitution (Article 44.3 of the Constitution) and which the Constitutional Court even uses as a standard for substantial review of constitutional amendments, see decision of 11.10.2001, VfSlg. G12/00, CODICES: AUT-2001-3-005.

However, the Human Rights Act of 1998 has to some point been given supra-legislative value as courts should assess the compatibility of a law with this act and make a declaration of incompatibility of a law with this act and make a declaration of incompatibility of a law with this act and make a declaration of incompatibility of a law with this act and make a declaration of incompatibility of a law with this act and make a declaration of incompatibility of a law with this act and make a declaration of incompatibility of a law with this act and make a declaration of independent of the Parliament whose formal sovereignty is only partly challenged through this system. Judicial protection of fundamental rights is gaining importance in the UK. In addition, legality review (review of individual and general administrative acts in relation to Acts of Parliament including fundamental rights) has been taking more and more space since the 1970s and the common law system provides a number of principles some of which might be considered as part of "unwritten constitutional law".

¹² D. Fontana, "Secondary Constitutional Review: American Lessons from the New British System of Constitutional Review", in: http://www.allacademic.com/meta/p178285_index.html; A. Kavanagh, Constitutional Review Under The UK Human Rights Act, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

¹³ Since the 2002 amendments to the Declaration of Citizens' Rights and of the fundamental principles of the San Marinese legal order, San Marine also seems to have a written Constitution. Before, the Declaration, together with the Statutes dating from 1600, could hardly be called a Constitution, but gave rise nevertheless to a certain review of compliance of normative acts with the principles: Ordinary courts had to refer the question of compatibility to the Great and General Council (Article 16 Declaration of Citizens' Rights and of the fundamental principles of the San Marinese legal order). The 2002 amendments seem to give the Declaration even clearer supra-legislative value in that not only special quota for its revision are required, but a "Collegio Garante" of the "constitutionality" – the use of this term is another indication for the quality of the legal document at hand – of norms is instituted. This Collegio Garante reviews the constitutionality of laws, and other acts having the force of law with respect to the Constitution, at the initiative of certain state organs and also in a preliminary ruling procedure initiated by an ordinary court or a party to a process.

See http://www.knesset.gov.il/laws/special/eng/basic8_eng.htm

of norms. This supremacy manifests itself formally amongst others in specific rules of creation, for instance through higher quota for their adoption, and/or materially in that Constitutional norms should contain provisions of particular importance for the functioning of the state and the protection of the individual. Such a written document needs to be protected lest it loses its supremacy: It is insufficient to merely impose that all normative acts in a country, especially laws, should respect the Constitution. The legislator's or executive's incapacity or unwillingness to comply with this obligation should be sanctionable in the sense that their acts need to be reviewed and possibly invalidated if they are unconstitutional. The level of protection and the techniques employed to protect the supremacy of the Constitution varies significantly in the states this report deals with according to various factors. Some of them reflect the historical development of the state and the constitutional order sometimes with long periods of authoritarian or totalitarian rule, the moment of promulgation of a new Constitution, or the legal tradition of a state as a common law or civil law system.

11. In so as far as individual access to constitutional justice is concerned constitutional review is exclusively or at least dominantly focussed on human rights. Therefore, as stated in the French Constitution of 1791, in order to be relevant for individual access, the constitutional texts must necessarily contain a catalogue of human rights, either as part of the text or as an annex.

1.1.1.2 Historical background

- 12. Many authors have attempted to create ideal-types of constitutional justice by classifying legal systems according to the existence of a Constitutional Court, its competences, the type and moment of review of legal acts. Most commonly, an "American model" is presented as being opposed to a "European" or "Austrian" model, which again is distinct from the "French" model of a priori review. However, especially as recent Constitutions often contain elements of various models, this report will not overemphasise models of constitutional jurisdiction, but compare element by element the national solutions related to individual access.
- 13. The historical and legal context of the respective creation of constitutional review explains legislators' choices.
- 14. At the beginning of the 17th century the idea of constitutional review was credited with the activity of the Privy Council of Great Britain which invalidated the acts of colony legislatures if they contradicted the laws adopted by the British Parliament for those colonies or common law. The first state to introduce constitutional control was the United States with the famous 1803 *Marbury vs. Madison* case, which opened a path to constitutional control for the citizens. In the postcolonial United States, the concept of natural law (and thus of legal hierarchy) and the idea of social contract where the citizen may demand that the government fulfil its obligations were very present. On a more institutional basis, the threat of upcoming institutional conflicts and deviators in a system of vertical separation of powers made visible the necessity to construct a framework to avoid such clashes. The common law character of the American legal system, a heritage of the past as British colonies, explains the introduction of a diffuse system of review (see below), even if the American Supreme Court has extended its powers through legal practice so that it now holds a relatively strong position in the system of checks and balances.
- 15. In Europe, the German Constitution of 1849 ("Paulskirchenverfassung") first provided explicitly for an individual constitutional complaint in § 126 lit. g¹⁵, which, however, never entered into force. In France, Belgium and Switzerland comparable models were discussed, but not implemented either. In Austria in 1867 in Article 3 lit. b Staatsgrundgesetz the Reichsgericht

¹⁵ "Zur Zuständigkeit des REichsgerichts gehören … Klagen deutscher Staatsbürger wegen Verletzung der durch die Reichsverfassung ihnen gewährten Rechte."

was declared competent to adjudicate complaints of citizens based on the violation of their constitutionally guaranteed rights.

- 16. In the 20th century, Kelsen's model of concentrated review vested a single court with the competence to remove unconstitutional acts from the legal order, upon application only by authorised constitutional bodies.
- 17. The constitutional settings and in particular the constitutional courts' practice after World War II reflect the paradigm shift towards protection of individual Human Rights to be operated by one of the constitutional powers (the courts, or a separate Constitutional Court).
- 18. Almost all civil law countries chose to give the power of constitutional review to a specific court that is either on the top of the judicial system or situated outside the judiciary. It is quite clear that this challenges the authority of the Parliament, and might lead to fear of a "government of judges": The Constitutional Court can void acts of Parliament without being directly elected and responsible before the constituents (the people). Exceptions to this general principle, however, are present in some countries outside Europe: pursuant to Article 79 of the Constitution of Japan, the appointment of the judges of the Supreme Court shall be reviewed by the people at the first general election of members of the House of Representatives following their appointment. In the above-mentioned cases, if the majority of the voters favours the dismissal of a judge, he or she shall be dismissed. The Netherlands and France have traditionally held a hostile posture towards constitutional review. In the Netherlands, which are a civil law country, the Parliament has always had such a high status that they proscribed the constitutional review of parliamentary acts and rely on the self-restriction of the Parliament in the creation of laws in relation to the Constitution, even if Article 120 of the Netherlands Constitution is being revised currently. It is interesting to note that the protection of human rights has been reinforced with the help of international law, as international human rights could be directly referred to in invalidating national laws. Likewise, France has introduced a posteriori review of constitutionality of the law and thereby veers away from its traditional respect of the rigid separation of powers¹⁶.
- 19. The Latin American states most often reflect a strong American influence with diffuse review and a strong Supreme Court (e.g. Brazil, Mexico). Some have opted for a specialised Constitutional Court (e.g. Peru, Chile).

1.1.1.3 Diffuse vs. concentrated review

20. The oldest model of constitutional review is the American one, characterised by diffuse, incidental control, which offers an direct individual access to constitutional justice as the individual can directly address the problem of unconstitutionality before a court. Ordinary courts are entitled to assess the constitutionality of a legal norm or of an individual act; this means that the ordinary judge doesn't apply a norm he or she considers unconstitutional. This has the advantage that the complainant doesn't need to endure lengthy procedures before a Constitutional Court. On the other hand, it has the inconvenient that courts treat constitutional and legal matters at the same time, which can lead to incoherence in interpretation operated by the various courts, especially if cases are not appealed at the Supreme Court¹⁷. This can lead to a problem of legal certainty.

¹⁶ See French Constitutional Law of 23 July, 2008

¹⁷ M. Kau, Bundesverfassungsgericht und US Supreme Court: Die Bedeutung des United States Supreme Court für die Errichtung und Fortentwicklung des Bundesverfassungsgerichts, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2007, p.304 f. Also, the example of Marbury vs. Madison was quickly followed by Monaco and Norway.

- 21. H. Kelsen developed the model of concentrated review in the Austrian Constitution of 1920¹⁸. Especially in countries in transition to democracy this model had an extraordinary success¹⁹ and was taken up after WWII by Germany and Italy, in the early 1980s by Spain and Portugal, and after the fall of communism by virtually all Central and Eastern European states. In a concentrated system, a separate court that is usually placed outside of the ordinary courts system is given the power to review the constitutionality of normative acts. In a concentrated system, constitutional review is exercised by the Constitutional Court, either upon preliminary request of the ordinary judge who suspends²⁰ the case before him or her and submits a preliminary request to the Constitutional Court to assess the constitutionality of a provision (indirect access) or by way of an individual complaint to the Constitutional Court, usually after the exhaustion of all other legal remedies (direct access). Two main advantages are greater unity of jurisdiction and legal security in the sense that no diverging decisions blur the application of a statute.
- 22. The classification of a system as diffuse or concentrated can be difficult as not the name, but the material competences of a Supreme Court determine whether or not there is one single institution entitled to decide over constitutional matters. It seems appropriate to divide the Venice Commission's member states' systems into having diffuse, concentrated or a "special" type of constitutional jurisdiction²¹.
- 23. Countries with entirely diffuse constitutional review are Denmark, Finland, Iceland, and Norway. In Sweden, the ordinary judge can refuse to apply normative acts only "if the error is manifest" ²².
- 24. Concentrated review exists in Albania, Armenia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Croatia, Czech Republic, Georgia, Germany, Hungary, Italy, South Korea, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Luxemburg, Montenegro, Poland, Romania, Russia, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia", Turkey, and Ukraine. The Algerian, French, Moroccan and Tunisian constitutional councils are also institutions specialised in constitutional review, even if their focus differs from that of the above constitutional courts.
- 25. "Special constitutional jurisdiction" can be found in a number of member and observer states of the Venice Commission. All of these states have a certain degree of diffuse review, but there exists a Supreme Court (sometimes even called "Constitutional Court" that has the capacity to invalidate normative acts or to rule in a case (sometimes even on the merits) upon demand of a lower court. The Supreme Court has a relatively strong position both in terms of competence and legal culture which brings it close to a traditional Constitutional Court in Switzerland²⁴. Andorra, Chile and Peru²⁵ have a Constitutional Court or Tribunal with vast powers. However, *amparo* claims are dealt with by ordinary courts.

¹⁸ The first Constitutional Court, however, was not set up in Austria, but in Czechoslovakia in February 1920 (Constitutional Act no. 21/1920 Coll.). The Austrian Court followed some months later. in October 1920.

Andorra, Portugal; Estonia has a special chamber on constitutional matters, Peru and Chile have Constitutional Tribunals

¹⁹ As L. Garlicki puts it, "following a period of authoritarian rule, the existing courts were unable to offer adequate guarantees of structural independence and intellectual assertiveness." (See L. Garlicki, "Constitutional courts versus supreme courts", *International Journal of Constitutional Law* 2007 5(1), Oxford University Press, Oxford, in: http://icon.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/content/full/5/1/44#FN59#FN59, accessed 11 February 2009)

The ordinary judge can be obliged to do so upon request by a party (e.g. Belgium) or can do so only when he or she

The ordinary judge can be obliged to do so upon request by a party (e.g. Belgium) or can do so only when he or she shares the doubts raised of a party or has him or herself doubts about the constitutionality of a provision to be applied in the case.

21 CDI all 1 (2001) 22 C. Brupper "Dor Zugges doe Finzelpen zur Verfeegungesprichtsberkeit im aurapäischen Beum".

²¹ CDL-JU (2001)22, G. Brunner, "Der Zugang des Einzelnen zur Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit im europäischen Raum", report for the CoCoSem seminar in Zakopane, Poland, October 2001, p. 35f.

Chapter 11 Article 14 Constitution

However, the Federal Tribunal is only competent to review the constitutionality of cantonal laws and the conventionality of federal laws.

²⁵ H. Nogueira Alcala, *"El recurso de proteccion en Chile"*, *Anuario iberoamericano de justicia constitucional*, no. 3, 1999, Madrid, 1999, in: http://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=1976169, accessed 25 February 2009

- 26. Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Cyprus, Estonia, Greece, Ireland²⁶, Israel, Japan²⁷, Malta, Mexico, Monaco, the Netherlands, Portugal, San Marino, South Africa²⁸ and in the USA have introduced diffuse review, but with special review competences for the Supreme Court. For the purpose of this study, the proceedings and review activities of these Supreme Courts will also be examined.
- 27. Diffuse and concentrated systems rarely exist in their pure form. The institute of stare decisis introduces an element of unification of interpretations in diffuse systems (see section 4.2.2.5 below), whereas in concentrated systems, the Constitutional Court is far from being unanimously recognised as the only body competent to review and interpret statutes concerning their constitutionality.

Abstract review vs. review related to a specific case²⁹ 1.1.1.4

28. When a Constitutional Court conducts abstract review it looks at the law or regulation with the force of law without relation to a specific case applying the normative act. From what has been said about diffuse review and review related to a concrete case, it follows that diffuse normative review is necessarily related to a concrete case, concentrated review can be both abstract and related to a case³⁰.

1.1.1.5 A priori vs. a posteriori review

- 29. Review can take place before or after promulgation of a normative act. While review related to a concrete case is necessarily posterior to promulgation of the general act³¹, abstract review can take place before and after promulgation.
- 30. Often, abstract review and the capacity to conduct review after adoption but before promulgation of a law is identified with the French model of review. In contrast, the American model is a posteriori and incidental, related to a concrete case³².
- 31. Review a priori may only be initiated by constitutional bodies designated in the Constitution or law on the Constitutional Court, not by individuals. In South Africa, the President can refer a

http://www.supremecourt.ie/supremecourt/sclibrary3.nsf/pagecurrent/9034466B2045E5EC8025743200511625?open document&l=en, accessed 9 April 2009

27 H. Hyun Lee, Rapporteur, Report for the Asian Constitutional Courts, in:

http://www.venice.coe.int/WCCJ/Papers/KOR_Kong%20Hyun%20Lee3_E.pdf, accessed 10 March 2009 While ordinary courts are competent to hear cases involving constitutional matters, the Constitutional Court of South Africa is the highest court on constitutional matters. The Constitutional Court may be directly accessed or accessed by means of appeal from a lower court, and has exclusive jurisdiction over a number of matters including the confirmation of a declaration of the constitutional invalidity of a normative act (statute) by an ordinary court.

29 The wording is deliberated at the second of the constitutional invalidity of a normative act (statute) by an ordinary court.

The wording is deliberately chosen to avoid the terminological confusions linked to different meanings of the pair of opposites abstract - concrete review in different languages or legal cultures. One can distinguish those for whom the distinctive factor is the trigger of a review (abstract- without relation to a case, concrete because an individual is being affected in his/her legal positions). Secondly, in German legal terminology, constitutional review can be considered concrete if it takes place in preliminary ruling procedures, where constitutional complaints constitute a third, separate type of review operated by the Constitutional Court which are not called "concrete".

W. Sadurski argues that even if review is related to a concrete case, the continental European Constitutional Courts follow abstract considerations in assessing the law. Unlike, for instance, the American Supreme Court, European review techniques are based on Kelsen's idea of cleaning of the legal order. Therefore, according to Sadurski Constitutional Courts don't decide on the merits of the individual case.

²⁶ The Supreme Court and the High Court may declare the unconstitutionality of a normative or individual act and attribute damages to the complainant; see

Unless the normative act is a disguised individual act.

³² Abstract *a priori* review puts the Constitutional Court in the position of an arbiter – typically between the executive and the legislative or a parliamentary minority with standing before the Constitutional Court - and generally considered as being politically sensitive. See Rosenfeld, "Constitutional Adjudication in Europe and the United States: Paradoxes and Contrasts", report prepared for the UniDem Seminar 2003, in: CDL-STD(2003)037 Science and Technique of Democracy no. 37 (2003), T. Ginsburg, Comparative Constitutional Review, 2008

Bill, before it is passed by Parliament, to the Constitutional Court for an evaluation of its constitutional validity.

1.1.1.6 Competences of constitutional courts

- 32. The following areas of competence of constitutional courts³³ can be distinguished:
 - Jurisdiction in conflicts of competence between state organs, conflicts of competence between the central state and regional or other subdivisions and jurisdictional conflicts
 - Jurisdiction in conflict of laws
 - Electoral control
 - Jurisdiction with respect to referendums and other instruments of direct democracy
 - Jurisdiction in relation to constitutional revision
 - Binding interpretation of laws or of the Constitution
 - Restrictive areas of jurisdiction:
 - Prohibition of political parties
 - Prosecution of violations of the Constitution, impeachment or removal from office
 - Jurisdiction on the withdrawal of specific constitutional rights of the individual
- 33. Of interest for the present report are mostly constitutional complaints and constitutional review as far as the latter can be initiated directly or indirectly by an individual and not only by constitutional bodies. However, it must be noted that a priori and a posteriori control initiated by a constitutional body, often aiming in principle at preserving the constitutional order, can raise questions related to fundamental rights and are therefore paramount to protecting these rights.

1.1.1.7 Vision of the function of constitutional review

34. With the growing importance of fundamental rights and the protection thereof, national legislators must decide which role the Constitution and, consequently, the constitutional courts should play: Should they only protect the objective constitutional order (which also includes the protection of fundamental rights in the sense that these are part of the objective constitutional order)? Or should there be a specific guarantee of subjective fundamental rights conferred to the individual by the Constitution? There is a clear tendency towards the introduction of mechanisms that allow for the protection of individual (fundamental) rights through the Constitutional Court, and, more specifically, for individual access. Conversely to the original model where only constitutional bodies were entitled to approach the Constitutional Court, states now often give the individual (physical person) the means to question the constitutionality of a normative or individual act.

35. In systems with diffuse control, any participant in a lawsuit can express his/her doubts about the constitutionality of a normative or individual act in an ordinary proceeding. It is the ordinary judge who decides on the constitutionality or unconstitutionality of a provision; in the latter case, he/she doesn't apply the statute.

2 APPEAL TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT

36. Historically, the oldest type of constitutional review is operated by ordinary judges (incidental review in diffuse review systems). Incidental review takes place at any stage of ordinary proceedings by any ordinary judge. Contrary to the writ of *amparo*, the simple contestation of

³³ This classification serves only the purpose of this study and follows <u>CDL-STD(1993)002</u>, H. Steinberger, "Models of constitutional jurisdiction", Science and technique of democracy, no. 2, 1993 and <u>CDL-JU (2008)031</u> Systematic Thesaurus Version 20

constitutionality does not constitute a specific action, but can be raised during proceedings. Access to constitutional review is therefore open to any person who has standing in ordinary proceedings. The effectiveness of this type of review relies both on the individuals' knowledge of their rights and on the ordinary judges' capacity and willingness to investigate even violations of fundamental rights that are not entirely evident or obvious³⁴. This system works well where it has evolved slowly, i.e. in North America and Scandinavia.

2.1 Standing in systems with concentrated or special type of constitutional review

- 37. The Constitutional Court can be approached by different bodies, organs or individuals. A simple classification would distinguish between claims by public or constitutional bodies (including courts)³⁵ and claims by private natural or moral persons. In some states, the Constitutional Court may also start review proceedings *proprio motu*.
- 38. As has been said, a priori review is open only for certain constitutional bodies, not for individuals. A posteriori review on the other hand can be initiated by individuals and by constitutional bodies.
- 39. There are not many countries that don't provide means for the individual to question the constitutionality of a general or individual provision, not even indirectly through preliminary ruling procedures. These are Algeria, Tunisia, France³⁶, Moldova, and Morocco.
- 40. As individual access serves mostly the function of protecting fundamental rights of the individual and as these rights with the exception of political rights (e.g. right to vote) and sometimes also social rights (e.g. right to social security) are usually conferred upon citizens and non-citizens without distinction, individual access provisions concern all persons³⁷. Nevertheless, the protection of foreigners may be less comprehensive than the protection of nationals.

2.2 Types of access

41. Individual access to constitutional review can be direct or indirect: As explained above, indirect access means that the individual question reaches the Constitutional Court for adjudication through the intermediary of another body, whereas direct access comprises all legal means given to the individual to directly petition to the Constitutional Court without the intervention of a third body.

Related to a concrete	Directact	Individual complaint/related to a concrete case
case		

³⁴ See X. Philippe, "Le contrôle de constitutionnalité des droits fondamentaux dans les pays européens", *Actes du colloque international " L'effectivité des droits fondamentaux dans les pays de la communauté francophone "*, Port-Louis (Île Maurice), 29-30 septembre, 1^{er} octobre 1993, p.412

^{36'} Until the promulgation of a law that concretises the new Article 61-1 of the Constitution. With this law, the Constitutional Council will have the power to review the constitutionality of laws. Already now, the French *Conseil d'État* can review the legality and constitutionality of administrative acts.

³⁷ According to Article 125 of the Constitution of Russia, "<u>citizens"</u> are entitled to apply to the Constitutional Court, but the Constitutional Court has given a broad interpretation of this term, including also foreigners and stateless persons.

³⁵ The Venice Commission's Systematic Thesaurus lists *inter alia* Head of State, legislative bodies, executive bodies, organs of federated or regional authorities, organs of sectoral decentralisation, local self-government bodies, the public prosecutor, the Ombudsperson. Furthermore, there is a systematic distinction between referrals by a court (especially as concerns preliminary questions) and claims by private or public bodies. See CDL-JU(2008)031 Systematic Thesaurus

p	
Preliminary request pasour	Preliming procedu
Exception/objection of 6 unconstitutionality	•
Actio popularis	
<i>Quasi actio popularis /</i> legal interest	
Individual suggestion	
Normative constitutional complaint	Against no
Russia: Individual complaint	ormati
Ukraine: Constitutional petition/submission	ve acts
Атраго	Against
Constitutional revision	t individual
Full constitutional complaint	acts

2.2.1 Indirect access

42. Review initiated by Ombudsmen or ordinary courts are a first type of individual access and protection of individuals' rights (complementary to direct individual access) and is welcomed by the Venice Commission³⁸.

2.2.1.1 Ombudsperson

See 6.2.1 Table: Indirect access: Ombudsperson

- 43. Most of the Venice Commission's member and observer states dispose of an Ombudsperson institution (Mediator, Parliamentary Commissioner, etc.), usually appointed by national parliaments³⁹. These Ombudspersons are independent and impartial. In many states, Ombudspersons are conceived as Human Rights protectors (People's Advocate etc.) trying to find viable solutions when Human Rights violations have occurred.
- 44. From the perspective of human rights protection, the Venice Commission recommends that "the mandate of the Ombudsman or Human Rights Defender should include the possibility of applying to the constitutional court of the country for an abstract judgment on questions concerning the constitutionality of laws and regulations or general administrative acts which raise issues affecting human rights and freedoms. The Ombudsman should be able to do this of his/her own motion or triggered by a particular complaint made to the institution." [It is the primary task of the ordinary courts to provide remedies against illegal acts. However, when a Constitutional Court is competent to control also the constitutionality of individual acts, it seems logical to give the ombudsman also a right to bring individual cases to the Court. In any case], as the access to the constitutional court via an Ombudsman offers only an indirect access to the court, this mechanism cannot replace direct access, but has to be seen as a complementary means. It depends on the legal culture of a given country to choose between the different mechanisms or to open up parallel options.
- 45. In many states, the Ombudsperson does not have standing at all to apply to the Constitutional Court but can only file reports to Parliament and facilitate the resolution of conflicts between the public administration and an individual (e.g. Greece, Republic of Korea)⁴¹.
- 46. In systems with diffuse review, the Ombudsperson, if it has been vested with the power to initiate proceedings, must do so at the competent ordinary court not at the Supreme Court

³⁸ See CDL-AD(2007)020, Opinion on the possible reform of the Ombudsman institution in Kazhakstan, 2007

³⁹ According to the "Paris Principles" on national human rights institutions, UN General Assembly resolution 48/134 of 20.12.1993.

⁴⁰ CDL-AD(2007)020, Opinion on the possible reform of the Ombudsman institution in Kazhakstan, 2007

⁴¹ G. Kucsko-Stadlmayer, "The Competences of European Ombudspersons – Description and Analysis of the Status Quo", in: http://www.ioi-europe.org/index2.html

- (e.g. specialised ombudsmen in Finland). The Ombudspersons in the United Kingdom and in Israel do not have standing before ordinary courts.
- 47. The Israeli Ombudsperson may, at the request of an individual, ask the Judges' Appointment Committee to dismiss a judge if he or she did not comply with his or her obligations.
- 48. In Montenegro, Portugal and Slovenia, the Ombudsperson has powers to initiate constitutional review without relation to a concrete case. In Slovenia, the procedure can be initiated by the Ombudsperson for Human Rights if he or she deems that a regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority inadmissibly interferes with human rights or fundamental freedoms.
- 49. The Azeri, Peruvian and Ukrainian Ombudsmen have the power to initiate review of the normative act (Austria: Only general administrative acts) in relation with a concrete case the Ombudsperson is currently dealing with.
- 50. Furthermore, in Azerbaijan, the Ombudsperson has standing to initiate review in cases of unconstitutional court decisions the Ombudsperson has been petitioned to deal with. In South Africa, the Public Protector may approach the Constitutional Court or other courts to fulfil his or her mandate to protect the public against unlawful state action, but may not investigate court decisions.
- 51. In these cases, the capacity of Ombudsmen to initiate review proceedings gives the individuals the possibility to accede to the Constitutional Court, albeit indirectly, in a situation where individuals sometimes wouldn't otherwise have any access to the Constitutional Court. The Ombudsperson opens new ways of access.
- 52. Sometimes, the Ombudsperson intervenes in cases where the individual would have the possibility to do so on his/her own behalf, only that the Ombudsperson, through his/her legal expertise, helps to improve the quality of petitions. (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Latvia, Russian Federation, Slovenia⁴²). The Spanish Ombudsperson may lodge a claim of *amparo* against all acts by the public authorities on behalf of all people who, to his/her knowledge, have been affected by the challenged act, so as to include them in review proceedings. In these cases, the Ombudsperson's rights do in principle not go beyond the rights of the individual. Quite to the contrary, the Slovak Ombudsperson only indicates if the complainant has the possibility of lodging a constitutional complaint, but does not him-/herself initiate such proceedings⁴³.
- 53. Chile, which is one of the two Latin American states that do not dispose of an Ombudsperson (Uruguay is the second), is currently thinking about including three new articles in the Constitution so as to create the institution of "Defensor del Pueblo"44.

⁴² According to Article 50(2) of the Constitutional Court Act the ombudsman for human rights may, under the conditions determined by this Act, lodge a constitutional complaint in connection with an individual case that he is dealing with. In addition, Article 52(2) of the Constitutional Court Act stipulates that the ombudsman for human rights lodges a constitutional complaint with the consent of the person whose human rights or fundamental freedoms he is protecting in the individual case.

Article 14 Law on the Ombudsman, in: http://www.vop.gov.sk/act-on-the-public-defender-of-rights, accessed 28

April 2009

44 See, in particular, Segunde informe de las comisiones unidas de constitucion, legislacion y justicia y de derechos humanos, nacionalidad y ciudadania recaido en el proyecto de reforma constitucional que crea el Defensor del Ciudadano, in: http://www.ombudsman.cl/pdf/informe2-ddhh.pdf, and other documents by the Iniciativa chilena para establecer al Defensor del Pueblo.

2.2.1.2 Preliminary ruling procedures

See 6.2.2 Table: Indirect individual access: Preliminary requests

54. Preliminary ruling procedures are amongst the most common types of individual access. If an ordinary court has doubts whether a normative act applicable in the concrete case violates the Constitution, it formulates a preliminary question to the Constitutional Court. The strength of this procedure is that ordinary courts are well-informed and capable of formulating a valid request. Ordinary courts serve as a first filter and can contribute to reducing the number of abusive or repetitive requests. Furthermore, preliminary ruling procedures are complementary to the abstract consideration of a provision as they allow for review related to concrete situations in which a provision is applied or should be applied⁴⁵. Its strength – the inclusion of ordinary courts - can in some court systems also constitute its inconvenient, as the effectiveness of preliminary ruling procedures relies heavily on the capacity and willingness of ordinary judges to identify potentially unconstitutional normative acts and to submit preliminary questions to the Constitutional Court. Preliminary ruling procedures exist in many states included in this study (the exceptions being France, Portugal, Switzerland⁴⁶). In Lithuania and Turkey, preliminary questions constitute the only type of individual access to the Constitutional Court. In Belarus, when trying a case, preliminary requests constitute the only type of individual access to the Constitutional Court apart from petitions to various State bodies (see below section 2.2.1.3). In states with special type systems preliminary question is rather uncommon, as usually ordinary courts are competent themselves to assess the unconstitutionality of an applicable act.

55. In many states, the parties to a proceeding before an ordinary court may suggest that a preliminary question be submitted to the Constitutional Court, without precluding the judge's discretion to accept or reject such a suggestion (e.g. Albania, Andorra, Belgium, Bulgaria, Germany, Hungary, Lithuania, Moldova, Spain, and Turkey).

56. However, the situation of the parties in ordinary proceedings can be considerably strengthened. The "exception of unconstitutionality" is a procedural remedy given to any party in a proceeding that has doubts as to the constitutionality of a statute that is to be applied in the given case. This exception may be lodged with the ordinary judge who is then obliged to consider it and to motivate an eventual denial of referral of the question to the Constitutional Court on the basis of only a certain number of reasons (the exception's being clearly unfounded etc.). Thus, even though the court's decision is final, there are procedural limits to the court's autonomy. This type of access exists in Albania, Chile, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Portugal, San Marino. In states allowing for "leave to appeal" to the Constitutional Court, such a possibility exists as well: the individual can bring a case to the Constitutional Court upon leave to appeal by the lower court (e.g. South Africa).

57. Going even further, the "exception of unconstitutionality" can be considered a very effective means of individual access if the ordinary court is obliged to put a preliminary question (Cyprus, Romania, Slovenia).

2.2.1.3 Indirect access through other bodies

58. In some countries, the Prosecutors' Office has access to the Constitutional Court (e.g. Article 101 of the Constitution of Armenia, Article 130 of the Constitution of Azerbaijan, Article 150 of the Constitution of Bulgaria), which could be relevant as indirect access for the present report, On the issue of general human rights protection by the prosecutor's office see Part II of the Report on the Independence of the Judiciary, currently prepared by the Venice Commission.

⁴⁶ See Bericht des Schweizerischen Bundesgericht für die VII. Konferenz der europäischen Verfassungsgerichte, p. 17, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/reports/Zwitserland-DE.pdf, accessed 2 June 2009

⁴⁵ CDL-INF(1996)010 Opinion on the draft law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Azerbaijan

59. Belarus does not have an Ombudsperson. There, individuals (which are not entitled to appeal directly to the Constitutional Court) can only have indirect access to the Constitutional Court, addressing their initiative to examine the constitutionality of an act to the authorised bodies and persons vested with the right to forward motions to the Constitutional Court (i.e. the President of the Republic of Belarus, both parliamentary chambers - the House of Representatives and the Council of the Republic - the Supreme Court of the Republic of Belarus, the Supreme Economic Court of the Republic of Belarus and the Council of Ministers of the Republic of Belarus).

2.2.2 Direct access

See 6.2.3 Table: Direct individual access: Constitutional and legal bases

Abstract review (review not related to a specific case)

2.2.2.1.1 Actio popularis

60. Every person is entitled to take action against a normative act after its promulgation, without needing to prove that he/she is currently and directly affected by the provision. As H. Kelsen put it, actio popularis is the broadest guarantee of comprehensive constitutional review, as any individual may petition to the Constitutional Court. He/she is perceived as merely fulfilling his/her citizen's duty as quardian of the Constitution. The complainant does not need to be a victim of a violation of his/her fundamental rights⁴⁷. Actio popularis plays a minor role in Liechtenstein (where several conditions need to be fulfilled to file an actio popularis), Malta⁴⁸, Peru and Chile, but has contributed to the clearing up of the legal order in Hungary, "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia³⁴⁹ and Georgia, for instance as concerns the death penalty in Hungary⁵⁰. In South Africa, a litigant may approach the court in the public interest. However, already Kelsen excluded actio popularis as a practicable solution as it can attract abusive complaints⁵¹. In Croatia, actio popularis has led to the overburdening of the Constitutional Court, an issue on which the Venice Commission has also pronounced itself critically⁵².

2.2.2.1.2 Individual suggestion⁵³

61. A variant of abstract review initiated by the individual which leaves more discretion to the Constitutional Court⁵⁴ is the individual suggestion. Here, individuals may approach the Constitutional Court suggesting that the Court review the constitutionality of a normative act. The individual cannot insist on the opening of a procedure. However, in Montenegro and in Serbia, the denial of review must follow a preliminary proceeding and be motivated.

⁴⁷ A. van Aaken, "Making International Human Rights Protection More Effective: A Rational-Choice Approach to the Effectiveness of lus Standi Provisions", Preprints of the Max Planck Institute for Research on Collective Goods Bonn 2005/16, Bonn, 2005, p. 14, in; http://papers.srn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=802424#, accessed 23 February 2009

⁴⁸ CDL-JU (2001)22, G. Brunner, "Der Zugang des Einzelnen zur Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit im europäischen Raum", report for the CoCoSem seminar in Zakopane, Poland, October 2001, p. 35f.

CDL-JU (2001)22, G. Brunner, "Der Zugang des Einzelnen zur Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit im europäischen Raum", report for the CoCoSem seminar in Zakopane, Poland, October 2001

W. Sadurski; Rights before Courts: A Study of Constitutional Courts in Postcommunist States of Central and Eastern Europe, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2005, p.6

H.Kelsen, cit.in: R. Ben Achour, "Le contrôle de la constitutionnalité des lois: quelle procédure?", Actes du colloque international " L'effectivité des droits fondamentaux dans les pays de la communauté francophone ", Port-Louis (Île Maurice), 29-30 septembre, 1er octobre 1993, p.401, in:

http://www.bibliotheque.refer.org/livre59/l5905.pdf, accessed 7 February 2009

CDL-AD(2008)030 Opinion on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of Montenegro

The term used by G. Brunner is "Anregung" (incitement). In fact, there seems to be no common form of denomination in the different states, ranging from "suggestion" to "proposal".

As a general rule, it is rather unusual that the Constitutional Court can review acts for their constitutionality proprio motu. However, Albania, Austria, Hungary, Moldova, Poland and Romania envisage this possibility in certain cases.

2.2.2.1.3 Quasi actio popularis (necessity to prove a lawful interest)

62. The institution of a *quasi actio popularis* takes up a middle position between the merely abstract *actio popularis* and the normative constitutional complaint. The standing rules of *quasi action popularis* are more restrictive and thus avoid some of the problems related to *actio popularis* in that the applicant needs to prove that he has a certain legal interest in the general norm. The rules of standing are close to those related to the normative constitutional complaint, except that claimant does not need to be directly affected⁵⁵. He/she only needs to prove that the legal provision interferes with his/her rights, legal interests or legal position⁵⁶. This type of access to the Constitutional Court exists in Greece.

2.2.2.2 Individual complaint

2.2.2.2.1 Against normative acts only

2.2.2.2.1.1 Normative constitutional complaint⁵⁷.

63. An individual is given the right to complain against the violation of his/her subjective fundamental right through an individual act based on a normative act. Thus, the initiative for review is related to a concrete case. However, the individual act applying a normative act itself cannot be attacked, and the subsequent control by the Constitutional Court does not concern the implementation of the normative act. This can raise concerns as to the effective protection of individual fundamental rights, if only the implementation of a constitutional law or equivalent act violates such rights. Normative complaints exist inter alia in Armenia, Belgium⁵⁸, Georgia, Hungary, Poland, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia and Monaco. A limited form has been introduced in Estonia, where certain resolutions of Parliament and decisions of the President can be challenged. According to Article 96 of the Russian Federal Constitutional law on the Constitutional Court, citizens "whose rights and freedoms have been violated by the law that has been applied or ought to be applied in a specific case" may file a direct complaint to the Constitutional Court. The individual complaint can be lodged directly with the Constitutional Court and can be directed against an administrative decision. Also, individual complaint is distinct from abstract review, as the claimant needs to prove that there is certain likelihood that the statute will be applied in his case⁵⁹.

64. The effectiveness of the normative constitutional complaint as a mans for human rights protection heavily depends on decisions by the ordinary courts implementing those made by the Constitutional Court, especially when there is no binding legislative requirement that ordinary courts follow the legal reasoning of the Constitutional Court. When ordinary courts do not take into account the reasoning of the Constitutional Court's decision and only formally implement only its operative part, a normative constitutional complaint can become an end in itself and remain ineffective for the protection of the constitutional rights of the individual concerned. Decisions of the Constitutional Courts are sources of constitutional law, providing a final interpretation of constitutional provisions and have to be followed by all State bodies, including ordinary courts.

⁵⁵ See W. Sadurski, op.cit., p. 6f

⁵⁶ Article 24 (2) Law on the Constitutional Court

⁵⁷ Term used in German: *Unechte Grundrechtsbeschwerde*, see CDL-AD(2005)005; para. 22, S. R. Dürr, "Individual Access to Constitutional Court in European Transitional Countries", in: B. Fort (ed.), *Democratising Access to Justice in Transitional Countries. Proceedings of the Workshop "Comparing Access to Justice in Asian and European Transitional Countries"*, Sang Choy International, Jakarta, 2006, p. 59

⁵⁸ CDL-JU(2008)032 M.-Fr. Rigaux, "Introduction of a Constitutional Review of Laws: Benefit, Purpose and Modalities", *Report for the seminar on constitutional jurisdiction*, Ramallah, 2008

⁵⁹ See W. Sadurski, op. cit., p. 7ff

2.2.2.2.1.2 Constitutional petition.

65. In Ukraine, if an individual sets forth that diverging applications of a law could lead to or have led to a violation of his/her constitutional rights he/she can demand a binding interpretation by the Constitutional Court. Not an individual act is in question, but the interpretation of a normative act. Thus, the constitutional petition fulfils materially the function of a normative constitutional complaint⁶⁰.

2.2.2.2. Against individual acts

66. With the growing valuation of Human Rights protection, one can observe a clear tendency towards constitutional review of individual administrative acts and decisions of the judiciary upon application by the individual at Human Rights violations often are the result of unconstitutional individual acts based on constitutional normative acts 62. The Venice Commission is in favour of the full constitutional complaint and the *recurso de amparo* not only because they provide a comprehensive protection of constitutional rights but also from the viewpoint of subsidiary nature of the relief provided by the European Court of Human Rights and the desirability to settle human rights issues on the national level, also taking into account the Strasbourg Court's current case-load.

2.2.2.2.1 Full constitutional complaint.

67. Full constitutional complaints allow undoubtedly for the most comprehensive individual access to constitutional justice and hence to a thorough protection of individual rights. An individual may subsidiarily⁶³ complain against any act by the public authorities which violates directly and currently his/her fundamental rights. To be precise, an individual may challenge a general act if it is directly applicable on him/her, or an individual act addressed to him/her. This possibility exists for example in Germany, Spain, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Liechtenstein, Slovenia, Montenegro, Serbia, South Africa and, to a limited extent, in "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia", Slovakia, and Cyprus⁶⁴. One can find various conditions to and subforms of constitutional complaints. The most prominent is the "constitutional revision", where an individual is being given a remedy against final decisions by ordinary courts, but not against individual administrative acts. This is the case in Malta⁶⁵, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Chile⁶⁶ and Albania. In Austria, on the other hand, only individual administrative acts can be reviewed, but no final civil or penal decisions⁶⁷.

⁶⁰ V. Skomorocha, Konstytucijnyj Sud Ukrajiny: dosvid i problemy, Pravo Ukrajiny no. 1/1999, cit. in: <u>CDL-JU</u> (2001)22, G. Brunner, "Der Zugang des Einzelnen zur Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit im europäischen Raum", report for the CoCoSem seminar in Zakopane, Poland, October 2001, p. 34

CDL-AD (2004)24 Opinion on the draft constitutional amendments with regard to the Constitutional Court of Turkey
 CDL-AD (2008)029 Opinion on the draft laws amending and supplementing 1) the Law on Constitutional Proceedings and 2) the Law on the Constitutional Court of Kyrgyzstan
 Subsidiarity means that all other remedies must be exhausted.

⁶⁴ The Constitutional Court of Belarus, contrary to a previous practice adopted under part 4 of art. 122 of the Constitution (see judgment D-184/05 of 2 March 2005), the Constitutional Court is no longer accepting individual appeals.

appeals. ⁶⁵ It is interesting to note that the constitutional petition can also be brought against potential violations of fundamental rights.

⁶⁶ Against certain types of resolutions by the higher courts (auto acordados).

However, individual administrative acts can be challenged parallel to a recourse to the Supreme Administrative Court: First, the Constitutional Court verifies whether constitutional rights have been violated and in the negative it refers the case to the Administrative Court for verification whether ordinary laws have been violated. This is seen by the Austria Convention as a lacuna to be overcome in Austria. In legal systems with a complete administrative jurisdiction an individual has the possibility to challenge an illegal or unconstitutional act before administrative tribunals. This means that if the tribunals fail to invalidate the act, effective protection would require that the individual be given standing to challenge their judgments before the Constitutional Court, as access to the Constitutional Court is subject to the exhaustion of all legal remedies.

68. In full constitutional complaint review proceedings, the Constitutional Court will usually not decide on the merits of the case. Rather, it will consider the purely constitutional aspects of the case. In addition, the Court will not enter into a review of respect of the entire hierarchy of norms (e.g. review of legality of an individual act). The function of full constitutional complaints is in the first place the protection of the individual's rights.

2.2.2.2.2.2 Recurso de amparo.

69. Writ of amparo is a specific type of constitutional complaint where the individual is being given a specific action (the term "recurso" is misleading68) against a violation of his/her fundamental rights. Amparo exists in Andorra, Chile, Peru, Argentina and Mexico. It is important to note that Spain's writ of Amparo should rather be qualified as a full constitutional complaint, because the proceeding doesn't take place before the ordinary courts, as is typically the case with the Latin American recursos de amparo, but before the Constitutional Court⁶⁹. Another difference is the fact that in a "subsidiary recurso de amparo" which is in place in Spain, the protected fundamental rights are exhaustively listed by the Constitution⁷⁰.

70. Amparo includes all fundamental rights stated in the Constitution except physical freedom, which is specifically protected in Latin America through habeas corpus remedies. The exception amongst the states under consideration here is Mexico, where amparo is comparatively vast and includes also the protection against deprivation of physical freedom⁷¹.

In Mexico, the individual aggrieved by a court decision, must lodge the (direct) amparo before the court that issued the challenged decision⁷².

2.2.2.2.3 Individual complaints as a national "filter" for cases reaching the European Court of Human Rights

71. An important aspect of individual complaints to the Constitutional Court against human rights violations is the question whether such a complaint has to be exhausted according to Article 35(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights before a person can appeal to the European Court of Human Rights like this is the case for example for the amparo complaint to the Constitutional Court of Spain. The discussion of this topic is especially relevant in view of the important case-load of the Court (some 120.000 cases in 2010) and the need to solve human rights issues on the national level before they reach the Strasbourg Court as called for by paragraph 4 of the Interlaken Declaration, which insists on the subsidiary nature of the Convention mechanism:

⁶⁸ H. Nogueira Alcala, "El recurso de proteccion en Chile", Anuario iberoamericano de justicia constitucional, no. 3, 1999, Madrid, 1999, in: http://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=1976169, accessed 25 February 2009

⁶⁹ See CDL-JU (2001)22, G. Brunner, "Der Zugang des Einzelnen zur Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit im europäischen Raum", report for the CoCoSeminar in Zakopane, Poland, October 2001, p.22

CDL-JU(1997)015, A. Mavčić, "Human rights protection with the help of the individual complaint"

⁷¹ A.R. Brewer-Carías, "Some aspects of the "amparo" proceeding in Latin America as a constitutional judicial mean specifically established for the protection of Human Rights", Paper for the Colloquium in International and Comparative Law, Baltimore, 2007, in:

http://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1001&context=iclc_papers, February 2009

Article 86 Law on Amparo: "The claim of revision can be filed at the district judge, the authority that decides on the case, or at the collegial circuit tribunal in the case of direct amparo. (El recurso de revision se interpondra por conducto del juez de distrito, de la autoridad que conozca del juicio, o del tribunal colegiado de circuito en los casos de amparo directo.)" http://info4.juridicas.unam.mx/ijure/fed/19/91.htm?s=, accessed 17 March 2009

"4. The Conference recalls that it is first and foremost the responsibility of the States Parties to guarantee the application and implementation of the Convention and consequently calls upon the States Parties to commit themselves to:

. . .

d) ensuring, if necessary by introducing new legal remedies, whether they be of a specific nature or a general domestic remedy, that any person with an arguable claim that their rights and freedoms as set forth in the Convention have been violated has available to them an effective remedy before a national authority providing adequate redress where appropriate;

...₇₃

- 72. In countries where a specialised Constitutional Court exists, an individual complaint to that Court seems a logical choice for such a remedy because typically such a complaint is subsidiary also on the national level and intervenes only after the exhaustion of appeals to ordinary courts, thus at the last possible stage on the national level before the possibility of an application to the European Court of Human Rights comes into play.
- 73. It seems evident that certain other types of individual access to the Constitutional Court discussed in the present report can be excluded as such an effective "domestic remedy": an actio popularis is directed against a norm in the abstract and would not normally be an appropriate remedy against a concrete human rights violation. Also a "normative" individual appeal directed only against a normative act but not its application in an individual case would not be sufficient as a national "filter" because in practice human rights violations are most often not the result of the "technically correct" application of an unconstitutional law which can be challenged in this type of appeal but frequently they are the result of an unconstitutional individual act, which can but need not be based on a law, which is in conformity with the Constitution. A large number of human rights violations would thus escape a normative complaint and the filter-effect would remain marginal.
- 74. An interesting example of an attempt to introduce such a remedy concerns Turkey. In view of the high number of Turkish cases before the Strasbourg Court, the Constitutional Court of Turkey proposed in 2004 the introduction of an individual complaint to that Court relating to constitutional rights, which are also covered by the European Convention on Human Rights. The explanatory memorandum for these amendments explicitly states that "[t] he introduction of constitutional complaint will result in a considerable decrease in the number of files against Turkey brought before the European Court of Human Rights".
- 75. In its opinion on these draft amendments, the Venice Commission found that the draft amendments were "justified, and follow solutions already known in other European countries and they meet European standards."⁷⁵ The Commission thus recognised that an effective individual complaint to the constitutional court can be a national filter for cases before they reach the European Court of Human Rights.

⁷³ High Level Conference meeting at Interlaken on 18 and 19 February 2010 at the initiative of the Swiss Chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, Interlaken 19. February 2010.

⁷⁴ In March 2010, the Turkish Government made proposals for a Constitutional reform package, which includes a very similar type of individual complaint to the Constitutional Court. [footnote to be amended in the light of the progress of the amendment procedure.]

⁷⁵ CDI -AD(2004)024. Opinion on the Draft Constitutional Amendments with Pagerd to the Constitutional Court of

⁷⁵ CDL-AD(2004)024, Opinion on the Draft Constitutional Amendments with Regard to the Constitutional Court of Turkey. The Venice Commission however questioned whether the individual complaint should be limited to constitutional rights, which were also covered by the Convention. It seemed that the purpose of this limitation was to exclude social rights from the scope of the individual complaint. The issue of social rights seems to be the reason why the Austrian Constitution does not include a complete "bill of rights" and why instead the Convention has been ratified on the level of constitutional law, thus allowing individual complaints to the Austrian Constitutional Court on the basis of the rights contained in the Convention and its Protocols.

- 76. In order to constitute such a filter and to require its exhaustion in the sense of Article 35(1) of the Convention, a national remedy has to be effective according to Article 13 of the Convention. The question how an individual complaint has to be conceived to be such an effective remedy is however complex.
- 77. The answer will vary from country to country and even for a given country the constitutional complaint may be an effective remedy for certain violations of the Convention, whereas according to the case-law of the Strasbourg Court it may not be not effective for other violations. In particular, a distinction has to be made between cases of alleged excessive length of proceedings and violations of "other" human rights.
- 78. Various elements have to be taken into account for determining whether a remedy is effective in the sense of Article 13. Where an individual has an arguable claim to be the victim of a violation of the Conventions rights he or she should have a remedy before a national authority, which is not necessarily a judicial authority but enjoys relevant powers to decide the claim and to provide redress. The contracting states are free to chose an the remedy, which they provide and sometimes an aggregate of several remedies provided may be sufficient.
- 79. In the case of an individual complaint to a Constitutional Court, the judicial nature of the national authority does not need to be discussed. However it may be questioned whether in all cases the powers of a Constitutional Court will be sufficient. The Court must be in a position to provide redress though a binding decision in the case, when necessary providing compensation. A mere declaratory decision on unconstitutionality will not be sufficient and the complaint must be "effective" in practice as well as in law⁷⁸. If the violation of the Convention right concerns a positive obligation, the Court should be in a position to order the state authorities to take the action, which they failed to take in the given case. The Court must be obliged to hear the case, a discretion in the selection of cases by the Court (e.g. *certiorari*) will not be sufficient. The Court must also be accessible, unreasonable demands relating to costs or representation could render an appeal "ineffective". When the consequences of measures would be irreversible, the Constitutional Court should be in a position to prevent the execution such measures.⁷⁹
- 80. In the framework of its Report on the Effectiveness of National Remedies in Respect of Excessive Length of Proceedings⁸⁰ the Venice Commission had occasion to discuss the effectiveness of constitutional complaints as a remedy. Based on the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights⁸¹, the Commission found that "[t]he obligation to organise its judicial system in a manner that complies with the requirements of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention also applies to a Constitutional Court" ⁸² itself. This means that if a country intends to introduce an individual complaint to its Constitutional Court, this has do be done in a way, which does not excessively prolong the total length of the procedure. Consequently, the Court has to have the capacity and the resources to deal effectively with the additional case-load.⁸³
- 81. A main issue in the discussion of remedies against the excessive length of procedures is a distinctions between acceleratory ie having a positive effect on the termination of the ongoing

⁷⁶ The individual also has to complain about the violation of the Convention right in the national proceedings. Failing to do so, will result in a finding of non-exhaustion of domestic remedies by the European Court of Human Rights, see for example Debono v. Malta, no. 34539/02, decision of 10 June 2004.

⁷⁷ See Silver v. UK, judgment of 25 March 1983.

⁷⁸ See Ihan v. Turkey, judgment of 27 June 2000, para. 58.

⁷⁹ See Čonka v. Belgium, judgment of 5 February 2002, para. 79.

⁸⁰ CDL-AD(2006)036rev , adopted by the Venice Commission at its 69th Plenary Session (Venice, 15-16 December 2006).

⁸¹ See *Gast and Popp v. Germany,* judgment of 25 February 2005, para. 75.

⁸² Paragraph 33.

⁸³ Concerning doubts on the promptness of a individual complaint, see Belinger v. Slovenia, no. 42320/98, decision of 2 October 2001.

case - and compensatory remedies. Here, the Commission found that that "in terms of the [Strasbourg] Court's case-law, it is an <u>obligation of result</u> that is required by Article 13. Even when none of the remedies available to an individual, taken alone, would satisfy the requirements of Article 13, the <u>aggregate</u> of remedies provided for under domestic law may be considered as 'effective' in terms of this article." The Commission found that in order to be effective, a remedy would have to offer both aspects - acceleratory⁸⁵ and compensatory⁸⁶:

- "182. In cases where the national legal system does not provide for acceleratory remedies (which is the case for most domestic legal systems), the individual is not afforded before his own authorities an equivalent redress to that which he may obtain in Strasbourg; there, the subsidiarity principle is deficient. Under these circumstances, the individual may argue not to have lost his status of victim even after obtaining (mere) pecuniary compensation in a domestic procedure and may challenge his need to exhaust the domestic remedy in question.
- 183. In conclusion, the Venice Commission considers that, in order to comply fully with the requirements of Article 13 of the Convention in relation to the reasonable time requirement laid down in Article 6 §1 of the Convention, Council of Europe member States should provide in the first place acceleratory remedies designed to prevent any (further) undue delays from taking place at any time until the proceedings are terminated.
- 184. In addition, they should provide compensatory remedies for any breach of the reasonable time requirement which may have already occurred in the proceedings (prior to the introduction of the effective acceleratory remedies)."
- 82. To sum up, if a State intended to introduce an individual complaint to the constitutional court with the purpose of providing a national remedy or filter for cases otherwise reaching the Strasbourg Court, ie providing an effective remedy in the sense of Article 13 of the Convention and to require its exhaustion under Article 35(1), an individual complaint to the Constitutional Court should provide redress though a binding decision in the case. The Court must be obliged to hear the case and there must not be any unreasonable demands as to costs or representation.
- 83. In addition, in cases of alleged excessive length of procedure, an individual appeal to the Constitutional Court should enable the Constitutional Court to effectively order the speedy resumption and termination of the proceedings before the ordinary courts or to settle the matter itself on the merits. In addition, the Constitutional Court should be able to provide compensation equivalent to what the complainant would receive at the Strasbourg Court.

-

⁸⁴ Paragraph 137.

⁸⁵ See Slavicek v. Croatia, no. 20862/02, decision of 4 July 2002: "According to the new law everyone who deems that the proceedings concerning the determination of his civil rights and obligations or a criminal charge against him have not been concluded within a reasonable time may file a constitutional complaint. The Constitutional Court must examine such a complaint and if it finds it well-founded it must set a time-limit for deciding the case on the merits and it shall also award compensation for the excessive length of proceedings. The Court considers that this is a remedy which must be exhausted by the applicant in order to comply with Article 35 § 1 of the Convention." See also Debono v. Malta, no. 34539/02, decision of 10 June 2004; Andrásik v. Slovakia, no. 57984/00, decision of 22 October 2002 and Fernandez-Molina Gonzalez and others v. Spain, no. 64359/01, decision of 8 October 2002.

The compensation has to be in a reasonable relation to what the applicant would have obtained from the Strasbourg Court, see Dubjakova v. Slovakia, no. 67299/01, decision of 10 October 2004: "Whether the amount awarded may be regarded as reasonable, however, falls to be assessed in the light of all the circumstances of the case. These include not merely the duration of the proceedings in the specific case but the value of the award judged in the light of the standard of living in the State concerned, and the fact that under the national system compensation will in general be awarded and paid more promptly than would be the case if the matter fell to be decided by the [Strasbourg] Court under Article 41 of the Convention."

The subjects of review

84. Different types of legal acts can be reviewed according to their conformity with several types of higher-ranking legal norms. As subjects of review individual and normative legal acts come into question. Individual acts as understood here include administrative acts where an administrative body87 decides in an individual case, but also (final) court decisions. Normative acts are international treaties88, laws and rules having the force of law, decrees and regulations by the executive, general rules of local self-governing bodies⁸⁹ that have a generally binding effect, that is, without distinct or distinguishable addressees.

85. In states with concentrated review it is very common to provide for constitutional review of laws or equivalent acts with force of law⁹⁰. This is consistent with one of the traditional objectives linked to the introduction of concentrated constitutional jurisdiction, namely the protection of the constitutional order. Also, the review of individual acts is gaining grounds as more and more states opt for full constitutional complaints.

86. In systems with diffuse review, typically any act, normative or individual, that is relevant to the concrete case, can be challenged. Therefore, the individual can question the constitutionality of any law that should be applied in a proceeding, any ruling of an inferior court and any administrative act that may be brought up due to the applicable procedural law. In South Africa, an ordinary court can declare a normative act (statute) unconstitutional, but such a declaration must be confirmed by the Constitutional Court before it becomes effective.

87. The writ of amparo can generally be directed not only against actions emanating from state organs, but also against private actions; it applies even in horizontal relations⁹¹.

88. In some states (Hungary, Belarus, Brazil, Chile, Germany, Liechtenstein, Peru, Slovenia, South Africa, "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"), the Constitutional Court can address violations resulting from omissions upon application by an individual 2. In Belarus, the Constitutional Court considers individual petitions against gaps in normative legal acts, and (or) conflict of certain norms of the act, which have been filed to the Constitutional Court in the exercise of the constitutional right to address personal or collective petitions to state bodies. These petitions are not constitutional complaints and do not entail the need of the Constitutional Court review of a normative legal act's constitutionality, referred to in the petition.

89. In the Netherlands, the individual complaint can only be turned against an individual or general administrative act which can be interpreted in the light of the law and the Constitution.

89 E.g. According to Article 100.1 of the Constitution of Armenia, decisions of local self-governing bodies are the subject of constitutional review.

⁸⁷ All types of administrative bodies constitutionally entitled to issue such acts can be taken into consideration, including regional or local administrative bodies, even though some federal states dispose of federated constitutional courts that review acts issued by the federated authorities as far as their compatibility with the Constitution of the federated state is concerned, for instance Germany.

If these have infra-constitutional value

General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, (A. Alen, M. Melchior), Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009. However, it should be noted that in Switzerland, the Federal Tribunal can only review cantonal laws concerning their conformity with the federal Constitution.

A.R. Brewer-Carías, op.cit., p.21

This can cause conflicts with the Parliament as the Constitutional Court imposes that and in which margin gaps be filled. In Portugal, individual complaints against omissions are excluded, even if the Constitutional Court has the power to conduct abstract review on omissions (see Article 283 Portuguese Constitution). The detailed General Report of the XIVth Conference of European Constitutional Courts dedicated to this topic has been published in a Special Bulletin by the Venice (2008)and on Constitutional Case-Law Commission can be http://www.lrkt.lt/conference/Pranesimai/XIV%20Congress%20General%20Report_LT.doc

90. The Venice Commission warns of overburdening the Constitutional Court by transferring to it the competence to protect the entire hierarchy of norms. The review of legality of sub-statutory acts (decrees, bye-laws, etc.) should be left to administrative tribunals⁹³.

2.4 Protected rights

91. All Constitutions considered here contain some fundamental rights or refer to a catalogue of fundamental rights that is being given constitutional or at least supra-legislative rank. However, not all these rights serve as review standard in all cases⁹⁴: Parts of the rights catalogues are of programmatic nature, which means that the individual is not given a remedy against violations of such programmatic norms or national objectives. This is the case for social rights in some countries.

92. International Human Rights treaties⁹⁵, and in particular the European Convention of Human Rights for member states of the Council of Europe, have different legal ranks in the states included in this report. For instance, in Austria, the ECHR has constitutional value. Likewise, in the Netherlands, laws, which cannot be reviewed as far as their constitutionality is concerned, can be reviewed in the light of international treaties including the Convention. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, the ECHR "shall prevail over all laws" which could mean that it stands above the Constitution⁹⁷. So far, the Bosnian Constitutional Court has not given a final answer to this question⁹⁸. The Human Rights Act 1998 of the United Kingdom and the European Convention Act of Malta transposed the international treaty into internal law so as to allow persons the possibility to directly invoke these rights. In Italy⁹⁹, Liechtenstein, Slovenia and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"100, the European Convention has infra-constitutional but supra-legislative rank.

93. Protected rights are not necessarily inscribed in the Constitution¹⁰¹ or designed to be enforceable, but can be a product of jurisprudential creativity. The fundamental importance of a

⁹³ CDL-INF(1996)010 Opinion on the draft law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Azerbaijan. However, some countries, which have a comparatively small population and limited resources, for instance, Latvia, prefer to concentrate the whole system of review of norms in the Constitutional Court and leave only issues of their implementation to administrative courts.

For example, according to Article 110 of the Constitution of "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia". the jurisdiction of the Constitutional Court covers "the freedoms and rights of the individual and citizen relating to the freedom of conviction, conscience, thought and public expression of thought, political association and activity as well as to the prohibition of discrimination among citizens on the ground of sex, race, religion or national, social or political affiliation".

Article 16(2) of the Portuguese Constitution reads: "The provisions of this Constitution and of legal precepts concerning fundamental rights shall be interpreted and completed in accordance with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights". The status of an interpretative standard in matters concerning fundamental rights is therefore attributed to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and not the European Convention on Human Rights. Unlike the latter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is not an international treaty. In Portugal the position taken by both doctrine and jurisprudence is that fundamental rights must be interpreted in accordance with the various international human rights instruments, on condition that the preference accorded to the rules set out in the latter results in the primacy of rules which enshrine a superior level of protection for the fundamental rights. Article II.2 Constitution

⁹⁷ See J. Marko, "Five Years of Constitutional Jurisprudence in Bosnia and Herzegovina: A First Balance", *European* Diversity and Autonomy Papers- EDAP (2004), 7, in: http://www.eurac.edu/documents/edap/2004_edap07.pdf, accessed 3 June 2009

CDL-AD(2008)027 Amicus curiae brief in the cases of Sejdić and Finci v. Bosnia and Herzegovina (Applications

no. 27996/06 and 34836/06) pending before the European Court of Human Rights
99 See decisions no. 348 and 349/2007 of the Italian Constitutional Court, after the 2001 amendment to art. 117 of the

¹⁰⁰ See I. Spirovski, "Constitutional Validity of Human Rights Treaties in the Republic of Macedonia: The Norms and the Courts", Report for the World Conference on Constitutional Justice. in:

 http://www.venice.coe.int/WCCJ/Papers/MKD Spirovski E.pdf, accessed 3 June 2009
 In a number of countries, the catalogues of human rights is not exclusive but open ended., e.g. according Article 42 of the Constitution of the Armenia, the fundamental human and civil rights and freedoms set forth in the

provision can be "discovered" by jurisprudence. Here, the approach of the French Constitutional Council is particularly noteworthy: It enlarged the circle of protected rights by attributing constitutional value to texts that had been merely declaratory before, the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen of 1789 and the preamble to the 1946 Constitution.

3 REVIEW PROCEEDINGS

- 3.1 Conditions for opening proceedings ("filters")
- 94. The constitutional or legal provisions dealing with the various types of access and with constitutional proceedings include as a general rule procedural prerequisites or conditions which need to be fulfilled by the applicant or the application. While this serves the purpose of alleviating the Constitutional Court's caseload, there is also the risk that these hurdles overly reduce access to the Constitutional Court.
- 95. According to the type of request to the Constitutional Court, there are different procedural admissibility conditions. However, some requirements in many cases: time-limits, the possible obligation to be legally represented.
- 3.1.1 Time-limits for applications

See 6.1.2 Table: Time-limits for applications

96. There exists a broad variety of time-limits for the different types of applications. Time-limits serve the purpose of legal certainty to assume that an act, after a certain period of time, becomes unassailable. While these time limits should not be too long, they must be reasonable in order to provide enable the preparation of the complaint by the individual him- or herself in person or to find a lawyer who will defend his/her rights because in some countries legal representation is obligatory individual complaints. The Court should be able to extend the deadlines in cases when a complainant is unable to comply with the time limit due to reasons not related to his fault or his lawyer's sphere or if there other compelling reasons.

3.1.2 Obligation to be legally represented

See 6.1.3 Table: Obligation to be legally represented

- 97. Legal representation is intended to aid the complainant and to raise the quality of complaints. However, legal representation has strong financial implications. Therefore, especially if legal representation is mandatory, the denial of financial assistance or free legal aid could amount to the denial of the effective access to a court¹⁰³. Therefore, free legal aid should be provided to applicants if their material situation so requires.
- 98. Legal representation is mandatory in Monaco, Austria (for actions against normative acts), Azerbaijan, Czech Republic, Germany¹⁰⁴, Italy, Luxemburg, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, Switzerland (if the individual is "clearly unable" to represent him-/herself).

Constitution shall not exclude the other rights and freedoms, prescribed by laws and international treaties. According to Article 55 of the Russian Constitution, the list of fundamental rights and freedoms in the Constitution shall not be interpreted as a denial of or derogation from other universally recognized human and civil rights and freedoms.

¹⁰² E.g. Germany, Law on the Federal Constitutional Court, Article 93(2); Slovenia, Constitutional Court Act Article 52(3).

52(3). CDL-JU(2008)012 The use of international instruments for protecting individual rights, freedoms and legitimate interests through national legislation and the right to legal defence in Belarus: challenges and outlook

¹⁰⁴ The Law on the Federal Constitutional Court provides in its Article 22.1 sentence 1, half-sentence 1 that the parties to proceedings before the Federal Constitutional Court may be represented by an attorney or by a lecturer of law at a

99. No obligation exists in Albania, Andorra, Armenia, Belgium, Croatia, Georgia, Hungary, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovenia and South Africa¹⁰⁵.

3.1.3 Court fees

- 100. Court fees for proceedings before the Constitutional Court are exceptional amongst the states under consideration in this report. However, in the U.S.¹⁰⁶, there is a fee of 300 \$ for lodging a petition to grant a writ of certiorari before the Supreme Court, in Russia, the fee amounts to one minimum wage, in Armenia to five, and in Switzerland a minimum of 200 CHF and a maximum of 100,000 CHF. In Kyrgyzstan, there is also a court fee. According to Article 34.2 of the Law on the Federal Constitutional Court of Germany, the latter may charge a fee of up to 2600 Euros if the lodging of a constitutional complaint or of a complaint in proceedings involving the scrutiny of elections constitutes an abuse or if an application for the issuing of a temporary injunction is made in an abusive manner.
- 101. The Venice Commission recommends that in view of a more comprehensive Human Rights protection, court fees for individuals be relatively low and it should be possible to reduce them in accordance with the financial situation of the complainant. Their primary aim should be to exclude evident abuse.¹⁰⁷.

3.1.4 Re-opening of cases

102. In principle, the Constitutional Court's ruling of unconstitutionality is final. Hence complaints on the same issue will not be accepted anymore. Typical situations for a re-opening are when new facts appear that the parties could not have been aware of 108, to correct errors made by the Constitutional Court 109 or if the Constitution was changed 110. If the Constitutional Court found a normative act to be constitutional, two different regimes exist as to the admissibility of new complaints on the same grounds (below).

3.1.5 Abuse of the right to appeal to the Constitutional Court

German institution of higher education. Such representation is **only mandatory in the oral pleadings** before the Court (§ 22.1 sentence 1 half-sentence 2 of the Law). Outside oral pleadings, the Federal Constitutional Court may also permit another person than those mentioned above to act as counsel for a party.

105 In South Africa, there is no obligation to be legally represented. In terms of Rule 4(11) of the Rules of the

In South Africa, there is no obligation to be legally represented. In terms of Rule 4(11) of the Rules of the Constitutional Court, if it appears to the Registrar of the Court that a party is unrepresented, he or she shall refer the litigant to a body or institution that may be willing and in a position to assist the litigant.

106 U.S. Supreme Court Rule 38

0.5. Supreme Court Rule 35

107

CDL(2008)065, Opinion on the draft laws amending and supplementing (1) the law on constitutional proceedings of Kyrgyzstan and (2) the law on the Constitutional Court of Kyrgyzstan, 2008

¹⁰⁸ See, for instance, Article 34 Austrian Law on the Constitutional Court. Contrary to this *"nova reperta"*, *"nova producta"* where parties bring forward arguments only after closure of (first instance) proceedings even if they could have been aware of these points, is generally excluded.

109 See U.S. Supreme Court Rule 44. Rehearing: "1. Any petition for the rehearing of any judgment or decision of the Court on the merits shall be filed within 25 days after entry of the judgment or decision, unless the Court or a Justice shortens or extends the time." And Article 121 Swiss Federal Judicature Act: La révision d'un arrêt du Tribunal fédéral peut être demandée: a. si les dispositions concernant la composition du tribunal ou la récusation n'ont pas été observées; b. si le tribunal a accordé à une partie soit plus ou, sans que la loi ne le permette, autre chose que ce qu'elle a demandé, soit moins que ce que la partie adverse a reconnu devoir; c. si le tribunal n'a pas statué sur certaines conclusions; d. si, par inadvertance, le tribunal n'a pas pris en considération des faits pertinents qui ressortent du dossier.

ressortent du dossier.

Article 68(14) of the Law on the Const. Court of Armenia: Constitutional Court may reconsider any of its decisions mentioned in paragraph 1 of this Article within 7 years after ruling on the substance of the case on the basis of an appeal brought by procedure prescribed in this Law if: a) the provision of the Constitution applied for the case is changed, b) a new understanding of the provision of the Constitution applied for the case has emerged, which may be a basis for a differing decision on the same case and if the issue has a principle importance for Const. Law.)

103. In a number of states parties have the duty to exercise their procedural rights in *bona fide*¹¹¹. When an applicant abuses this obligation, the effectiveness of constitutional justice is distorted. Although the institute of the individual complaint is very important for the protection of human rights, such abuse is a prejudice to the constitutional order protected by the Constitutional Courts. According to §10(2) of the Rules of Procedure of the Russian Constitutional Court, if the applicant repeats its an application on an issue on which the Constitutional Court has already adopted a decision, a copy of the decision is sent to the applicant once again, informing him/her that thereafter the correspondence with him/her on this issue is terminated. Further complaints of the same persons on the same issue remain without answer.

3.1.6 Exhaustion of remedies

See 6.1.4 Table: Exhaustion of remedies and exceptions

104. The notion of exhaustion of remedies can have different meanings according to the context as, for instance, some procedural codes do not allow for a systematic access to the ordinary supreme courts. It is a typical condition for bringing a full or normative constitutional complaint to the Constitutional Court as it underlines the complaint's subsidiary character (e.g. Albania, Andorra, Armenia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Croatia, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Republic of Korea, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Malta, Montenegro, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Switzerland, and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia").

105. In states with diffuse review, there is no such precondition. An individual may challenge an individual or normative act on the grounds of a violation of the Constitution at any stage of a proceeding. However, in *amparo* systems, the right to initiate a proceeding of *amparo* can be subordinate to exhaustion of existing remedies (e.g. Mexico).

106. In cases where the respect of this rule could cause an irreparable damage to the individual, exhaustion of remedies is usually not required (e.g. Austria, Azerbaijan, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Germany, Latvia, Montenegro, Slovakia, Slovenia, and Switzerland).

3.1.7 Complainant directly and currently affected by the violation

107. This requirement exists in all states that allow for review in relation to a concrete case, it is even its essence. If the individual is not currently and directly aggrieved by the act, his/her application initiates abstract review. However, there are two attenuations to these requirements. First, as concerns the condition of "direct" victimhood: Some laws on constitutional proceedings (e.g. the Chilean law of *amparo* and South African standing provisions) authorise anyone to act in the name of the aggrieved person, which means that the action is still related to the concrete case, but the complainant is not directly a victim. Also, legal representatives (relatives, tutors, but also public institutions¹¹²) may act on behalf of a person that does not have legal capacity him-/herself. Second, some laws contain details on the nature of the violation: In most states, the breach of the fundamental right must constitute a disadvantage to the complainant, thus affecting him/her negatively. Also, in some national laws it is required that the harm be sufficiently important (e.g. Slovenia¹¹³).

3.1.8 Complaint as a proper means to repair the complainant's grief

¹¹¹ E.g. Armenia: Article 48 of the Law on the Constitutional Court, Kazakhstan: Article 21 of the Law on the Constitutional Council.

13 Article 55a Law on the Constitutional Court

_

¹¹² See for instance Article 59 Law on the Constitutional Court of Montenegro and Article 38 of the South African Constitution.

108. If the constitutional review proceeding will not substantially change the complainant's situation, an application can be refused (e.g. Germany, South Africa114). This evaluation is sometimes difficult to conduct during preliminary proceedings; therefore, it should only lead to denial of review in cases where the absence of effectiveness of the Constitutional Court's decision is manifest.

3.1.9 Written form

109. Applications to the Constitutional Court must be done in writing, and sometimes follow very precise rules (as is the case in the United States, where length of the application in terms of pages and even the colour of the document's folder are determined by law). These rules pursue the goals of transparency and traceability. However, especially when formal requirements are very strict and even more so if legal representation is not an obligation, the applicant needs to be given the possibility to correct or complete a document (e.g. Estonia¹¹⁵, Slovenia¹¹⁶, "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"). This prevents that review is denied for formal reasons despite the fact that the grief continues to exist.

3.1.10 Filters in preliminary ruling procedures See 6.1.5 Table: Preliminary ruling procedures

110. Preliminary questions are put before the Constitutional Court by an ordinary court. Specific regulations concerning admissibility of the question exist in many of the Venice Commission's member and observer states. In Andorra, Azerbaijan, Belarus, the Czech Republic, Georgia and Moldova, the Constitutional Court rejects a preliminary request on the grounds of procedural errors or lack of competence of the Constitutional Court. Whereas in Albania, Estonia, Hungary, Lithuania and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia", the Constitutional Court must retransmit the request to the ordinary court in order to give the latter the possibility to reformulate its question¹¹⁷. Also, many constitutional courts will reject a preliminary question if the answer to the question is irrelevant for the resolution of the specific case (e.g. Germany, Poland). In this respect, the Constitutional Court also looks at the precise case at hand.

- 3.2 Intervention, joinder of similar cases See 6.1.6 Table: Joinder of similar cases
- 111. In Armenia, Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, <u>Lithuania¹¹⁸</u>, Portugal¹¹⁹, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa¹²⁰, "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" and the United States, applications relating to the same question can or must be dealt with in one single proceeding.
- 112. In Belgium, Greece and Spain, any person having a lawful interest in the question may be joined to the proceedings.
- 113. Not least for reasons of procedural economy, persons who have a lawful interest in the question should be entitled to intervene in a pending case. 121 Clearly, the Court should not be

Only when filing a constitutional complaint. See Article 55(1) of the Constitutional Court Act.

See General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, (A. Alen, M. Melchior), Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009

 $^{^{114}}_{\dots}$ See Decision CCT 86/06 of 02/10/2007, in CODICES

^{115 §20} Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act

¹¹⁸ Article 41, Law on the Constitutional Court: "Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration".

¹¹⁹ Concerning applications by the Ombudsperson and constitutional revision

¹²⁰ See Decision CCT 24/08; CCT 52/08 of 21/01/2009, in CODICES

¹²¹ See for example decision CCD -751 of 15.04.2008 of the Constitutional Court of Armenia, pursuant to which the natural and legal persons affected by a law are entitled to challenge it before the Court.

obliged to reject a claim on the same subject as a pending case but be allowed to join it with the first claim.¹²²

3.3 Further relevant procedural rules

3.3.1 Adversary systems

See 6.1.7 Table: Adversary systems

114. Various laws on constitutional courts (including those of Armenia, Azerbaijan, Czech Republic, Georgia, Russia, and San Marino) provide that proceedings before the Court are adversary.

115. Adversary systems' advantage in constitutional proceedings is that the Court can take note of the different viewpoints and ponder on the arguments' validity, whereas in proceedings without open confrontation of positions, certain aspects may never reach the Constitutional Court. However, it should be ascertained that the Constitutional Court may investigate in its own to determine the truth so as to have tools to go beyond the arguments put forward by the parties¹²³.

116. It is important that the complainant¹²⁴ or the initiator of non-adversarial proceedings¹²⁵ be given the possibility to express him-/herself before the Constitutional Court. The **Venice Commission is in favour of the German**¹²⁶ **and Spanish provisions according to which in cases where the constitutional complaint is directed against a court decision, the Court should even give the party in whose favour the decision was taken an opportunity to make a statement.¹²⁷ Courts, on the other hand, do not need to be heard if their decision is being reviewed, as their judgment reflects their position, but they are sometimes parties in preliminary ruling proceedings (e.g. Austria, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia).**

117. Adversariality does not necessarily mean oral proceeding: Proceedings most commonly take place in written form, with each party submitting its arguments¹²⁸.

3.3.2 Publicity of the procedure.

See 6.1.8 Table: Public proceedings and exceptions

118. Proceedings are usually public, but the Constitutional Court may weigh publicity against other legitimate public and party interests (e.g. Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Georgia, Italy, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Russia, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Switzerland, "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia").

¹²⁶ Article 94 (3) Law on the Federal Constitutional Court: "If the constitutional complaint of unconstitutionality is directed against a court decision, the Federal Constitutional Court shall also give the party in whose favour the decision was taken an opportunity to make a statement."

Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation"

¹²² CDL-AD(2006)017 Opinion on the Amendments to the law on the Constitutional Court of Armenia

CDL-AD (2001)005 , Opinion on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of Azerbaijan

CDL(1997)018rev Opinion on the law on the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, adopted at the 31st plenary meeting of the Commission

¹²⁵ H. Steinberger, op.cit.

¹²⁷ CDL-AD(2008)030 Opinion on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Montenegro; Also in Albania, Andorra, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Cyprus, Germany, Italy, Latvia, Romania and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia", the parties in the ordinary proceeding can become parties in the review proceeding. See *General Report, Xllth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts*, (A. Alen, M. Melchior), Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009., p.26

¹²⁸ CDL-AD(2004)035 Opinion on the Draft Federal Constitutional Law "On Modifications and Amendments to the

119. From the perspective of human rights' protection public proceedings are preferable. The European Court of Human Rights repeatedly stated that the examination of a case before the Constitutional Court falls under Article 6(1) ECHR, leaving a margin of appreciation only as concerns the scope and measures of the implementation of this principle. Consequently, proceedings before the Constitutional Court should be public, subject to restrictions only in narrowly defined cases.

3.3.3 Conduct of oral proceedings

See 6.1.9 Table: Oral proceedings and exceptions

120. The advantage of oral proceedings is again the more direct confrontation of viewpoints and the fact that it is sometimes easier for a person to express his/her position orally, without having to comply with strict formal rules applicable to written proceedings. On the other hand, as it is important that in oral proceedings the parties are given effective possibility to expose their viewpoints, oral proceedings are very time-consuming. Following these considerations, three models exist in the states of this report: Either there are systematic oral proceedings, or decisions are based uniquely on written documents, or there is a combination of both 129. In Albania, Austria, Azerbaijan, Czech Republic, Italy, Germany, Liechtenstein, Slovenia and the United States, proceedings are oral, unless decided otherwise, which means that both oral and written procedures can be applied if deemed more adequate given the circumstances of the case. In South Africa, the Constitutional Court may decide an application on the basis of written submissions only and directions will be issued if oral argument is required. In practice, constitutional courts often dispense with oral proceedings (e.g. Germany¹³⁰ and Slovenia). In Hungary and Portugal, there are written proceedings only 131. Oral proceedings are the exception in Switzerland; the review is usually based on the written arguments set forth by the parties.

121. In states with diffuse constitutional review, it is little surprising that proceedings are oral, as ordinary procedural rules apply (e.g. Denmark). In Sweden proceedings before the Supreme Court can be oral, but are mostly written.

122. The Venice Commission notes that it is widely accepted that it should be possible for the Constitutional Court to suspend oral proceedings if this is necessary to safeguard parties' or public interests¹³².

3.4 Interim measures

Suspension of implementation

See 6.1.10 Table: Suspension of implementation

123. Suspension of implementation of the challenged – normative and/or individual - act follows the logic of protecting the individual against irreparable damage, whereby it is the Constitutional Court who must decide on the suspension (e.g. Austria, Albania, Andorra, Belgium, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Croatia, Estonia, Georgia, Liechtenstein, Poland, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" and the United States). Some states, however, for the sake of legal security, do not allow for a stay in the implementation of the act (e.g. Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Hungary, Latvia, Moldova, Montenegro, Portugal, Romania, Sweden,

¹²⁹ CDL-AD(2004)035 Opinion on the draft federal constitutional law "on modifications and amendments to the Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation"

130 R. Jaeger, S. Broß, "Die Beziehungen zwischen den Verfassungsgerichtshöfen und den übrigen einzelstaatlichen

an organization carries on a fascist ideology: if the organization is abolished, a trial hearing must be held.

Rechtsprechungsorganen, einschließlich der diesbezüglichen Interferenz des Handelns der europäischen Rechtsprechungsorgane", report for the XIIth Conference of European Constitutional Courts, p.22

131 In Portugal there is only one exception to this rule for cases when the Constitutional Court is asked to declare that

CDL-AD(2004)035 Opinion on the draft federal constitutional law "on modifications and amendments to the Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation"

Ukraine), whereas in Russia, the Constitutional Court may suggest to the relevant bodies to suspend implementation of the challenged act. In states with diffuse constitutional review, it is uncommon to suspend implementation (e.g. Denmark). In South Africa, when deciding a constitutional matter, a court may make any order that is just and equitable including making a temporary order. This may, where appropriate, include suspending the implementation of a normative act (statute). In Lithuania the challenged act may be suspended only in cases when the Constitutional Court receives submission by the President of the Republic to investigate whether an act of the Government is in compliance with the Constitution and the laws, or when it receives a resolution of the Parliament wherein it is requested to investigate whether a law of the Republic of Lithuania or other act adopted by the Parliament is in compliance with the Constitution, whether a decree of the President of the Republic, an act of the Government is in compliance with the Constitution and laws (article 26, Law on the Constitutional Court), but it is not a case when the ordinary court addresses with a preliminary ruling to the Constitutional Court.

124. The Venice Commission is in favour of the possibility to suspend implementation of a challenged individual and/or normative act, if the implementation could result in further damages or violations which cannot be repaired once the unconstitutionality of a provision is established¹³³. The conditions for suspension should not be too strict¹³⁴.

3.4.2 Stay of ordinary proceedings

See 6.1.11 Table: Stay of ordinary proceedings

125. Stay of ordinary proceedings in cases of preliminary ruling procedures. In Andorra, Armenia, Belgium, Chile, Cyprus, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Slovenia and Ukraine, the submitting court stays the proceedings in any case. In Austria, the suspension concerns "only what cannot be resolved in the absence of the constitutional decision" 135. The Croatian and Romanian regulations follow the same reasoning: If the ordinary court has doubts about a law it is about to apply, it must stay the proceedings; if doubts concern an administrative regulation, the court applies directly the law on which the regulation is based and refers the regulation to the Constitutional Court. In Slovenia, the ordinary court is obliged to stay ordinary proceedings when the issue of constitutionality concerns a law, but in case of by-laws ordinary courts can use the exception illegalis. Thus, the proceedings are not interrupted if this is not absolutely necessary to resolve the case at hand. The ordinary court in Spain may submit the question only after the end of the proceeding and before deliberating on the judgment; therefore, the judgment is subject to a decision by the Constitutional Court, even if ordinary proceedings continued even if there were already doubts as to the constitutionality of a provision. In Andorra, the proceedings continue, but the possibility of passing a judgment is limited: It must be established that the Constitutional Tribunal's decision will not have an effect on the ordinary court's judgment.

126. The ordinary judge should be obliged to suspend the case before them when s/he appeals to the Constitutional Court on the issue of constitutionality of the law applicable in the given case. Otherwise, the ordinary judge would be obliged to apply a of which he or she reasonably doubts the constitutionality.

3.4.3 Injunctive measures

See 6.1.12 Table: Injunctive measures

See, for instance, CDL-AD(2004)024 Opinion on the draft constitutional amendments with regard to the Constitutional Court of Turkey

CDL-AD(2007)039 Comments on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Serbia
 General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, (A. Alen, M. Melchior),
 Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009., p.37

127. Injunctive measures. The Constitutional Court can order positive actions from the public authorities to ensure that no further harm is done to the applicant (e.g. Germany, Malta, Liechtenstein, South Africa, Switzerland).

3.5 Discontinuation if the petition is withdrawn

128. In the case of normative reviews, the Constitutional Court doesn't necessarily stop proceedings if the application is being withdrawn. Following the withdrawal of the application, the Court should be enabled to continue the examination of the case if this is in the public interest. This is an expression of the autonomy of constitutional courts and their function as guardians of the Constitution, even if the applicant is no longer party to the proceedings.

129. The same is possible in relation to review following a full constitutional complaint, if the Constitutional Court has the power to initiate a review of the normative act that underlies an individual decision or act – even if the individual complaint is being withdrawn, the Constitutional Court can have the possibility to continue its review of the normative act. For normative acts, some laws on the Constitutional Court impose a cessation of proceedings if the petition is withdrawn (e.g. Austria¹³⁶, Lithuania, Serbia, South Africa¹³⁷, Ukraine).

130. For individual acts, proceedings require necessarily that the applicant upholds his/her petition (e.g. Austria, Montenegro, Slovenia). The Constitutional Court of Slovakia has the power to refuse withdrawal of a full constitutional complaint. In Portugal, the view is that that once a petition has been submitted, the instance is no longer within the power of the petitioner, and therefore a petition cannot be withdrawn.

3.6 Discontinuation if the challenged act loses validity

131. There is no shared view on the possibility of the Constitutional Court to continue review proceedings. In some states, the Court terminates its review immediately (e.g. Czech Republic¹³⁸, Montenegro¹³⁹); in others, it continues its control and declares the act unconstitutional, whereby it can be limited to certain reasons for continuing review (e.g. Poland and Russia, if this is necessary to prevent Human Rights violations) or be entirely free to continue review (e.g. Liechtenstein, Serbia). In Lithuania, the annulment of the disputed legal act shall be grounds to adopt a decision to dismiss the instituted legal proceedings (article 69, paragraph 4 of Law on Constitutional Court), but according to the jurisprudence of the Court, in such cases, when an ordinary court investigating a case applies to the Constitutional Court after it has had doubts concerning the compliance of a law or other legal act applicable in the case with the Constitution (other legal act of higher power), the Constitutional Court has a duty to investigate the request of the court regardless of the fact whether or not the disputed law or other legal is valid (see, for instance, Ruling of 27th March 2009, part I of Court's reasoning, point 8).

132. The mere discontinuation of a case can be insufficient for human rights protection in cases of concrete review or individual complaints. In such cases the Constitutional Court should be enables to award or initiate pecuniary compensation for the violation of a right.

¹³⁹ Article 65 Law on the Constitutional Court

.

¹³⁶ Article 57 (4), 62 (4) Law on the Constitutional Court

Rule 27 of the Rules of the Constitutional Court.

¹³⁸ Article 67 Constitutional Court Act

4 DECISION

133. When Constitutional Courts decide in matters brought before them by individuals or courts or Ombudspersons acting in relation to a concrete case, their decisions certainly affect individuals' legal positions either directly or, in the case of the abstract actio popularis, potentially. In fact, the question is not only whether the Constitutional Court decides in favour of the applicant or not; the scope of the decision's effect as well as the possible retroactivity of a decision determines whether the grief the individual is confronted with can be effectively removed.

134. The ruling can have different consequences. The decision can have effects on a specified circle of persons or on everybody (see 4.2 below); Then, the Constitutional Court can have the power to annul or derogate the challenged provision, but this latter may also stay in effect and be only interpreted in a specific manner (see 4.3 below).

4.1 Time limits for taking the decision

Time limits for the adoption of decisions should not be too short to provide the Constitutional Court with the opportunity to examine the case fully and should not be so long to prevent the effectiveness of the protection of human rights via constitutional justice. From the perspective of the effectiveness of constitutional justice the Constitutional Court should be enabled to prolong the mentioned time limits in exceptional cases. 140

4.2 Scope of the decision

4.2.1 Scope of review

136. Once the Constitutional Court has admitted a petition (all or in part), there is no possibility to reduce the scope of review. The Constitutional Court must in any case reply to all questions put before it141. it cannot refuse or omit to reply. However, can it go beyond the application before it? What reasoning does such an extension follow?

4.2.1.1 No extension

137. In some states, the Constitutional Court's review is limited to the original petition (review ultra petitur is excluded), as is the case in Andorra¹⁴², Belgium¹⁴³, Georgia¹⁴⁴, Hungary, Montenegro¹⁴⁵, Poland¹⁴⁶ and Switzerland¹⁴⁷. The Constitutional Court can invalidate an act only

¹⁴⁰ E.g. Armenia: the Law on the Constitutional Court, in both the cases of abstract and concrete review, the Constitutional Court adopts the decision not later than 6 months after registration of the appeal and by a reaoned decision, the Constitutional Court can extend the time limit for case examination, but no longer than for three months. General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, (A. Alen, M. Melchior), Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009.

Article 7 Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court: "3. The decision or judgment determining a case, which has been declared admissible, may not contain considerations different from those submitted by the parties in their respective claims."

C.A. n°12/86 du 25 mars 1986, 3.B.1

¹⁴⁴ Art.26 Law on the Constitutional Court: "The Constitutional Court shall not be competent to judge the conformity of the whole law or other normative act with the Constitution if the petitioner or applicant requests recognition of only a certain provision or provisions of the law or other normative act as unconstitutional."

145 Article 63 draft law on Constitutional Court: The Constitutional Court shall decide only on the violation of human

right or freedom cited in the constitutional complaint."

Article 66 Constitutional Tribunal Act: "The Tribunal shall, while adjudicating, be bound by the limits of the application, question of law or complaint."

Article 107 Federal Judicature Act: Le Tribunal fédéral ne peut aller au-delà des conclusions des parties.

insofar as this has been petitioned and with reference to the constitutional provision or principle that was mentioned in the referral. This is often problematic as inexpertly filed petitions often don't set out clearly the basis on which an act is contested, or the challenged act itself, and thus have little chance of succeeding¹⁴⁸.

138. It follows that there are two possibilities for the Constitutional Court to extend its review beyond the explicit terms of the request: The Court can, on the one hand, review other acts concerning their constitutionality, and on the other hand, it can extend the circle of constitutional or other higher-ranking provisions that serve as review standards. A more restrictive approach is to limit the control to issues of substance; a broader approach would be to include review of procedure as well.

4.2.1.2 Extension of norms under review

See 6.1.13 Table: Extension of norms under review

139. In relation to requests to review normative acts, the Constitutional Court can decide to review the constitutionality not only of a challenged phrase, but of a whole law or act, and it may decide to review other normative acts (e.g. Austria, Croatia, Estonia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania¹⁴⁹. Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia", and, to a lesser extent, in Germany¹⁵⁰, Italy, Moldova, Romania, Spain¹⁵¹ and Ukraine). Thereby, the Court combines the subjective and the objective function of constitutional review: The Court takes the original application as occasion for a more general review leading to a clearing up of the constitutional order, and, potentially, to a removal of more provisions violating subjective fundamental rights. The solution provided by Article 87 of the Russian Law On the Constitutional Court is worth mentioning, according to which a decision of unconstitutionality of a provision is the basis for the annulment of all other norms, which are based upon, reproduce or containing the same provisions as the unconstitutional provision.

140. If construed narrowly, the question is even more pressing with full constitutional complaints against individual acts. The Constitutional Court might have the power only to invalidate the individual act but be forbidden to lift the normative act that served as basis for the individual act, even if this act is unconstitutional and the violation challenged in the full constitutional complaint resulted from correct application of an unconstitutional normative act. The normative act thus stays valid, potentially exposing other persons to violations of their fundamental rights. 152

141. However, this situation is the exception (e.g. Switzerland, where the complaint 153 cannot lead to the opening of normative review proceedings).

The opposite situation is critical as well, i.e. when in the framework of the normative constitutional complaint, the Constitutional Court does not have the possibility to address the constitutionality of the individual act adopted on the basis of that norm.

¹⁴⁸ For instance, United States Supreme Court interprets the terms of a petition and conducts review not only on the explicit questions stated, but also on those implied in the petition: "Only the questions set out in the petition, or fairly included therein, will be considered by the Court." In Portugal, to avoid problems arising from inexpertly filed petitions, the Rapporteur has the power to invite a petitioner who has not yet done so, to specify the decision he is filing an appeal against, which constitutional rule or principle he considers to have been breached (even if this does not limit the Court, see 4.1.1.3.), and to identify the document in the case file in which he originally raised the question of unconstitutionality or illegality.

The Court hold that "The Constitutional Court, having established that the provisions of a law the compliance with the Constitution of which is not disputed by the petitioner but by which the social relations regulated by the disputed law are interfered with conflict with the Constitution, must state so" (Rulings of 9 November 2001, 14 January 2002, 19 June 2002, 27 June 2007, 3 March 2009, 2 September 2009).

150 The Court may do so on the basis of Article 78 sentence 2 of the Law on the Federal Constitutional Court, which

applies to the abstract review of statutes.

See A. Alen, op.cit.

The complaint can only be directed against cantonal laws.

142. In Germany, Estonia, Liechtenstein and Lithuania the Constitutional Court has to annul the normative act in the same proceeding; in Austria¹⁵⁴, the Czech Republic and in Spain, the Constitutional Court is obliged to open a second proceeding for constitutional review, in Croatia, Slovenia and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"¹⁵⁵, this is a faculty. It is important to notice that in Austria, the law may only be lifted in its entirety if this doesn't run counter to the complainant's interests.

4.2.1.3 Extension of the circle of review standards

143. Often, individual applicants have difficulties specifying the precise grounds on which they lodge their application. In view of admitting a greater number of applications despite these errors, the Constitutional Court may issue decisions on another constitutional basis than that mentioned in the request¹⁵⁶ (e.g. Albania, Belgium, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Germany, Portugal, Russia, Slovenia and Spain).

4.2.1.4 Interpretation of the impugned provision by the Constitutional Court

144. In order to reach its decision, the Constitutional Court must identify the contents of an impugned provision. Here, two possibilities can be envisaged: Either the Constitutional Court defers to the interpretation of ordinary courts or it gives its own interpretation.

145. Following a preliminary request, none of the constitutional courts considered in this report is "strictly bound by the interpretation of the reviewed regulation given by the referring court" (see, for instance, Estonia¹⁵⁸), with the exception of Portugal, where the Constitutional Court has consistently stated that in concrete reviews of constitutionality, its review is limited by the referring court's interpretation of the rule under consideration. The Austrian, Belgian and Spanish constitutional courts will in principle apply the interpretation contained in a referral by a court, except if another interpretation could be in line with the Constitution. The German Constitutional Court may ask the federal courts to submit their interpretations on a specific question, but is free to diverge from this interpretation¹⁵⁹. If it interprets statutes by its own, it must ensure that the ordinary courts will be ready to follow the Constitutional Court (see below).

146. In fact, the technique of "réserve d'interprétation" or "verfassungsgemäße Auslegung" ("power to ensure constitutionality through a specific interpretation") through which the Constitutional Court imposes on all other state organs to apply a normative act only in a specific interpretation which the Constitutional Court has found to be constitutional, helps preserve normative acts even if one or several unconstitutional interpretations would be possible ¹⁶⁰, but is ineffective if the ordinary courts and administrative bodies don't follow this interpretation ¹⁶¹. An explicit legislative – or even better constitutional – provision obliging all other state organs, including the courts, to follow the constitutional interpretation provided by the Constitutional Court provides an important element of clarity in the relations between the

¹⁵⁴ In Austria, the Constitutional Court opens itself a new abstract review proceeding of the normative act and stays the proceeding following the constitutional complaint. After having decided in the abstract proceeding, it takes up the concrete case again.

See Article 56 and 14 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court

¹⁵⁶ See *General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts*, (A. Alen, M. Melchior), Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009.

¹⁵⁷ A. Alen, M. Melchior, *General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts*, Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009

¹⁵⁸ 814 Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act: "(1) Upon hearing a matter the Supreme Court shall not be bound."

¹⁵⁸ §14 Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act: "(1) Upon hearing a matter the Supreme Court shall not be bound by the reasoning of a request, court judgment or ruling."
¹⁵⁹ Article 82 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court. According to Article 82.4 sentence 1, this applies not only to the

¹⁵⁹ Article 82 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court. According to Article 82.4 sentence 1, this applies not only to the federal supreme courts but also to the supreme courts of the *Länder*.

¹⁶⁰ See CCT 1/00 in CODICES.

See X. Samuel, "Les réserves d'interprétation émises par le Conseil constitutionnel", in: <a href="http://www.conseil-constitutionnel.fr/conseil-conseil-constitutionnel.fr/conseil-

Constitutional Court and ordinary courts and can serve as a basis to individuals for claiming their rights before the courts.

147. In order to overcome the problem of non application of the Constitutional Court's decision, the Italian Constitutional Court took the opposite way and developed the concept of "diritto vivente" (living law). The constitutional judge interprets a contested legal provision as it is "usually" interpreted by ordinary courts and decides on the unconstitutionality of this common interpretation, even if the provision could be interpreted in a constitutional manner. Thus, a law that has consistently been interpreted in an unconstitutional manner is annulled and the Parliament is called upon to adopt a new law which (hopefully) cannot be or is less likely to be interpreted in an unconstitutional manner. The Constitutional Court of the Republic of Armenia declares a challenged norms unconstitutional on the basis of the interpretation commonly given to the law in its application.

4.2.2 Effect ratione personae

148. A typical attribute of constitutional courts following the European model is the *erga omnes* effect of their decisions. *Erga omnes* effect of decisions means that these decisions become binding on everyone, as opposed to decisions which have effect only between the parties of the concrete legal dispute (effect *inter partes*). While decisions following a complaint against an individual act usually have *inter partes* effect, the scope of decisions when a normative act has been challenged can vary and depends mostly on the legislator's preference. See 6.1.14 Table: *Erga omnes* effect

Also, rulings take different effects depending on whether the Constitutional Court finds a provision constitutional or unconstitutional. See 6.1.15 Table: Confirmation of constitutionality

4.2.2.1 Review of normative acts

149. The most obvious example of *erga omnes* effect is if the Constitutional Court invalidates a normative act. It is then removed from the legal order and can no longer be applied by anyone. If a (Constitutional) court considers a normative act to be unconstitutional, several configurations come into play: It can be obliged to invalidate the act with effect *erga omnes*. It can also declare the unconstitutionality of the act, leave it unapplied, but refrain from (or be incompetent to) removing it from the legal order. In most cases in the countries examined in this report, the review of a normative act will lead to a decision which is binding on everyone.

150. A more nuanced view is necessary considering preliminary ruling procedures. First, exceptions of unconstitutionality and preliminary questions initiate review of a normative act. It is uncontested that a decision following an exception of unconstitutionality has a binding effect between the parties and that the ordinary court is obliged to apply the Constitutional Court's decision in the concrete case¹⁶². In many states, the Constitutional Court's decision goes beyond this finding of unconstitutionality *inter partes* and lifts the challenged normative act. Thereby, the legislator combined the idea of protection of subjective fundamental rights and that of objective constitutional review. This is the case for example in Albania, Bulgaria, Greece, Italy, Lithuania, Romania, San Marino, South Africa¹⁶³. Also, in Malta and other common law states, the binding effect of the Supreme Court's decision is inherent in the system of precedents.

¹⁶² See, for instance, Article 57 Andorran Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court: "2. The decision of the Constitutional Court is binding on the court which referred the matter to it. [...]"

.

¹⁶³ In South Africa, if a normative act (statute) is found by a court to be inconsistent with the Constitution it is declared invalid to that extent and, once this declaration of invalidity is confirmed by the Constitutional Court, the normative act (statute) no longer applies to any person.

151. Exceptions are Belgium, Luxemburg, and Cyprus, where the effect of a ruling of the Constitutional Court is expressly limited to the concrete case. Also, in Turkey, the submitting court shall only await the Constitutional Court's ruling and apply it if the Constitutional Court decides within five months. Otherwise, the submitting court must apply the challenged law. In Portugal, even if the law on the Constitutional Court provides that decisions' effects are limited to the submitting case, the Constitutional Court, if it has issued three rulings on the same matter, can decide to open abstract review proceedings of the challenged normative act and possibly invalidate it¹⁶⁴.

152. Also, the ruling of unconstitutionality following a normative constitutional complaint or a full constitutional complaint attacking a normative act has *erga omnes* effect (e.g. Armenia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Germany, Hungary, Kyrgyzstan, Liechtenstein, Poland, Slovenia, South Africa).

153. In states with diffuse or mixed review systems there exist two diametrically opposed logics. On the one hand, decisions can have real *erga omnes* effect or a similarly broad scope. *Erga omnes* effect exists in Brazil and Mexico¹⁶⁵, where the Constitutional Court may declare a law unconstitutional after five consecutive rulings concerning the same general act. Also, the institute of precedents in common law systems provides for a binding authority of Constitutional Court decisions for lower courts. Hence, the declaration of inapplicability of a law due to its unconstitutionality, for instance, will be applied by all lower courts, except if they make use of "distinguish" explaining why the present case is different from the precedent (e.g. Canada¹⁶⁶, USA¹⁶⁷, Peru, Mexico). In Iceland, *stare decisis* is not inscribed in the Constitution, but is a constitutional custom. In Malta and Brazil, not only does the system of precedents create a certain general effect of rulings, but the Courts may also suggest legislative changes.

154. On the other hand, in Argentina, Chile, Denmark, Finland, Japan, Norway and Sweden, the Constitutional or Supreme Court limits itself to declaring the inapplicability of a normative act in the concrete case. There is no formal guarantee for unity of legal practice by the courts. Therefore, there needs to be a strong informal coherence within the court system, especially through information and willingness to follow certain guidelines, to avoid fragmentation of rulings and consequently legal uncertainty.

155. Another group of decisions on normative acts that don't necessarily have *erga omnes* effect are declarations of unconstitutionality (see below "Continuing validity of a challenged act").

¹⁶⁴ In Portugal the existence of three Constitutional Court's decisions issued in concrete review of constitutionality, in which a given rule was held unconstitutional, is a mere precondition for the initiation of an autonomous review – this time of an abstract type – of the constitutionality of the rule in question. Inasmuch as the new review is autonomous, nothing prevents the new decision, now taken by the thirteen-justices Plenary, from being different from the earlier decisions, issued by five-justices panels within individual Sections of the Constitutional Court. See Ruling no. 221/2009 of 5 May 2009, in which the representative of the Public Prosecutors' Office at the Constitutional Court asked the Court to declare, with generally binding force, the unconstitutionality of a rule contained in an Executive Law on charging the amount due for the provision of healthcare at an establishment or service belonging to the National Health Service, when the interested party had not displayed an NHS user card and had not, within the deadline laid down by the Executive Law, provided evidence that he either held such a card, or had asked the competent department to issue one. The Constitutional Court had already held the prevailing interpretation of this rule materially unconstitutional in three concrete review cases. However, in Ruling no. 221/2009 the Plenary decided not to declare its unconstitutionality. It is worth adding that the Public Prosecutors' Office possesses the competence to request this process of rendering jurisprudence uniform, but that the process can also be initiated by any of the individual Justices of the Constitutional Court itself. The request cannot be made by a private individual.

T. Ginsberg, "Comparative Constitutional Review", United States Institute for Peace Projects, http://www.usip.org/ruleoflaw/projects/tg_memo_on_constitutional_review.pdf, accessed 02 March 2009

100 http://www.er.ugam.ca/nobel/r31400/jur2515/ndecours/jur2515chap7-2007.pdf, accessed 2 March 2009

See "The Court and Constitutional Interpretation", in: http://www.supremecourtus.gov/about/constitutional.pdf, accessed 04 May 2009

4.2.2.2 Review of individual acts

156. Usually, the decision following a full constitutional complaint or a writ of *amparo* challenging an individual act has effects only on the case or situation on the basis of which the proceedings were initiated¹⁶⁸. The question of the scope of a decision by the Constitutional Court raises fundamental problems concerning the role and effectiveness of constitutional complaints. It binds only the applicant, and the judicial or administrative body whose act was impugned, and possibly also the public bodies concerned with the concrete question also for the future, as long as the concrete situation at the origin of the case hasn't changed (e.g. Austria, Germany¹⁶⁹).

157. Three cases can be distinguished. Either the Constitutional Court decides on the substance or it quashes an individual act or it only orders a re-opening of a proceeding or a change of the administrative act, without annulling the act.

158. The Constitutional Court has the possibility to rule on the substance of the case in Canada, Cyprus (only concerning court decisions), Iceland, Ireland, Japan, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain and the United States. However, in most of these states, this is not the rule, and the Constitutional Court can decide to send the case back to a lower court for deciding on the substance¹⁷⁰.

159. If the Constitutional Court annuls a final court decision, it usually orders a re-trial of the case at hand (e.g. Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Czech Republic, Germany, Liechtenstein, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Republic of Korea). Likewise, if the Court lifts an individual administrative act, the absence of an administrative act puts the administrative bodies in principle under an obligation to pass a new act.

160. If the Constitutional Court only sends a case back to the highest ordinary courts for reopening of proceedings without actually quashing the unconstitutional decision (e.g. Azerbaijan), the delicate question arises whether the highest ordinary court will follow the orders passed by the Constitutional Court. Also, the strength of the Serbian¹⁷¹ regulation where the Constitutional Court suspends its proceedings to give the administrative or legislative body time to rectify a potentially unconstitutional situation depends greatly on the bodies' willingness to follow such indications.

161. While some of the Constitutional Courts can really give orders as to how the relevant body must act in order to be in conformity with the Constitution and to execute correctly the decision at hand (e.g. Czech Republic¹⁷², Kyrgyzstan¹⁷³, Malta, Slovakia¹⁷⁴, Slovenia, Spain¹⁷⁵,Ukraine¹⁷⁶), in other countries, no such power to indicate or to command positive actions exist. In the latter case, separation of powers is more clearly respected; however, it might cause a lack of effectiveness of the Court's decision.

162. As noted above, the Constitutional Court may have the possibility to extend its review by either opening a new proceeding or deciding on the question of constitutionality of a normative act on which the challenged individual act was based in the same proceeding; this (second) decision will then have *erga omnes* effect. But also the decision on an individual act can have

¹⁶⁸ General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, (A. Alen, M. Melchior), Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009., p.45 R.Jaeger, S. Broß, op. Cit., p. 27

CDL-INF(2001)009 Decisions of constitutional courts and equivalent bodies and their execution

Article 55 Law on the Constitutional Court

¹⁷² Article 82b) Constitutional Court Act

¹⁷³ Article 14 Constitutional Court Law

¹⁷⁴ Article 127 (3) Constitution

¹⁷⁵ Article 55 1 c Organic Law on the Constitutional Court

¹⁷⁶ Article 70 Law on the Constitutional Court

an effect that is not limited to the submitting case: In Montenegro, when the Constitutional Court decides on an individual act through which several persons' rights were violated but only one or some of them complained to the Constitutional Court, the decision extends to all aggrieved persons. Also, in some states, the Constitutional Court may announce that future administrative or judicial acts comparable to the one annulled by the Constitutional Court will be unconstitutional in the future (e.g. Germany)¹⁷⁷. Hence, even when deciding in an individual case, the Constitutional Court gives general directions as to how courts or administrative organs or bodies may behave in order to act within the Constitution.

4.2.2.3 *Erga omnes* effect of rejections of applications

163. The rejection of an application has effect inter partes (e.g. San Marino¹⁷⁸), but has a broad importance in practice, as potential future applicants (especially ordinary courts) go by the Constitutional Court's decision and can already preview whether their application will probably be unsuccessful¹⁷⁹.

4.2.2.4 *Erga omnes* effect of rulings confirming constitutionality See 6.1.15 Table: Confirmation of constitutionality

164. The scope of effects of rulings in which the Constitutional Court confirms the constitutionality, that is, where it refuses to invalidate a normative or individual act, is disputed.

165. Two opposing rationales exist: First, in Austria, Romania, Spain and Switzerland, the Constitutional Court will not accept any future applications regarding the same statute with respects to the same provision constitutionality by the same person¹⁸⁰. The ruling thus has effect between the litigants¹⁸¹.

166. On the other hand, rulings confirming the compatibility with the Constitution can have *erga omnes* effect. The ordinary judge in Peru must not consider questions of unconstitutionality put forward by a party if they concern a norm whose constitutionality has been affirmed by the Constitutional Tribunal in a previous ruling. Likewise, in Andorra, Armenia, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Germany¹⁸², Serbia and Lithuania, rulings of constitutionality are final. This means that the question may not be raised anymore at all or for a certain period of time (Armenia, Turkey).

167. The rules applicable in Slovenia and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" take an intermediary position, as the Constitutional Court will not take up a question again if there are no reasons to believe that it will rule differently this time. *A contrario*, if there are reasonable doubts, it will admit an application.

¹⁷⁷ R. Jaeger, S. Broß, op.cit., p. 27

Article 13 Qualified Law on the Organisation of the Collegio Garante

¹⁷⁹ R. Jaeger, S. Broß, op. cit., p. 26 f

Except if the question is presented under a new angle.

¹⁸¹ G. Kucsko-Stadlmayer, "Die Beziehungen zwischen den Verfassungsgerichtshöfen und den übrigen einzelstaatlichen Rechtsprechungsorganen, einschließlich der diesbezüglichen Interferenz des Handelns der europäischen Rechtsprechungsorgane", report for the XIIth Conference of European Constitutional Courts, 2002, p. 23

<sup>23
182</sup> However, the question of constitutionality of a statute can be raised again before the Federal Constitutional Court if there has been a substantial change of the factual or legal situations since the first decision.
183 See Art.28 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court

4.2.2.5 Stare decisis in systems with diffuse review

168. The U.S., Cyprus¹⁸⁴, Mexico, South Africa and Peru¹⁸⁵ apply the system of precedents (lower courts are bound by the interpretation of higher courts), which ensures a large degree of coherence of the courts' decisions, coming close to erga omnes effect in civil law systems. A lower court may sometimes refuse to apply the ratio decidendi (reasoning) of the higher court's ruling, but has to explain why the current case differs from the precedent case in order to justify its new ruling. Notwithstanding the principle of stare decisis, the highest courts of common law countries, like in the U.S. and in the United Kingdom (since 1966) can overrule their own decision by a majority of the judges and with adequate motivation. In some civil law states (e.g. Andorra¹⁸⁶), the Constitutional Court is bound by its own precedents, but may overrule them by motivated decision of a certain majority of its members. The same applies in Switzerland¹⁸⁷, where the plenary must decide in cases where a section intends to diverge from another section's rulings. In Lithuania, according to the jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court of Lithuania, the Constitutional Court is bound by the precedents that it itself has created and by the official constitutional doctrine which has been formulated by the Constitutional Court and which substantiates these precedents (Constitutional Court decision of 21 November 2006). It may be possible to deviate from the Constitutional Court precedents created while adopting decisions in cases of constitutional justice and new precedents may be created only in the cases when it is unavoidably and objectively necessary, constitutionally grounded and reasoned. The said necessity to reinterpret certain official constitutional doctrinal provisions so that the official constitutional doctrine would be corrected may be determined only by the circumstances as the necessity to increase possibilities for implementing the innate and acquired rights of persons and their legitimate interests, the necessity to better defend and protect the values enshrined in the Constitution. (Constitutional Court ruling of 24 october 2007).

4.3 Temporal effects of the decision

4.3.1 Ex tunc or ex nunc invalidation of an act See 6.1.16 Table: Ex nunc or ex tunc effect of the Constitutional Court's decision

169. Decisions concerning the unconstitutionality of a normative act can have different temporal effects. The doctrine of nullity ("Nichtigkeitslehre") opposes itself to the doctrine of invalidatability ("Vernichtbarkeitslehre"). This poses the dilemma between dogmatic coherence (if the unconstitutional act is considered as never having been part of the legal order) and legal security (with continuing validity of acts based on the derogated act prior to the entry into force

⁷ Article 23 Federal Judicature Act

¹⁸⁴ http://www.supremecourt.gov.cy/judicial/sc.nsf/DMLfaq_en/DMLfaq_en?OpenDocument, accessed 4 June2009

Article VI Code of Constitutional Procedure (p.t.): "The judges interpret and apply the law or any norm with force of law and the regulations following the constitutional precepts and principles, in conformity with the interpretation of the latter undertaken in the resolutions passed by the Constitutional Tribunal. (Los Jueces interpretan y aplican las leyes o toda norma con rango de ley y los reglamentos según los preceptos y principios constitucionales, conforme a la interpretación de los mismos que resulte de las resoluciones dictadas por el Tribunal Constitucional.) Article VII: "The judgments of the Constitutional Tribunal which obtain the authority of res iudicata become a binding precedent if the judgment so states, specifying the extent of its normative effect. If the Constitutional Court decides to deviate from the precedent, it must enunciate the factual and legal basis that underlies the judgment and the reasons why the Tribunal deviates from the precedent. (Las sentencias del Tribunal Constitucional que adquieren la autoridad de cosa juzgada constituyen precedente vinculante cuando así lo exprese la sentencia, precisando el extremo de su efecto normativo. Cuando el Tribunal Constitucional resuelva apartándose del precedente, debe expresar los fundamentos de hecho y de derecho que sustentan la sentencia y las razones por las cuales se aparta del precedente.)

¹⁰⁰ Article 3 Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court: "1. The Constitutional Court is subject only to the Constitution and to this Law. The precedents laid down by the Constitutional Court bind the Court in its subsequent interpretation of the Constitution; however, they may be amended by a reasoned decision taken by an absolute majority of its members. 2. For the purposes of the preceding paragraph, a precedent is presumed to exist where at least two identical cases have been resolved with the same decision and are based on the same doctrine."

of the Constitutional Court's decision)¹⁸⁸. No country under review in this report has opted for the former solution without leaving a certain room for manoeuvre to the Constitutional Court, because the annulment of an important normative act on which many individual acts are based could have vast consequences. The choice between annulment and derogation also has effects on the individuals' readiness to file a complaint against a normative act. If the court invalidates the norm with prospective effect, the claimant's case will not be solved by the removal of the unconstitutional general norm. Therefore, to provide an incentive for individuals to complain against normative acts, some states envisage a retroactive effect of the decision applying uniquely to the claimant's case (the so-called "premium for the catcher" provided to the individual applicant's case.

170. Only relatively few countries introduced *ex tunc* effect of Constitutional Court rulings. These are Andorra, Belgium, Germany, Italy, Kyrgyzstan, Poland, Portugal, Russia and Slovenia.

171. Amongst these countries, only Andorra, Belgium, Kyrgyzstan, Russia and Slovenia¹⁹⁰ provide for a vast *ex tunc* effect with only few exceptions which need to be specified by the Constitutional Court, whereas all other states (e.g. Germany¹⁹¹, Italy, Portugal) restrict the declaration of pre-existing nullity to acts other than final court decisions.

172. *Ex nunc* effect has been introduced in Albania, Armenia, Austria, Chile, Croatia, Czech Republic, Georgia, Hungary, South Korea, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Moldova, Romania, Russia, San Marino, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia¹⁹², Spain, Switzerland, "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia", Mexico.

173. Here again, most states have certain moderations to the derogatory effect.

4.3.2

4.3.3 Moderations to the invalidations and their temporal effects

174. Both *ex tunc* and *ex nunc* rulings' inconvenients are sometimes found to need extenuation. One of the possibilities is to provide for the Constitutional Court to set the moment of entry into force of its decision (either in the past, as a middle course between nullity and derogation, or at some moment in the future, or both). The other possibility is to resort to techniques of (authoritative) interpretation that combine adequate protection of the Constitution and constancy of the legal order in that not all provisions are removed immediately from the legal order. In South Africa, a court declaring a normative act (statute) invalid on the ground of inconsistency with the Constitution may make an order relating to the extent of its retrospective effect.

175. Ex tunc decisions don't affect final court decisions. Legal certainty concerning final court decisions has been given the priority in the majority of states with retroactive Constitutional Court decisions (e.g. Italy, Portugal).

¹⁸⁸ The Albanian and Russian regulations are remarkable in that they state explicitly that the Constitutional Court may order an immediate effect of its decision even before publication if this is necessary to protect the individual's constitutional rights.

constitutional rights.

189 Term exists in Austrian doctrine ("Ergreiferprämie"), for the translation see CDL(2008)065, Opinion on the draft laws amending and supplementing (1) the law on constitutional proceedings of Kyrgyzstan and (2) the law on the Constitutional Court of Kyrgyzstan, 2008

when the Constitutional Court annuls an unconstitutional or unlawful regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority. In Slovenia annulment has ex tunc effect. Art. 45(2) of the Constitutional Court Act.

¹⁹¹ According to Article 79.1 and 79.2 Law on the Federal Constitutional court, final decisions which are based on a statute that has been declared null and void remain unaffected even if a provision or a law is declared null and void ex tunc. Only in the case of a final conviction may new proceedings be instituted in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

¹⁹² When the Constitutional Court abrogates either an unconstitutional law or an unconstitutional or illegal regulation or general act issued as exercise of public authority..Abrogation has *ex nunc* effect. Arts. 43 and 45(3) of the Constitutional Court Act.

176. Ex tunc effect for criminal cases. However, the reopening of criminal lawsuits is very common, even in countries with derogatory effect of Constitutional Court decisions, if this would lead to a more favourable penalty (e.g. Albania, Czech Republic, Hungary, Italy, South Korea, Moldova, Portugal, Romania, Slovenia, Spain, South Africa, Mexico, and Uruguay). In South Africa, a new ground for review of sentencing was established when such sentencing was conducted in accordance with an unconstitutional normative act (statute). 193 In Portugal, the Constitutional Court can provide for retroactive effects of a decision when the rule declared unconstitutional or illegal concerns criminal or disciplinary matters, or administrative offences, when its content is less favourable to the accused.¹⁹⁴ In Czech Republic reopening of the criminal proceeding is possible only if a judgment has not been enforced yet 195, whether in Slovenia, criminal proceedings can be reopened even in the presence of a final judgment, if the statute on which the conviction was based has been annulled or abrogated.

177. Specific delay of invalidation. Almost all states have specific regulations on the entry into force and the possible retroactive effect of the Constitutional Court's decision. Decisions enter into force already on the day of proclamation in Albania if this is necessary to protect the fundamental rights of the individual. Some states who apply the principle of derogatory effect of Constitutional Court decisions provide for retroactivity to repair or prevent damage (e.g. Armenia, Azerbaijan, Slovenia). Serbia and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" have provisions according to which individuals can request the reopening of proceedings in all cases where a final decision was based on an invalidated normative act. In a more restricted manner, the "premium for the catcher" (retroactive effect only in the submitting case) has been introduced in Armenia¹⁹⁶, Austria, Hungary, with moderations Liechtenstein.

178. Continuing validity of the provision. Several cases must be distinguished. In states with diffuse constitutional review, a challenged normative act cannot be invalidated but becomes inapplicable by lower instance courts (e.g. Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Malta, Norway, Sweden). In Malta, for instance, the Constitutional Court submits its decision to the legislator who is free to change legislation in accordance with the Court's ruling or not. Also, in Switzerland, the Federal Court cannot invalidate unconstitutional federal laws, but declare their unconstitutionality, which leaves the law in force.

179. The constitutional courts of Andorra, Germany, Poland, and Portugal, Slovenia and South Africa have the possibility of declaring a statute incompatible with the Constitution. The provision is then usually inapplicable but not void, and the legislator must change it so as to bring it in line with the Constitution within a specified period of time. In Germany, this option is chosen in particular in cases related to the principle of equality. The Constitutional Court sometimes gives concrete directives on the application of the law during the transitory period accorded to the legislator to change the law¹⁹⁷.

180. The same result is achieved in states whose Constitutional Court adopts decisions with ex nunc effect if the Court can suspend its entry into force (e.g. Austria, Azerbaijan, Hungary, Latvia, Liechtenstein¹⁹⁸, Slovenia, South Africa¹⁹⁹ and Switzerland²⁰⁰).

¹⁹³ See CCT 98/08 in the CODICES.

One example of this is provided by Ruling no. 232/2004 of 31 March 2004, in which, with generally binding force, the Court declared the unconstitutionality of rules concerning accessory penalties involving the deportation of foreign citizens who are responsible for underage children who hold Portuguese nationality and reside in Portuguese territory. However, the Court determined the effects of the unconstitutionality of these rules in such a way as not to exclude cases in which sentences including accessory penalties of deportation had already been handed down, but had not yet been executed when Ruling no. 232/2004 was published. 195 Section 71 of the ACC

¹⁹⁶ CDL-AD(2006)017 Opinion on Amendments to the Law on the Constitutional Court of Armenia

¹⁹⁷ R. Jaeger, S. Broß, op. cit., p. 26

See H. Wille, National report for the XIVth Conference of European Constitutional Courts, p.17, in: http://www.lrkt.lt/conference/Pranesimai/Q_Liechtenstein_D.doc#_Toc198870236

- 4.4 Material effects: Capacity of Constitutional Court to attribute damages See 6.1.17Table: Capacity of constitutional courts to attribute damages
- 181. Most of the constitutional courts under consideration here do not themselves have the capacity to attribute damages to the individual whose rights have been violated either through an individual or a normative act. Very often, however, the Constitutional Court's decision will only lead to a re-opening of the individual case (if an individual act was attacked or in the case of "rewards for the catcher" in relation to normative complaints), where the lower ordinary court or tribunal may then decide on the attribution of damages according to the applicable procedural rules (e.g. Cyprus). Also, if a ruling of unconstitutionality of a normative act has ex tunc effect, all acts based on the unconstitutional law since its entry into force are null and void and allow for re-opening of proceedings before ordinary courts.
- 182. In common law states, damages are a part of tort law; if a public authority infringes on individual rights, the individual is entitled to satisfaction.
- 183. It is also quite unsurprising that in states with diffuse review, in ordinary proceedings the individual may put a claim for compensation against a state authority whose action violated the individual's rights. In South Africa, the award of "constitutional damages", based solely on the infringement of a constitutional right, was held by the Constitutional Court to be competent under the court's jurisdiction to grant "appropriate relief". 201

5 OTHER QUESTIONS

Delimitation of jurisdiction between constitutional courts and ordinary courts

184. In the case of a violation of individual fundamental rights, redress should be accessible as quickly as possible. In this respect, the question of the relation between ordinary courts and the Constitutional Court is relevant. First of all, it is the ordinary courts that are at the front-line of application of ordinary (and constitutional) laws and whose role in ensuring the primacy of the Constitution can't be overestimated. The ordinary courts are the first ones to detect if the application of a law poses a problem with respect to the Constitution, and their understanding of the content of constitutional provisions will determine the overall quality of protection of the constitutional order and, and this is where the question becomes relevant for the individual, in the protection of fundamental rights. There are different modalities concerning the allocation of competences and the social valuation of the Constitutional Court and the ordinary courts, which have repercussions on the courts' relations. Also, the competence and willingness of ordinary courts to examine questions of constitutionality is important for the aggrieved individual as violations can be addressed more quickly either in the ordinary proceeding (in diffuse or special type systems) or through a preliminary question.

185. There are several sets of problems concerning the relations between ordinary courts and the Constitutional Court. First, the question of competence (to what extent do constitutional courts interfere in the ordinary courts' jurisdiction?). Second, the question of interpretation,

¹⁹⁹ See CCT 19/07 in the CODICES.

Concerning cantonal laws and decrees
 See CCT14/96 in the CODICES

which is twofold: Does the Constitutional Court refer to ordinary courts' interpretations and do ordinary courts apply the Constitutional Court's ruling?

5.1.1 Review competences

186. "Systems that divide legal authority between a constitutional court and a supreme court face co-ordination problems when allocating jurisdiction and resolving inconsistencies in ruling."202 As L. Garlicki points out, tensions between constitutional courts and supreme courts are inevitable in a system of concentrated constitutional jurisdiction: Specialised constitutional courts that are usually placed outside the ordinary judicial system must interpret the vague terms used in the Constitution, thus imposing itself as the competent body to precise constitutional principles. The fact that the Constitutional Court is competent to review not only on an abstract, but also on an incidental basis, and that its interpretations touch almost every legal branch, infringes on the traditional role of ordinary courts to interpret "their" codes and limits their scope of action when applying a provision. As constitutional courts interfere in concrete cases they evaluate the application and interpretation of statutes through ordinary courts.

187. Gusy²⁰³ situates the difference between constitutional courts and ordinary courts as concerns the protection of individuals' rights less in the fact that the former can review the latter's judgments than in the Constitutional Court's function as a provider of a specific fundamental rights guarantee, whereas the ordinary courts' task is to ensure the restoration of legality in horizontal and vertical relationships. Constitutional courts conduct purely legal reflections, whereas ordinary courts must decide in the concrete case at hand²⁰⁴. Therefore, while Supreme Courts in diffuse review systems decide on constitutional matters only if this is necessary for the current dispute, constitutional courts conduct a comparatively abstract review²⁰⁵.

188. Generally, the relation between the Constitutional Court and ordinary courts is less conflict ridden with normative constitutional complaint than with full ones²⁰⁶, as the Constitutional Court does not directly review the application of a normative act through the ordinary court. However, even in states with normative constitutional complaints, frictions can arise as soon as the Constitutional Court challenges the limits imposed to its review. In Hungary, the Constitutional Court can to some point express itself on the application of a normative act using the diritto vivente technique (see above) to interpret the statute at hand. Thus, if it finds the statute unconstitutional, this can be due to an unconstitutional constant interpretation by ordinary courts²⁰⁷ and the Constitutional Court "appear[s] as a fourth level of jurisdiction ... overseeing the decisions of the ordinary jurisdictions."²⁰⁸

189. As the Venice Commission puts it, "some constitutional courts having implemented the review of constitutional complaints faced the problem of interference with ordinary courts. The possibility to review the decisions of ordinary courts may create tensions, and even conflict between ordinary courts and the Constitutional Court. Therefore it seems necessary to avoid a solution that would envisage the Constitutional Court as a "super-Supreme Court". Its

²⁰² T. Ginsberg, "Economic Analysis and the Design of Constitutional Courts", *Theoretical Inquiries in Law* 3 (200é),

cit. in: Sadurski, op. cit., p.19
²⁰³ See C. Gusy, "Die Verfassungsbeschwerde", *Das Bundesverfassungsgericht im politischen System*, VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden, 2006, p. 205
²⁰⁴ See H. Schwartz, op.cit., p. 26

²⁰⁵ See M. Rosenfeld, op.cit.

²⁰⁶ See W. Sadurski, op.cit., p.7ff

²⁰⁷ H. Schwartz, *The Struggle for Constitutional Justice in Post-Communist* Europe, Chicago University Press, Chicago, 2000

⁸ L. Favoreu cit. in: H. Schwartz, op.cit., p. 25

relation to "ordinary" high courts (Court of Cassation) has to be determined in clear terms."²⁰⁹ "The Constitutional Court should only look into "constitutional matters", leaving the interpretation of ordinary law to the general courts. The identification of constitutional matters can be difficult in relation to the right to fair trial, where any procedural violation by the ordinary courts could be seen a violation of the right to a fair trial. Some restraint by the Constitutional Court seems appropriate, not least in order to avoid its own overburdening but also out of respect of the jurisdiction of supreme courts.

5.1.2 Binding force of the reasoning part of the judgment

190. The reasoning part of a judgment is where the court gives shape to its decision, where not only the "reasons" are reflected, but where also indications for the future position of a court on a specific question are given. Often, constitutional courts give interpretations of constitutional and legal precepts in the reasoning part. In states where supreme courts accept informally the Constitutional Court's interpretation of constitutional provisions, which is more and more the case (institutional loyalty between constitutional bodies210), uniformity of application is guaranteed. However, the question of a formal binding force of the Constitutional Court's decisions' ratio decidendi opposed ordinary courts and the Constitutional Court²¹¹ amongst others in the Czech Republic. The latter, in favour of a generally binding force, argued that the justification of the decision actually contained the constitutionally required interpretation of the Constitution, and must thus be applied by ordinary courts in the future. However, ordinary courts frequently "refuse to decide in conformity" with the Constitutional Court's interpretation.²¹² In Germany, the Benetton I and II rulings of the Federal Constitutional Court are an evidence of this conflict: the Constitutional Court annulled a decision by the Federal Court of Justice contrary to the prior Constitutional Court's decision²¹³. P. Paczolay, when describing the relations between the Constitutional Court and ordinary courts in Hungary, writes:" [one of the interferences is t]he constitutional review of the normative decisions of the Supreme Court (these decisions are issued to secure the unity of judicial statutory interpretation). This competence of the Constitutional Court - after years of hesitation - was pronounced by the Court itself in 2005."²¹⁴ In Austria, the interpretation of the Constitutional Court is binding on the ordinary court in the concrete case, but if the court doesn't abide by it, the individual can only challenge this court's decision before higher ordinary instances; court decisions cannot be challenged before the Constitutional Court²¹⁵.

191. In common law systems, the operative part is the only part of the judgment that can constitute a binding precedent, whereas the reasoning part (or *obiter dicta*) only have persuasive power²¹⁶.

192. Albania, Andorra, Austria (concerning general administrative acts), Belgium, Bosnia-Herzegovina, the Czech Republic, Georgia, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Liechtenstein, Lithuania,

²⁰⁹ CDL-AD(2004)024 Opinion on the Draft Constitutional Amendments with regard to the Constitutional Court of Turkey

Turkey

210 CDL-JU(2009)001, "Reflections on the Execution of Constitutional Court Decisions in a Democratic State under the Rule of Law on the Basis of the Constitutional Law Situation in the Federal Republic of Germany", Baku, 2008

²¹¹ L. Garlicki, "Constitutional courts versus supreme courts", *International Journal of Constitutional Law* 2007 5(1), Oxford University Press, Oxford, in: http://icon.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/content/full/5/1/44#FN59#FN59, accessed 11 February 2009

February 2009 212 P. Holländer, "The Role of the Czech Constitutional Court: Application of the Constitution in Case Decisions of Ordinary Courts", *Parker Sch. J.E.Eur. L* 4 (1997), cit. in: W. Sadurski, op.cit., p.22 f 213 D.H.Scheuing, "Allemagne", Table Ronde: Justice constitutionnelle; justice ordinaire; justice supranationale: A qui

D.H.Scheuing, "Allemagne", Table Ronde: Justice constitutionnelle; justice ordinaire; justice supranationale: A qui revient la protection des droits fondamentaux en Europe? Annuaire de justice constitutionnelle, 2004, Presses universitaires d'Aix-Marseille, p. 157

214 CDL-JU(2008)040, P. Paczolay, "The Jurisdiction of the Hungarian Constitutional Court", report for the seminar

CDL-JU(2008)040, P. Paczolay, "The Jurisdiction of the Hungarian Constitutional Court", report for the seminar "Models of constitutional jurisdiction", Ramallah, 2008

²¹⁵ G. Kucksko-Stadlmayer, Beziehungen, op.cit., p.27

²¹⁶ See for the U.S. Central Green Co. V. United States (99-859) 531 U.S. 425, in: http://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/99-859.ZS.html, accessed 04 May 2009

Malta, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, Romania, Russia, Spain, Turkey and "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" all ordinary courts are competent to initiate a preliminary ruling procedure by putting a question before the Constitutional Court.

193. Submission of preliminary questions can be limited with the goal to raise the quality of submissions. In Austria (concerning laws), Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Greece, Moldova and Latvia, only the highest courts are authorised to make a preliminary request, and in Cyprus, only courts having jurisdiction in family issues can refer preliminary questions. In Russia and Belarus, the highest courts are authorised in addition to initiate an abstract review procedure.

194. While this is an effective tool to reduce number of preliminary questions and consistent with the logic of exhaustion of remedies (the individual should follow the ordinary sequence of courts), this leaves the parties to a proceeding in a potentially unconstitutional situation for a long period of time, as lower courts are obliged to apply the law even if they have doubts as to its constitutionality. From the viewpoint of human rights protection, it is more expedient and efficient to give access to courts at all levels to the Constitutional Court.

5.1.3 Obligation to put a preliminary request

195. The question not necessarily being clearly regulated by the Constitution, the constitutional courts struggle to impose a mandatory referral on ordinary courts concerning the ruling of constitutionality of a normative act that should be applied in a pending case, as this strengthens the Constitutional Court's unifying and formulating role²¹⁷. Amongst those states where the faculty of submitting preliminary questions exists, three groups can be distinguished:

196. First, states in which ordinary courts don't have discretion. As soon as they detect facts that could create doubts concerning the constitutionality of a law they would need to apply in a given case (Albania, Austria, Belgium, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Lithuania, Moldova, and Romania). Also, in Austria, a broad interpretation is given to the circle of laws that "could be applied" in a concrete case: the Constitutional Court will only reject a preliminary question if it is unthinkable that the provision could be necessary to the resolution of the proceeding at hand²¹⁸.

197. In Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Cyprus, Germany, Hungary, Italy²¹⁹, Luxemburg, Malta, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Turkey, the ordinary judge refers a preliminary question to the Constitutional Court only if he/she is convinced of the unconstitutionality of a normative act and of the inexistence of an interpretation that would allow for a constitutional application of the law. This is particularly the case when parties in proceedings raise an exception of unconstitutionality. However, the Venice Commission notes that **it would be too high a threshold to condition preliminary questions with ordinary judges' conviction of the unconstitutionality of a provision; serious doubts should suffice²²⁰.**

198. In Cyprus, ordinary courts are not obliged to pass on a question concerning the constitutionality of statutes to the Constitutional Court. This seems to be related to the relatively weak position of the Constitutional Chamber²²¹ in systems that combine elements of diffuse and concentrated review.

199. Thirdly, in the Czech Republic, Estonia, Germany and Slovenia, ordinary courts try to interpret a provision in line with the Constitution, and only if this is impossible, refer the question

CDL-INF(2001)28 Interim Opinion on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Azerbaijan

²¹⁷ General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, (A. Alen, M. Melchior), Brussels, 2002, p.7, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009.

²¹⁸ G. Kucsko-Stadlmayer, Beziehungen, op. cit., p. 25 and following

²¹⁹ See L.Garlicki, op. cit., and W. Sadurski, op.cit.

²²¹ C. Hönnige, "Verfassungsgerichte in West- und Osteuropa", in: Verfassungsgericht, Regierung und Opposition, Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden, 2007, p. 131

to the Constitutional Court. In Hungary, the Constitutional Court is in principle competent to decide on the unconstitutionality of legal omissions. However, it will only rule on the question of legal gaps if the ordinary courts could not fill the gap in practice through analogy²²².

200. Another question concerns the courts' discretionary power in deciding whether or not an exception of unconstitutionality raised by one of the parties to an ordinary process must be referred to the Constitutional Court. In Italy, Luxemburg, Malta and Spain, the ordinary judge's decision not to pose a preliminary question after a request by a litigant party to do so underlines the former's autonomy in that the refusal must be motivated, but cannot be appealed. However, the refusal does not necessarily impede the petitioner's right to demand a referral of the preliminary question at every instance (the San Marinese law expresses this clearly). In Uruguay, on the other side, there exists a complaint against the refusal of the court, and in Cyprus and Romania, the ordinary judge is obliged to put a preliminary question before the Constitutional Court upon request by one of the parties.

5.2 Problem of direct individual access and overburdening of the Constitutional Court

201. The dilemma between overburdening of the Constitutional Court and providing an efficient Human Rights protection system has been solved in various ways: Some states opted against the introduction of the individual complaint from the outset, others defined filters to sift requests that are deemed unserious or "manifestly" or "most probably" unsuccessful.

202. All filters described above serve the purpose of reducing the Constitutional Court's case law. In addition, organisational changes as well as greater selectivity can serve the alleviation of the Court's caseload.

5.2.1 Pilot judgments or writs of certiorari

203. The United States Supreme Court²²³ is not obliged to review all the cases brought before it, but may choose the questions it deems relevant for protection of the constitutional order or for development of the case-law. Whilst the workload is diminished according to the Supreme Court's degree of selectivity, this discretion in selecting cases eliminates systematic individual protection. The Venice Commission believes therefore that a writ of certiorari procedure is not advisable, at least in a system where the ordinary or lower ranking courts have not yet achieved a very high degree of independence and efficiency. Concerning pilot judgments²²⁴, the risk of erroneously denying review to a case that is substantially different from that of the pilot judgment is too great to be justified by its alleviating effect on the Court's workload.

204. However, constitutional courts must be given the tools to prevent unserious, abusive or repetitive complaints.

http://www.lrkt.lt/conference/Pranesimai/omissionHUN_en.doc, accessed 02 March 2009

223 Rule 10 U.S. Supreme Court Rules: "Review on a writ of *certiorari* is not a matter of right, but of judicial discretion.

A petition for a writ of *certiorari* will be granted only for compelling reasons."

224 The Furnish Court of Human Bights in the light of the property of Human Bights in the light of the property of Human Bights in the light of the property of the p

²²² L. Csink, P. Paczolay, "Problem of legislative ommission (sic) in constitutional jurisprudence", Hungarian National Report for the 14th Conference of Constitutional Courts, Vilnius, 2008 in:

²²⁴ The European Court of Human Rights introduced the pilot judgement procedure in the Broniowski vs. Poland case in 2004. Pilot judgements are possible in cases that are a consequence of structural or systemic problems in a state. Once this systemic problem is established, the Court may deal with "repetitive" or "clone" cases in a summary proceeding. See, for instance, C. Paraskeva, "Human Rights Protection Begins and Ends at Home: The 'Pilot Judgment Procedure' Developed by the European Court of Human Rights", in: <a href="http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/shared/s

205. The German²²⁵, Hungarian²²⁶, Slovenian²²⁷ and Spanish²²⁸ Laws on the Constitutional Court allow for a preliminary control of the full constitutional complaint. A complaint will be dismissed if it doesn't contain questions that are significant in terms of constitutionality. In Austria, the constitutional complaint must be deemed to have a certain chance of succeeding. In South Africa, the Constitutional Court will hear a direct access application or an appeal if it raises a constitutional issue and it would be in the interests of justice for the Court to hear it. The interests of justice enquiry involves a number of sub-enquiries including the prospects of success, the interest of the public in the matter, and whether the Supreme Court of Appeal has had an opportunity to pronounce its views on the matter. 229

206. Preliminary proceedings are conducted by a smaller number of judges than the main proceedings and require a lesser degree of formality^{230.} In this respect, the German practice is remarkable: Constitutional complaints that are considered manifestly ill-founded or most probably unsuccessful are put into a "general register", and not directly into the proceedings register. The complainant is then contacted through an informal letter informing him or her of his or her possibility to request that the complaint be further dealt with by the Constitutional Court. If the complainant becomes active, the complaint will be put in the proceedings register, if not, it remains in the general register²³¹. Thereby, many applications can be dealt with without actually rejecting the complaints.

5.2.2 Changes in the organisation of the Constitutional Court

5.2.2.1 Summary proceedings

207. Very often, a smaller body of judges is habilitated to examine applications and to deny review if the application has no prospect of succeeding (e.g. Austria, Germany, Slovenia). This leads to an immediate relief in the Constitutional Court's workload.

5.2.2.2 More staff

208. The Venice Commission recommends that the judges are supported by qualified assistants: their number should be determined in relation to the case load of the court.²³² "Depending on the number and qualification of the staff, the secretariat of the court may perform a first preliminary examination in order to weed out manifestly inadmissible complaints as far as possible. However, as the judicial power cannot be delegated to the secretariat, its opinion can only be advisory. (233 In fact, permanent staff allows for the construction of an institutional memory conducive to greater consistency and continuity of the Court's jurisprudence.

²²⁵ Article 93a of the Law on the Federal Constitutional Court (acceptance procedure for constitutional complaints).

See, for instance, Article 23 Act on the Constitutional Court: "1. The President of the Constitutional Court shall forward the motion submitted by a party not entitled to submit such a motion to the organ entitled to submit it, while an obviously groundless motion shall be denied by the President of the Constitutional Court."

Article 55b Law on the Constitutional Court

⁽²⁾ The constitutional complaint is accepted for consideration: - if there is a violation of human rights or fundamental freedoms which had serious consequences for the complainant; or - if it concerns an important constitutional question which exceeds the importance of the concrete case.

See the amended Constitutional Court Act of 2007.

Section 167(3) of the Constitution provides that the Constitutional Court may decide only constitutional matters and issues connected with decisions on constitutional matters. The Court itself makes the final decision whether a matter is a constitutional matter.

See, for instance, Article 93d.1 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court: "1. The decision in accordance with Articles 93 b and c above shall be taken without oral proceedings. This decision cannot be challenged. The refusal to accept the constitutional complaint does not require reasons." ²³¹ Merkblatt über die Verfassungsbeschwerde zum Bundesverfassungsgericht, in:

http://www.bundesverfassungsgericht.de/organisation/vb_merkblatt.html, accessed 8 June 2009 CDL-AD(2008)030 Opinion on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of Montenegro

²³³ CDL-STD(1995)015 The Protection of fundamental rights by the Constitutional Court, Science and Technique of Democracy no. 15, 1995

5.2.2.3 Smaller chambers

209. A useful method for alleviating the Court's case load can be the creation of smaller panels of judges when deciding on matters initiated by one of the types of individual access, where the plenary only acts if new or important questions need to be decided. It is important that the law on the Constitutional Court provide for the possibility of a decision by the plenary if there are conflicting rulings by the chambers; otherwise, the unity of the Constitutional Court's jurisprudence is in danger²³⁴. There need to be clear rules to avoid any possibility of bias in the allocation of cases to the chambers or in the composition of panels. Here, only the relevant bodies (plenary, panels, chambers) deciding in matters related to individual access are being described. The Constitutional Court decides on matters related to individual access in the plenary in Albania, Armenia, Cyprus, Greece, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Romania, Slovenia and Ukraine. 8 to 11 judges sit in benches in Germany²³⁵, Kyrgyzstan, Russia and South Africa, 6 judges in Croatia and Spain, 5 judges in Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Denmark, Estonia, Luxemburg, Monaco, the Netherlands, Norway and Poland. 3 or 4 judges sit in Georgia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Malta, Slovakia, and Switzerland. In Portugal, when the Constitutional Court is not sitting in plenary, its chambers are composed of 1, 3, or 5 Justices.

²³⁴ CDL-AD(2004)024, Opinion on the draft constitutional amendments with regard to the Constitutional Court of Turkey

²³⁵ The Federal Constitutional Court consists of two autonomous panels of equal rank with eight members each (Article 2.1 and 2.2 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court). Each of the two panels by itself represents "the Federal Constitutional Court". In constitutional-court proceedings, the Plenum, i.e. all 16 judges, only decides if in a point of law, a panel intends to deviate from the legal opinion contained in a decision by the other panel (Article 16). In each panel, there are several chambers with three members each (Article 15a.1), which adjudicate in constitutional complaint proceedings and in proceedings involving the concrete review of statutes.

Tables

6.1.1 Table 1 summarising the types of access

Countries	Type of constitutional review (if individual access)	Ombudsperson (in relation to concrete case)	Preliminary request	Exception/objection of unconstitutionality	Actio popularis	Quasi actio popularis / legal interest	Individual suggestion	Normative constitutional complaint	Russian individual complaint	Constitutional petition	Constitutional revision	Full constitutional complaint	Amparo
Albania	Concentrated		Υ	Υ							Υ		
Algeria	No individual access												
Andorra	Special		Υ										Υ
Argentina	Special				Υ								Y
Armenia	Concentrated	Υ	Υ	Υ				Υ					
Austria	Concentrated	Υ	Υ									Y 236	
Azerbaijan	Concentrated	Υ	Υ									Υ	
Belarus	No		Υ										
Belgium	Concentrated		Υ					Υ					
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Concentrated		Υ								Υ		
Bulgaria	Concentrated	Υ	Υ										
Canada	Special	'	'										
Chile	Special		Υ	Υ	Υ								Υ
Croatia	Concentrated		Y		Y							Υ	
Cyprus	Special		Y	Υ								Y 237	
Czech Republic	Concentrated		Υ	Υ								Υ	
Denmark	Diffuse												
Estonia	Special	Υ	Y 238					Y 239					
Finland	Diffuse												
France	No individual access												
Georgia	Concentrated		Υ		Y 240			Υ					
Germany	Concentrated		Υ									Υ	
Greece	Special		Υ	Υ		Y 241							
Hungary	Concentrated		Υ	Υ	Υ			Υ					
Iceland	Diffuse												

Only against individual administrative acts

This control takes place in the framework of an administrative process

After having decided, ordinary courts may submit decisions to the Supreme Court

Very limited: Only against resolutions of Parliament, decisions of the President and of electoral committees

Only concerning a violation of fundamental rights through the normative act; see Article 89 Constitution

Article 48 Law establishing a Special Highest Court is narrow: Conflicting interpretations of all three high courts are a condition.

	Type of constitutional review (if individual access)	Ombudsperson (in relation to concrete case)	Preliminary request	Exception/objection of unconstitutionality	S	Quasi actio popularis / legal interest	ndividual suggestion	Normative constitutional complaint	Russian individual complaint	Constitutional petition	Constitutional revision	Full constitutional complaint	
	onst	erso	ry re	/obj	Actio popularis	io p	bns	<u>0</u>	vipu	onal	onal	ituti	
Countries	of c	dsbr	ina	tio	dod	aci	dual	ativ	an i	ituti	ituti	onst	ro L
nn n	Type of access)	Ombu case)	əlir	des	tio	ıası	<u>Jivi</u>	Ë	SSi	nst	ust	8	Amparo
		S S	Pre	Ж	Ac	70	lnc	ž	Ru	ပိ	ပိ	Η	An
Ireland	Special												
Israel	Special			L									
Italy	Concentrated		Υ	Υ		ļ	ļ						
Japan	Special		.,										
Kazakhstan			Υ									.,	
Korea, Republic	Concentrated		Υ						.,			Υ	
Kyrgyzstan	Concentrated		Υ					Y	Υ				
Latvia	Concentrated	Υ	Y		\ <u>'</u>			Υ				Υ	
Liechtenstein	Concentrated				Y 242							Y	
Lithuania	Concentrated		Υ										
Luxembourg	Concentrated		Υ	Υ									
Malta	Concentrated		Υ	Υ	Υ						Υ		
Mexico	Special												Υ
Moldova	Concentrated		Υ					\ <u>'</u>					
Monaco	Special							Y 243					
Montenegro	Concentrated						Υ					Υ	
Morocco	No individual access												
Netherlands	Special												
Norway	Diffuse												
Palestinian National Authority													
Peru	Concentrated				Υ								Υ
Poland	Concentrated	Υ	Υ					Υ					
Portugal	Special	Υ	Υ	Υ									
Romania	Concentrated	Υ	Υ	Υ									
Russian Federation	Concentrated	Υ	Υ						Υ				
San Marino	Concentrated		Υ	Υ									
Serbia	Concentrated	Υ					Υ					Υ	
Slovakia	Concentrated		Υ	.,		ļ.,						Υ	
Slovenia	Concentrated		Υ	Y	L.,	Υ						Y	
South Africa	Diffuse ²⁴⁴	Υ		Υ	Υ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					Υ	
Spain	Concentrated	Υ	Υ	Υ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					Υ	
Sweden	Diffuse											.,	
Switzerland	Special	.,			ļ.,							Υ	
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	Concentrated	Y			Y							Y 245	

Only for a group of at least 100 citizens, only within one month after promulgation, and only concerning general administrative acts; see Article 20 Law on the Constitutional Court Only concerning laws; administrative regulations and individual acts can be attacked at the Tribunal Suprême in its

²⁴³ Only concerning laws; administrative regulations and individual acts can be attacked at the Tribunal Suprême in its administrative formation concerning their illegality
²⁴⁴ All courts are able to hear matters concerning constitutional issues but the Constitutional Court is the highest Court

²⁴⁴ All courts are able to hear matters concerning constitutional issues but the Constitutional Court is the highest Court on matters involving constitutional issues and is the only court able to confirm a declaration of the constitutional invalidity of a normative act by an ordinary court, to declare conduct of the President to be unconstitutional and to assess the constitutionality of a Bill or Act referred to the Court by the President or the legislature respectively.

Countries	Type of constitutional review (if individual access)	Ombudsperson (in relation to concrete case)	Preliminary request	Exception/objection of unconstitutionality	Actio popularis	Quasi actio popularis / legal interest	Individual suggestion	Normative constitutional complaint	Russian individual complaint	Constitutional petition	Constitutional revision	Full constitutional complaint	Amparo
Tunisia	No												
Turkey	Concentrated		Υ										
Ukraine	Concentrated	Υ	Υ							Υ			
United Kingdom													
United States of America	Special												
Uruguay	Concentrated												Υ

6.1.2 Table: Time-limits for applications

Time limit	State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
8 days	Malta	Article 4 Legal Notice 35 of 1993 entitled Regulations Regarding
	(constitutional	Practices and Procedures of the Court
	revision)	The application to appeal (in the Constitutional Court) shall be made within
		eight working days from the date of the decision appealed from
10 days	Estonia	§. 19. Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act
	(normative	A complaint against a resolution of the Riigikogu, the Board of the Riigikogu
	constitutional	or a decision of the President of the Republic may be filed with the Supreme
	complaint)	Court within 10 days after the date of entering into force of the resolution or
		decision.
15 days	Andorra	Article 88 (1) Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court
	(amparo)	The appeal for protection is introduced by a document within 15 working
		days of the date of service of the decision appealed against.
	South Africa	Rule 19(2) Rules of the Constitutional Court
	(appeal against	A litigant who is aggrieved by the decision of [an ordinary] court and who
	decision of an	wishes to appeal against it directly to the [Constitutional] Court on a
	ordinary court)	constitutional matter shall, within 15 days of the order against which the
		appeal is sought to be broughtlodge with the Registrar an application for
		leave to appeal.
20 days	Spain (full	Article 44 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
	constitutional	2. The time-limit for lodging an appeal for protection shall be twenty days
	complaint)	from the date of notification of the ruling given in the judicial proceedings.
4 weeks	Liechtenstein	Art. 15 4) Constitutional Court Act
	(full	The complaint may be lodged within four weeks of service of the decision or
	constitutional	order in the last instance or of effectiveness of the immediate violation
	complaint)	(paragraph 3).
30 days	Croatia (full	Article 64 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court
	constitutional	The constitutional complaint may be submitted during the term of 30 days
	complaint)	from the day the decision was received." Article 66: "(1) The Constitutional
		Court shall permit restitution into the previous state to the person who for the
		justified reasons has omitted the term for submission of the constitutional
		complaint, if during the term of 15 days after the cessation of the reason
		which has caused the omission he submits the proposal for restitution into
		the previous state and at the same time submits the constitutional complaint
		(2) After the expiration of three months from the day of omission, the
		restitution into the previous state may not be sought.

²⁴⁵ Only concerning some fundamental rights

Time limit	State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
THITIC HITH	Montenegro	Draft Law on the Constitutional Court
		Article 60
		Constitutional complaint may be submitted within 30 days from the date on
		which an individual act violating human right or freedom guaranteed by the Constitution was delivered.
	Switzerland	Article 100 Federal Judicature Act
		1 Le recours contre une décision doit être déposé devant le Tribunal fédéral dans les 30 jours qui suivent la notification de l'expédition complète. Article 101
		Le recours contre un acte normatif doit être déposé devant le Tribunal fédéral dans les 30 jours qui suivent sa publication selon le droit cantonal. Le recours contre un acte normatif doit être déposé devant le Tribunal fédéral dans les 30 jours qui suivent sa publication selon le droit cantonal.
1 month	Germany (full	Article 93 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court:
	constitutional complaint)	A complaint of unconstitutionality shall be lodged and substantiated within one month. This time-limit shall commence with the service or informal
	Complainty	notification of the complete decision, if this is to be effected ex officio in accordance with the relevant procedural provisions. In other instances, the time-limit shall commence when the decision is proclaimed or, if it is not to be
		proclaimed, when it is otherwise communicated to the complainant; if the
		complainant does not receive a copy of the complete decision, the time-limit
		pursuant to the first sentence above shall be suspended by the complainant
		requesting, either in writing or by making a statement recorded at the court office, a copy of the complete decision. The suspension shall continue until
		the complete decision is served on the complainant by the court or ex officio
		or by a party to the proceedings.
6 weeks	Austria (full	Article 82 Federal Law on the Constitutional Court
	constitutional complaint)	1. A complaint against an administrative decree in pursuance of Article 144, subparagraph 1 of the B-VG can be lodged only after all administrative
	Complainty	remedies have been exhausted, within six weeks following service of the
		decree delivered at last instance.
60 days	Czech Republic	Art. 72 Constitutional Court Act
	(full constitutional	(3) A constitutional complaint may be submitted within 60 days of the delivery of the decision in the final procedure provided by law to the complainant for
	complaint)	the protection of his rights; "procedures" are understood to mean ordinary remedial procedures, extraordinary remedial procedures, with the exception of a petition for rehearing, and other procedures for the protection of rights with the assertion of which is associated the institution of a judicial,
		administrative, or other legal proceeding.
	Hungary	Article 48 Act on the Constitutional Court
	(normative constitutional complaint)	2. The constitutional complaint may be submitted within sixty days after the receipt of the final decision.
	Poland	Article 51 Constitutional Tribunal Act
	(Ombudsperson)	 The Tribunal shall inform the Commissioner for Citizens' Rights about the institution of proceedings. Provisions of Article 33 shall apply accordingly. The Commissioner for Citizens' Rights may, within the period of 60 days from the receipt of information, give notice of his/her participation in the proceedings.
	Slovenia (full	Article 52 Constitutional Court Act
	constitutional complaint)	 (1) A constitutional complaint is lodged within 60 days of the day the individual act against which a constitutional complaint is admissible is served. (3) In especially well founded cases the Constitutional Court may exceptionally decide on a constitutional complaint which has been lodged
		after the expiry of the time limit referred to in the first paragraph of this article.
2 months	"The Former	Article 51 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court
	Yugoslav Republic of	Any citizen considering that an individual act or action has infringed his or her right or freedom, as provided in Article 110.3 of the Constitution of the
	Macedonia" (full	Republic of Macedonia, he or she may lodge an application for protection by
	constitutional	the Constitutional Court within 2 months from the date of notification of the
	complaint)	final or legally binding individual act, or from the date on which he or she became aware of the activity undertaken creating such an infringement, but not later than 5 years from the date of the activity's being undertaken
		not later than 5 years from the date of the activity's being undertaken.

Time limit	State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
75.1	Slovakia	Article 53 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court 3. The time-limit for lodging a constitutional complaint is two months. This time-limit starts to lapse on the day on which the decision becomes effective or the date on which the complainant is notified of the result of an appeal and, in the absence thereof, the date when the complainant's fundamental right or freedom was infringed.
75 days	Cyprus (constitutional revision)	Article 146 Constitution 3. Such a recourse shall be made within seventy-five days of the date when the decision or act was published or, if not published and in the case of an omission, when it came to the knowledge of the person making the recourse.
90 days	United States (writ of certiorari)	U.S. Supreme Court Rule 13. Review on Certiorari: Time for Petitioning 1. Unless otherwise provided by law, a petition for a writ of certiorari to review a judgment in any case, civil or criminal, entered by a state court of last resort or a United States court of appeals (including the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces) is timely when it is filed with the Clerk of this Court within 90 days after entry of the judgment. A petition for a writ of certiorari seeking review of a judgment of a lower state court that is subject to discretionary review by the state court of last resort is timely when it is filed with the Clerk within 90 days after entry of the order denying discretionary review.
3 months	Azerbaijan (against denial of access to courts) Poland (normative constitutional complaint)	Article 34.4 Law on the Constitutional Court Complaints can be submitted to Constitutional Court in following cases: 34.4.2. Within three months from the moment of violation of complainant's right to apply to court Article 46 Constitutional Tribunal Act 1. Constitutional claim, further referred to as the "claim" can be submitted after trying all legal means, if such means is allowed, within 3 months from delivering the legally valid decision to the plaintiff, the final decision or other final judgment.
6 months	Albania (constitutional revision)	Article 30 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court If the law provides that the applicant may address another authority, he/she may present the application to the Constitutional Court after all the other legal means in protection of such rights have been exhausted. Under such a case, the deadline for lodging the application is 6 (six) months from the date on which the decision of the relevant authority is announced.
	Azerbaijan (full constitutional complaint)	Article 34.4 Law on the Constitutional Court Complaints can be submitted to Constitutional Court in following cases: 34.4.1. After exhaustion of all remedies within six months from the moment of entrance of the decision of the court of last instance into force;
	Armenia (normative constitutional complaint)	Article 69 Law on the Constitutional Court: "5. In cases determined in this Article the appeals can be submitted to the Constitutional Court by the natural and legal persons no later than six months after the exhaustion of the opportunities of appeal of the judicial act ruled against those."
	Belgium (Normative constitutional complaint)	Article 3 Special Law on the Court 1. Without prejudice to paragraph 2 and to Article 4, an action for annulment, in full or in part, of a statute, decree or rule referred to in Article 134 of the Constitution shall not be admissible unless it is brought within six months of the publication of the statute, decree or rule referred to in Article 134 of the Constitution.
	Latvia (normative constitutional complaint)	Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 4. A constitutional claim may be submitted to the Constitutional Court within six months from the date of the decision of the last institution becoming effective
1 year	Germany (against normative acts)	Article 93 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court 3. If the constitutional complaint is directed against a law or some sovereign act against which legal action is not admissible, the constitutional complaint may be lodged only within one year of the law entering into force or the sovereign act being announced.
2 years	Albania (if no legal remedy is provided)	Article 30 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court 2. The application of persons regarding the violation of a constitutional right is to be presented no later than 2 (two) years from the time at which evidence of the violation becomes available to them.

Time limit	State		Relevant constitutional or legal provision
5 years	Peru	(actio	Article 87 Code of Constitutional Procedure (p.t.)
	popularis)		The delay for lodging the actio popularis is five years from the day following the publication of the norm. (El plazo para interponer la demanda de acción popular prescribe a los cinco años contados desde el día siguiente de publicación de la norma.)

6.1.3 Table: Obligation to be legally represented

01.1.	The second of the second secon
State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Albania	Article 24 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court:
	Parties to the constitutional case may represent themselves or may appoint a person to
	represent them as provided by this Law.
Andorra	
Armenia	Article 46 Law on the Constitutional Court:
	1. Parties may appear before the Constitutional Court personally as well as through their
	representatives.
Austria (for	Article 17 Federal Law on the Constitutional Court:
complaints	2. Actions in accordance with Article 37, applications in accordance with Articles 46, 48, 50, 57,
against	62 and 66 and complaints which are not covered by Article 24, subparagraph 1 shall be
normative	submitted by a duly authorised lawyer.
acts)	
Azerbaijan	Article 35.1. Law on the Constitutional Court:
	The following documents shall be enclosed to petition, application or complaint submitted to
	Constitutional Court: 35.1.2. Letter of attorney or other document, confirming the authorities of
	the representative except the cases when representation is implemented ex officio as well as
	copies of documents confirming the right of a person to speak at Constitutional Court as a
	representative;
Belgium	Art. 5 of the Special Law on the Court
	Actions for annulment shall be instituted before the Court by means of a petition which, as the
	case may be, is signed by the Prime Minister, by a member of the Government designated by
	that Government, by the president of a legislative assembly, or by a party with a justifiable
	interest or its lawyer;
	Art. 75
	The Court may appoint a lawyer ex officio. This appointment shall be considered null and void if
	the party concerned chooses its own legal adviser.
Croatia	Article 24 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court
	(1) Participants may undertake actions in the proceedings in person or through a representative.
Czech	Article 30 Constitutional Court Act:
Republic	(1) A natural or a legal person who is a party or a secondary party to a proceeding before the
	Court must be represented by an attorney to the extent provided for in special statutes and
	enactments.
Georgia	Article 30 Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. The parties shall have the right to entrust the protection of their interests to a lawyer or other
	person having a high level of legal education at every stage of the proceedings.
Germany	Article 22 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court:
	1. The parties may be represented at any stage of the proceedings by an attorney registered
	with a German court or a lecturer of law at a German institution of higher education; in the oral
	pleadings before the Federal Constitutional Court they must be represented in this manner.
Hungary	Article 19 Act on the Constitutional Court:
	Unless otherwise provided by this Act or the Rules of the Constitutional Court, the provisions of
	the Civil Procedure Code shall be applied in issues concerning legal assistance, the ensuring of
	the use of the native-tongue during the proceedings and the exclusion of judges.
Italy	Section 20 Law on the composition and procedures of the Constitutional Court
	At all hearings before the Constitutional Court the parties may only be represented by lawyers
	authorised to appear before the Court of Cassation.
Latvia	Article 23 Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. Participant in the case - the applicant as well as the institution or official who issued the
	disputable act – may perform procedural actions at the Constitutional Court himself/herself or be
	represented by his/her respective representative.
Liechtenstein	Article 41 Constitutional Court Act
	1) The parties may lodge individual complaints (article 15) themselves and participate in the
	hearings, or they may choose to be represented by lawyers who are listed in the Register of
	Lawyers or who are otherwise admitted to practice in the Principality of Liechtenstein by law or
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	by authorisation of the Government.
Luxemburg	Article 11 Law on the Constitutional Court
g	The parties shall be allowed to make submissions to and plead before the Constitutional Court
	through any lawyer registered on List I of the roll of lawyers drawn up each year by the Bar
	Councils.
Monaco	Article 29 Ordonnance sur l'organisation et le fonctionnement du Tribunal suprême:
	Les parties se présentent à l'audience par le ministère d'un avocat-défenseur.
Poland	Article 48 Constitutional Tribunal Act
	1. The complaint or claim on the judgment refusing further consideration of the complaint shall
	be drawn up by an advocate or legal counsel unless the person making the complaint is a
	judge, prosecutor, notary public, professor or doctor habilitated of legal science.
Portugal	Article 83 Law on the Constitutional Court
•	1. In appeals made to the Constitutional Court, the appointment of a lawyer is obligatory, without
	prejudicing the ruling in n.º 3.
Romania	Article 24 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court
	5. The parties may be represented by lawyers having the right to plead before the Supreme
	Court of Justice.
Russian	Article 53 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court
Federation	The parties may also be represented by lawyers or persons with an academic degree in law,
	whose powers are confirmed by relevant documents.
Slovakia	Article 20 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court
	1. A petition shall be supported by a power of attorney enabling a petitioner to be represented
	by a lawyer or by a commercial lawyer, unless otherwise provided by this law.
	This power of attorney shall expressly state that it was issued for the purpose of representation
	before the Constitutional Court
Slovenia	Article 24.a Constitutional Court Act
0.070	(1) If a participant in proceedings before the Constitutional Court is represented by an
	authorised representative, he must submit an authorisation which is provided especially for
	proceedings before the Constitutional Court.
	(2) An authorised representative who is not a lawyer must have a special authorisation to
	transfer the authorisation in proceedings before the Constitutional Court to another person.
	Article 50
	(3) If a complainant in a constitutional complaint procedure is represented by an authorised
	representative, he must submit an authorisation which is given especially for the constitutional
	complaint procedure. The authorisation must be given after the individual act against which the
	constitutional complaint is lodged has been served. The second paragraph of Article 24a of this
	Act applies regarding the transfer of such authorisation.
Spain	Article 49 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
	2. The application shall be accompanied by:
	a. The document mandating the representative of the applicant for protection;
South Africa	Rule 11 Rules of the Constitutional Court
	(a) If it appears to the Registrar [of the Constitutional Court] that a party is unrepresented, he or
	she shall refer such party to [an] appropriate body or institution that may be willing and in a
	position to assist such party.
Switzerland	Article 41 Federal Judicature Act
	5. When a party is clearly unable to act for himself, the Court may ask him to appoint a
	representative.
Switzerland	she shall refer such party to [an] appropriate body or institution that may be willing and in a position to assist such party. Article 41 Federal Judicature Act 5. When a party is clearly unable to act for himself, the Court may ask him to appoint a

6.1.4 Table: Exhaustion of remedies and exceptions

State	Exhaustion of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal provisions	Exception to the precondition of exhaustion of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal
- ·	1 1 101 0 17 17	provisions
Albania	Article 131 Constitution The Constitutional Court decides on: f. the final adjudication of the complaints of individuals for the violation of their constitutional rights to due process of law, after all legal remedies for the protection of those rights have been exhausted.	
Andorra	Article 94 Qualified Law on the Constitutional	

State	Exhaustion of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal provisions	Exception to the precondition of exhaustion of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal provisions
	Court 2. When no further appeal can be lodged nor is there any further means in defending the constitutional right infringed, the person who has suffered the infringement of the constitutional right to jurisdiction may lodge an appeal for protection before the Constitutional Court within fifteen working days of the day after notification of the last resolution of refusal or of the date on which he had knowledge of the judicial decision which violated the constitutional right to jurisdiction.	
Armenia	Article 69 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. The appeals on the cases described in this Article (hereinafter individual appeals) can be brought by those natural and legal persons who were participants at the courts of general jurisdiction and in specialised courts, in relation of who the law was implemented by a judicial act, who exhausted all the remedies of judicial protection and who believe that the provision of the Law applied for the particular case contradicts the Constitution.	
Austria	Article 144 Constitution The complaint can only be filed after all other stages of legal remedy have been exhausted.	
Azerbaijan	Article 34.4 Law on the Constitutional Court Complaints can be submitted to Constitutional Court in following cases: 34.4.1. After exhaustion of all remedies within six months from the moment of entrance of the decision of the court of last instance into force;	Article 34.5. Law on the Constitutional Court If the legal protection of constitutional rights by means of courts of general jurisdiction cannot prevent the imposing of serious and irreplaceable damage to complainant then application can be submitted directly to Constitutional Court.
Croatia	Article 62 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court: (2) If some other legal remedy is provided against violation of the constitutional rights, the constitutional complaint may be lodged only after this remedy has been exhausted.	Article 63 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court: (1) The Constitutional Court shall initiate proceedings in response to a constitutional complaint even before all legal remedies have been exhausted in cases when the court of justice did not decide within a reasonable time about the rights and obligations of the party, or about the suspicion or accusation for a criminal offence, or in cases when the disputed individual act grossly violates constitutional rights and it is completely clear that grave and irreparable consequences may arise for the applicant if Constitutional Court proceedings are not initiated. (2) If the decision is passed to adopt the constitutional complaint for not deciding in a reasonable time in paragraph 1 of this Article, the Constitutional Court shall determine a deadline for the competent court of justice within which that court shall pass the act meritoriously deciding about the applicant's rights and obligations, or the suspicions or accusation of a criminal offence. Such deadline for passing the act shall begin to run on the day following the date when the Constitutional Court decision is published in the Official Gazette Narodne novine.
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	LIIC OHOB CALCIE NAIVUIE HUVIIE.

State	Exhaustion of remedies – relevant	Exception to the precondition of exhaustion
	constitutional or legal provisions	of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal provisions
	the complainant failed to exhaust all procedures afforded him by law for the protection of his rights (§ 72 para. 3); that does not apply to extraordinary remedial procedures which the body that decides thereupon has discretionary authority to reject as inadmissible (§ 72 para. 4).	if the complainant failed to exhaust all procedures afforded him by law for the protection of his rights (§ 72 para. 3); that does not apply to extraordinary remedial procedures which the body that decides thereupon has discretionary authority to reject as inadmissible (§ 72 para. 4). (2) The Constitutional Court shall not reject a constitutional complaint, even though it does not satisfy the condition stated in the preceding paragraph, if: a) the significance of the complaint extends substantially beyond the personal interests of the complainant, so long as it was submitted within one year of the day when the events which are the subject of the constitutional complaint took place, or b) the proceeding in an already filed remedial procedure under paragraph 1 is being considerably delayed, which delay gives rise to or may give rise to serious and unavoidable detriment to the complainant.
Germany	Law on the Federal Constitutional Court, Article 90.2 1st phrase: If legal action against the violation is admissible, the constitutional complaint may not be lodged until all remedies have been exhausted.	Law on the Federal Constitutional Court Article 90.2 2nd phrase: However, the Federal Constitutional Court may decide immediately on a complaint of unconstitutionality lodged before all remedies have been exhausted if it is of general relevance or if recourse to other courts first would entail a serious and unavoidable disadvantage for the complainant.
Hungary	Article 48 Act on the Constitutional Court 1. Anybody aggrieved by the application of an unconstitutional legal rule who has exhausted all other legal remedies or has no other remedy available, may submit a constitutional complaint to the Constitutional Court because of the violation of his/her constitutional rights.	
Korea, Republic	Article 68 Constitutional Court Act (1) Any person who claims that his basic right which is guaranteed by the Constitution has been violated by an exercise or non-exercise of governmental power may file a constitutional complaint, except the judgments of the ordinary courts, with the Constitutional Court: Provided, that if any relief process is provided by other laws, no one may file a constitutional complaint without having exhausted all such processes.	
Latvia	Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 2. The constitutional claim shall be submitted only after exhausting the ordinary legal remedies (a claim to a higher institution or official, a claim or application to a court of general jurisdiction etc.) or if there are no other means	Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 3. If the review of the constitutional claim is of general importance or if legal protection of the rights with general legal means cannot avert material injury to the applicant of the claim, the Constitutional Court may reach a decision to review the claim (application) before all the other legal means have been exhausted.
Liechtenstein	Article 15 Constitutional Court Act 1) The Constitutional Court shall decide on complaints to the extent that the complainant claims a violation, by a final decision or order in the last instance issued by a public authority, of one of his constitutionally guaranteed rights or of one of his rights guaranteed by international	

State	Exhaustion of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal provisions	Exception to the precondition of exhaustion of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal provisions
	conventions for which the lawmaking power has explicitly recognised an individual right of complaint	P. 6 (18/8)
Malta	Article 4 European Convention Act Provided that the Court may, if it considers it desirable so to do, decline to exercise its powers under this subsection in any case where it is satisfied that adequate means of redress for the contravention alleged are or have been available to the person concerned under any other ordinary law.	
Montenegro	Article 58 Draft Law on the Constitutional Court Constitutional complaints may be lodged against an individual act of state authority, local self-government authority or organisation vested with public powers, for the reason of violation of human rights and freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution, after all effective legal remedies have been exhausted.	Article 58 Draft Law on the Constitutional Court All effective legal remedies referred to in paragraph 1 above shall be deemed exhausted within the meaning of this Law, if the complainant in the dispute exhausted all ordinary and extraordinary legal remedies prescribed by law.
Poland	Article 47 Constitutional Tribunal Act 1. The complaint shall, apart from the requirements referring to the procedural letters, include the following: 1) a precise identification of the statute or another normative act on the basis of which a court or another organ of public administration has given ultimate decision in respect of freedoms or rights or obligations determined in the Constitution and which is challenged by the person making the complaint for the confirmation of non-conformity to the Constitution,	
Portugal	Article 70 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Decisions subject to obligatory ordinary appeal, according to the terms of the respective procedural law, may not be admitted for appeal to the Constitutional Court.	
Slovakia	Article 53 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court 1. Constitutional complaints shall be deemed inadmissible if the complainants have not exhausted regular legal remedies afforded by the law for the protection of their rights.	Article 53 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court 2. The Constitutional Court shall not reject a constitutional complaint as inadmissible even if the precondition set out in the preceding paragraph is not fulfilled, if the complaint is so important that it significantly transcends the personal interests of the complainant.
Slovenia	Article 51 Constitutional Court Act (1) A constitutional complaint may be lodged only after all legal remedies have been exhausted.	Article 51 Constitutional Court Act (2) Before all extraordinary legal remedies have been exhausted, the Constitutional Court may exceptionally decide on a constitutional complaint if the alleged violation is manifestly obvious and if irreparable consequences for the complainant would result from the implementation of the individual act.
Spain	Article 44 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court 1. Violations of constitutionally protected rights and freedoms that are the immediate and direct result of an act or omission by a judicial body may give grounds for such an appeal provided that the following conditions are met:	

State	Exhaustion of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal provisions	Exception to the precondition of exhaustion of remedies – relevant constitutional or legal provisions
	a. That all available judicial remedies have been exhausted;	
Switzerland	Article 86 Federal Judicature Act 1. Le recours est directement recevable contre les actes normatifs cantonaux qui ne peuvent faire l'objet d'un recours cantonal. 2. Lorsque le droit cantonal prévoit un recours contre les actes normatifs, l'art. 86 est applicable.	Article 94 Federal Judicature Act Le recours est recevable si, sans en avoir le droit, la juridiction saisie s'abstient de rendre une décision sujette à recours ou tarde à le faire.
"The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	Article 51 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court Any citizen considering that an individual act or action has infringed his or her right or freedom, as provided in Article 110.3 of the Constitution of the Republic of Macedonia, he or she may lodge an application for protection by the Constitutional Court within 2 months from the date of notification of the final or legally binding individual act []	

6.1.5 Table: Preliminary ruling procedures

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provisions
Albania	Article 69 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court 1. When the Constitutional Court concludes that the file referred to it is not complete and in conformity with the above provision, it shall send it back to the original court. The latter should complete the file within one month from the date on which it receives the file.
Andorra	Article 100 (2) Constitution The Tribunal Constitutional may not admit the transaction of the request without further appeal. If the request is admitted judgment shall be passed within the maximum period of two months.
Belgium	Art. 100 of the Special Law on the Court The Court of Arbitration in full session may join actions for annulment or preliminary questions relating to one and the same regulation to be ruled on in one and the same judgment. In this circumstance, the cases will be investigated by the bench that was seized of the first case. The registrar shall notify the parties of the decision to join cases. Where two or more cases are joined, the judges-rapporteurs shall be those who in accordance with Article 68 were appointed to the case of which the Court was first seized.
Estonia	§63 Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act (1) If a request is not in compliance with the requirements of this Act, the Supreme Court shall set a term for elimination of deficiencies. If the person filing the request fails to eliminate the deficiencies within a specified term, the Supreme Court shall return the request without a hearing.
Lithuania	Article 70 Law on the Constitutional Court In the case that a petition or appendices thereof fail to comply with the provisions set forth in Articles 66 and 67, the Chairperson of the Constitutional Court shall return the petition to the petitioner on his own initiative or on the initiative of a judge. The return of a petition shall not take away the right to appeal to the Constitutional Court according to the general procedure after abolishing reasons thereof.

6.1.6 Table: Joinder of similar cases

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Armenia	Article 39 Law on the Constitutional Court Before the start of the case review only the cases referring to the same issue can be
	combined by the decision of the Constitutional Court.

Czech Republic Article 63 Constitutional Court Act Where an issue is not covered by this Statute, in proceedings before it the Court shall apply the relevant provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, as well as other enactments issued for the implementation thereof. Section 112 of the Act 99/1963 Coll., Civil Procedure Code, The court can join cases to joint proceedings in the interest of proceedings' effectiveness, provided the proceedings were initiated and relate to the same matter or to the same participants. Art. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition.' Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint; 2) other parties to a prior proceeding, the encroachment of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceedings and separate combiend decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceedings and separate combined ones. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file	<u> </u>	
Where an issue is not covered by this Statute, in proceedings before it the Court shall apply the relevant provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, as well as other enactments issued for the implementation thereof. Section 112 of the Act 99/1963 Coll., Civil Procedure Code, The court can join cases to joint proceedings in the interest of proceedings' effectiveness, provided the proceedings were initiated and relate to the same matter or to the same participants. Art. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which gives rise to the complaint; shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Anicle 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the proceedings before the Court. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the proceeding act with the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 44 Law on the Const	State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
the relevant provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, as well as other enactments issued for the implementation thereof. Section 112 of the Act 99/1963 Coll., Civil Procedure Code. The court can join cases to joint proceedings in the interest of proceedings' effectiveness, provided the proceedings were initiated and relate to the same matter or to the same participants. Art. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition. Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Article 61 Eaw on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Constitutional Court Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Law o	Czech Republic	
for the implementation thereof. Section 112 of the Act 99/1963 Coll., Civil Procedure Code. The court can join cases to joint proceedings in the interest of proceedings' effectiveness, provided the proceedings were initiated and relate to the same matter or to the same participants. Art. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding, shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are inclu		
Section 112 of the Act 99/1963 Coll., Civil Procedure Code, The court can join cases to joint proceedings in the interest of proceedings' effectiveness, provided the proceedings were initiated and relate to the same matter or to the same participants. Act. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition. Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who		
The court can join cases to joint proceedings in the interest of proceedings' effectiveness, provided the proceedings were initiated and relate to the same matter or to the same participants. Art. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceedings that the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the proceeding. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitutional court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), o), o), o), o), o), o), n) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and imits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal		
provided the proceedings were initiated and relate to the same matter or to the same participants. Art. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 — (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in subparagraphs b) and f) of n°1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and lim		
participants. Art. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 — (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those		
Art. 35 Constitutional Court Act (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court and adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 - (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in subparagraphs a		
(2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Article 61 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 — (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used		
action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding of the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Grecee Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by old other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs by and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others accordin		
take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition." Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the portion of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court same legal act with the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in subparagraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in subparagraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law		
Article 76: "(1) The complainant and the state body or other public authority, against the encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. (1) The complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Grece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in subparagraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has envisaged in subparagraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the cas		
encroachment of which the constitutional complaint is directed, shall be parties to the proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Grece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in nº1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of nº1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal alre		
proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Grece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution of lows, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court sall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), o), d), e), g), n) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a specia		
complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding. Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), e), e), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party, adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitu		proceeding on the constitutional complaint. (2) Other parties to a prior proceeding, the
Germany Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), o), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legal		contested decision of which gives rise to the complaint, shall be secondary parties. If the
demonstrate a legal interest in the outcome of the proceeding.		complaint concerns a criminal proceeding, the parties to that proceeding shall be secondary
Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal, 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in subparagraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 24 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 252 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court of the constitutionality or legality of the same		parties. (3) The Court may grant the status of a secondary party to other persons who
The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate combined ones. Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 - (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court if in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a		
Greece Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in nº1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 252 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in	Germany	Article 66 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
Article 13 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 — (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitution		The Federal Constitutional Court may combine independent proceedings and separate
1. Any person wishing to intervene and having a lawful interest in the case may be joined to the proceedings before the Court. Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant		
Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), n) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of cont	Greece	
Lithuania Article 41 Law on the Constitutional Court Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms		
Upon establishing that there are two or more petitions concerning the compliance of the same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
same legal act with the Constitution or laws, the Constitutional Court may join them into one case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,	Lithuania	
Case before beginning the judicial consideration. In this case the Constitutional Court shall adopt a reasoned decision. Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
Portugal Article 64 Law on the Constitutional Court 1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
1. When a request has been admitted, any others with the same object that are also admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,	Portugal	
admitted are included in the file concerning the first. Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,	Fortugal	
Article 74 – (Extension of appeal) 1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
1. The appeal filed by the Public Prosecutor's Office has an effect on all those who have legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
legitimacy to appeal. 2. The appeal filed by an interested party in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in subparagraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		sub-paragraphs a), c), d), e), g), h) and i) in n.º1 of article 70 can be used by all other
limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4. There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		interested parties. 3. The appeal filed by an interested party, in the cases envisaged in sub-
There can be no subordinate appeal nor may any other party adhere to the appeal already made to the Constitutional Court. Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		paragraphs b) and f) of n.º1 of article 70 can be used by others according to the terms and
Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		limits established in the law regulating the case in which the decision has been made. 4.
Russian Federation Article 48 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
Federation The consideration of each case shall be the subject of a special session. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
Court of the Russian Federation may merge in one proceeding petitions pertaining to one and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
and the same subject. Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,	Federation	
Slovakia Article 24 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
Article 52 2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,	Ol. III	
2. The Constitutional Court may grant the status of a secondary party to the proceedings to those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,	Slovakia	
those persons who have demonstrated their legal interest in the outcome of the proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
proceedings. Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		
Slovenia Article 48 The Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,		<u>'</u>
If in their applications more than one applicant requests the review of the constitutionality or legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,	Slovenia	
legality of the same provisions or provisions related in terms of content of a law, regulation,	Gioverna	
or general act issued for the exercise of public authority, the Constitutional Court may. Upon		or general act issued for the exercise of pubic authority, the Constitutional Court may, upon
		the proposal of the judge rapporteur, decide by an order to join all applications for joint
consideration and deciding on their constitutionality or legality.		
	South Africa	Rule 29 of the Rules of the Constitutional Court makes rule 6(14) of the Uniform Rules of
		Court applicable, which in turn provides for the application of Rule 11 of the Uniform
Rules of Court.		
		Rule (11): Where separate actions have been instituted and it appears to the court
		convenient to do so, it may upon the application of any party thereto and after notice to
all interested parties, make an order consolidating such actions		
	Spain	Article 47 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court: 1. Persons who benefited by the
decision, act or circumstance that led to the appeal or persons with a legitimate interest		decision, act or circumstance that led to the appeal or persons with a legitimate interest

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	therein may appear in the proceedings for constitutional protection as a defendant or
	additional party.
"The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	Article 21 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If during the course of the proceedings, it is found that a number of participants with separate petitions have requested the assessment of the constitutionality of the same provisions of the same law or of the constitutionality and legality of the same provisions of the same law, other regulation or common act, all petitions will be attached to the first petition submitted, and for all of them a single procedure is conducted and a single judgment is made. If there are a number of files in the Court for several separate petitions for the assessment of the constitutionality of the same law or the constitutionality and legality of the same regulation or common act, all files created later may be attached to the first file created, a single lawsuit may be carried out for all of them and a single judgment made.
United States of America	Rule 12 U.S. Supreme Court Rules 4. Parties interested jointly, severally, or otherwise in a judgment may petition separately for a writ of certiorari; or any two or more may join in a petition. A party not shown on the petition as joined therein at the time the petition is filed may not later join in that petition. When two or more judgments are sought to be reviewed on a writ of certiorari to the same court and involve identical or closely related questions, a single petition for a writ of certiorari covering all the judgments suffices.

6.1.7 Table: Adversary systems

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision	
Andorra	Article 87 Qualified law on the Constitutional Court	
	2. The respondents and assistants in the appeal for protection are the defendants and	
	assistants in the earlier proceedings.	
Belgium	Art. 76 Special Law on the Court	
ŭ	§ 1. The registrar shall notify actions for annulment instituted by the Council of Ministers to the governments of the Communities and Regions and to the presidents of the legislative	
	assemblies.	
	§ 2. The registrar shall notify actions for annulment instituted by the government of a	
	Community or Region to the Council of Ministers, to the other governments, and to the presidents of the legislative assemblies.	
	§ 3. The registrar shall notify actions for annulment instituted by the president of a legislative	
	assembly to the Council of Ministers, to the governments of the Communities and Regions, and to the presidents of the other legislative assemblies.	
	§ 4. The registrar shall notify actions for annulment instituted by an individual interested	
	party to the Council of Ministers, to the governments of the Communities and Regions, and	
	to the presidents of the legislative assemblies.	
	Art. 77	
	The registrar shall notify referral decisions to the Council of Ministers, to the governments of	
	the Communities and Regions, to the presidents of the legislative assemblies, and to the	
	parties in the lawsuit before the court of law that took the referral decision.	
	Art. 85	
	Within 45 days after receipt of the notifications sent by the registrar by virtue of Articles 76,	
	77 and 78, the Council of Ministers, the Governments, the presidents of the legislative	
	assemblies and the persons to whom said notifications are addressed may make a written submission to the Court.	
	Where the case involves an action for annulment, those submissions may contain new	
	grounds. After that, the parties shall no longer be able to adduce new grounds.	
Armenia	Article 19 Law on the Constitutional Court	
	The Constitutional Court clarifies all the circumstances of the case in ex-officio without	
	limiting itself with the motions, suggestions, evidences and other materials of the case	
	brought by the participant of the Constitutional Court trial.	
Azerbaijan	Article 28.1. Law on the Constitutional Court: "Constitutional proceedings shall be held on	
	the basis of principles of legal equality of parties and adversary."	
Czech Republic	Article 28 Constitutional Court Act: "(1) The petitioner and those specified by this Statute	
- 3	shall be parties to a proceeding."	
Cyprus	Article 144 Constitution	
- M	2. The Supreme Constitutional Court, on a question so reserved, shall, after hearing the	
	parties, consider and determine the question so reserved []	
Georgia	Article 1 Law on the Constitutional Court	
J -		

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	Constitutional proceedings before the Court shall be conducted in conformity with the
	equality of the parties and the adversarial principle.
Germany	Even though the principle of judicial investigation applies, Articles 26 and 94 of the Law on the Federal Constitutional Court are relevant:
	Articles 26 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
	1. The Federal Constitutional Court shall take evidence as needed to establish the truth. It
	may charge a member of the court with this outside the oral pleadings or ask another court to do so with regard to specific facts and persons.
	Article 94 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
	1. The Federal Constitutional Court shall give the federal or Land constitutional organ whose
	act or omission is complained of in the constitutional complaint an opportunity to make a
	statement within a specified period.
	2. If the act or omission was committed by a minister or a federal or Land authority, the competent minister shall be given an opportunity to make a statement.
	3. If the constitutional complaint of unconstitutionality is directed against a court decision, the
	Federal Constitutional Court shall also give the party in whose favour the decision was taken
	an opportunity to make a statement.
	4. If the constitutional complaint is lodged directly or indirectly against a law, Article 77 above
	shall apply mutatis mutandis. 5. The constitutional organs named in paragraphs 1, 2 and 4 above may join the
	proceedings. The Federal Constitutional Court may dispense with oral pleadings if they are
	not expected to advance the proceedings any further and if the constitutional organs which
	are entitled to make a statement and have joined the proceedings waive oral proceedings.
Greece	Article 49 Law establishing a Special Highest Court: "1. With the exception of the applicants, the parties to the proceedings before the Special Court shall be all the parties in the case
	which prompted the referral to the Special Court for a preliminary ruling to resolve the
	dispute."
Kyrgyzstan	Article 15 Law on constitutional proceedings
	The participants in constitutional proceedings shall be designated as:
	1. parties – individuals or bodies on whose appeals or petitions constitutional proceedings are brought;
Liechtenstein	Article 18 Constitutional Court Act
	3) In the proceedings, the Government shall be given the opportunity to give a statement
	within a period to be determined.
Lithuania	Article 31 Law on the Constitutional Court The following persons shall be considered parties to the case:
	the petitioner—the State institution ²⁴⁶ , the group of Members of the Seimas who are granted
	by law the right to apply to the Constitutional Court with a petition to investigate the
	compliance of a legal act with the Constitution or laws or to present a conclusion, and their
	representatives;
	the party concerned—the State institution which has adopted the legal act whose compliance with the Constitution and laws is under investigation and its representative; the
	Member of the Seimas or other State official, the compliance of whose actions with the
	Constitution must be investigated due to impeachment proceedings which have been
	instituted against them in the Seimas and his representative; the President of the Republic,
	when a conclusion is presented concerning his state of health and his representative.
	The parties to the case shall have equal procedural rights. They shall have the right to get familiar with the material of the case, make extractions, duplicates, and copies from it,
	declare removals, provide evidence, participate in the investigation of evidence, give
	questions to other parties to the case, as well as to witnesses and experts, make requests,
	give explanations, provide their own arguments and reasoning, object to requests,
Lucanalacura	arguments and reasoning of other persons participating in the case.
Luxembourg	Article 11 Law on the Constitutional Court The parties shall be allowed to make submissions to and plead before the Constitutional
	Court through any lawyer registered on List I of the roll of lawyers drawn up each year by the
	Bar Councils.
Poland	Article 27 Constitutional Tribunal Act
	The participants in the proceedings before the Tribunal shall be: 1) a subject, who submitted an application or complaint concerning constitutional.
	1) a subject who submitted an application or complaint concerning constitutional infringement;
	2) an organ which issued an act included in the application or complaint concerning
	constitutional infringement;

²⁴⁶ inter alia the ordinary court

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Ciaic	2a) the court, which has presented a question of law to the Constitutional Tribunal, provided that it has notified participation in proceedings initiated as the result of that legal question and has appointed amongst the judges of that court its authorised representative
Romania	Article 23 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court 4. A case shall be submitted to the Constitutional Court through an interlocutory judgment of the Instance before which the exception of unconstitutionality was raised.5. The interlocutory judgment shall include the parties' for and against viewpoints concerning the exception, and the opinion of the Instance on the exception, together with the evidence provided by the parties. In case the exception has been raised by the Instance, ex officio, the interlocutory judgment shall be motivated, including also the arguments of the parties, as well as the necessary evidence. Article 24 3. In case the provisions of paragraph 2 above are not applicable, the Judge-rapporteur shall be bound to notify each Chamber of Parliament and the Government of the
	interlocutory judgment by which the Constitutional Court was apprised, indicating the date by which they can hand in their viewpoint, and he shall ensure that the necessary measures are taken for evidence to be given by the date of judgment.
Russia	Article 35 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation The parties shall enjoy equal rights and opportunities while asserting their positions in the session of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation on the adversarial basis.
San Marino	Article 14 Qualified law on the organisation of the Collegio Garante: "1. The discussion is oral and respects the principle of adversariality. (La discussione è orale e si svolge nel rispetto del principio del contraddittorio)", in: http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/index.php3, viewed on: 20/02/2009
Serbia	Article 29 Law on the Constitutional Court Article 31 Participant in proceedings is entitled to present and explain his/her position and reasons during the procedure, as well as to answer the claims and reasons of other participants in the procedure.
Slovakia	Article 21 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court 1. Parties to the proceedings are the plaintiff, the person against whom the petition is filed and persons as laid down in the present Act.
Slovenia	Article 56 Constitutional Court Act (2) In the instances referred to in the preceding paragraph, the constitutional complaint is sent to the persons who participated in the proceedings in which the challenged individual act was issued by which their rights, obligations, or legal entitlements were decided, in order for them to make statements within a determined period of time.
South Africa	Rule 11 Rules of the Constitutional Court (3) Any person opposing the granting of an order sought in the notice of motion shall notify the Registrar in writing of his or her intention to oppose the application [and] lodge his or her answering affidavit.
Spain	Article 51Organic Law on the Constitutional Court 1. Where an application for protection is admitted, the Division shall urgently request the body or authority with which the decision, act or circumstance originated or the judge or court that heard the previous proceedings, to provide it with the court records or the supporting documents within a period of not more than ten days. 2. The body, authority, judge or court shall immediately acknowledge receipt of the request, shall dispatch the documents within the prescribed period and shall notify the persons who were parties to the former proceedings so that they may appear in the constitutional proceedings within ten days.
Switzerland	 Article 56 Federal Judicature Act Les parties ont le droit d'assister à l'administration des preuves et de prendre connaissance des pièces produites. Si la sauvegarde d'intérêts publics ou privés prépondérants l'exige, le Tribunal fédéral prend connaissance d'un moyen de preuve hors de la présence des parties ou des parties adverses.
"The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	Article 13 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court The petitioner and the body having enacted or issued the impugned act are participants in the proceedings before the Constitutional Court.
United States	U.S. Supreme Court Rule 15. Briefs in Opposition; Reply Briefs; Supplemental Briefs 1. A brief in opposition to a petition for a writ of certiorari may be filed by the respondent in any case, but is not mandatory except in a capital case, see Rule 14.1(a), or when ordered by the Court.

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	Rule 19. Procedure on a Certified Question
	2. When a question is certified by a United States court of appeals, this Court, on its own motion or that of a party, may consider and decide the entire matter in controversy. See 28 U. S. C. § 1254(2). 4. If the Court orders the case briefed or set for argument, the parties will be notified and permitted to file briefs.

6.1.8 Table: Public proceedings and exceptions

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Albania	Article 21 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court: "1.Cases are
	heard at the Constitutional Court in open plenary sessions. 2. The Constitutional Court may
	bar the public from attending all or part of a session, in order to protect public morals, public
	order, national security and the right to private life or personal rights."
Armenia	Article 22 Law on the Constitutional Court: "1. The court hearing is open for public with the
	exceptions provided in the Part 3 of this article. 3. By a majority vote, the Constitutional Court
	may decide to hold a session or part of a session in the absence of the media and the public
	for the interest of community morals, public order and state security, and for the privacy of the
	parties and the case."
Azerbaijan	Article 27.1. Law on the Constitutional Court: "Proceedings of cases in Constitutional Court
Azerbaijari	shall be public. The hearing of a case in camera shall be admissible only when Constitutional
	Court assumes that public sessions can become a reason of disclosure of the state,
	professional or commercial secret or when it reveals the necessity to protect private or family
5.1.	life of citizens."
Belgium	Article 104 Special Law on the Court
	The Court's hearings shall be public, unless a public hearing would jeopardise public order or
	morality; in such cases, the Court may so declare by a reasoned judgment.
Bosnia and	Article 11 Rules on the Constitutional Court: "1. The work of the Constitutional Court shall be
Herzegovina	public."
Croatia	Article 21 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court: "If there exist reasons to exclude the
	public from the proceedings, a judge of the Constitutional Court shall note it in his/her report."
Cyprus	Article 134 Constitution: "1. The sittings of the Supreme Constitutional Court for the hearing of
	all proceedings shall be public but the Court may hear any proceedings in the presence only of
	the parties, if any, and the officers of the Court if it considers that such a course will be in the
	interest of the orderly conduct of the proceedings or if the security of the Republic or public
	morals so require."
Czech	Article 45 Constitutional Court Act: "(1) Oral hearings before the Court shall be public; the
Republic	Court may limit attendance by the public or may exclude the public altogether only if such is
•	required by important interests of the state or of the parties to the proceeding, or by morality."
Denmark	§64 Constitution: "(1) In the administration of justice all proceedings shall to the widest possible
	extent be public and oral."
Georgia	Article 26 Law on the Constitutional Court
· g ·	2. A sitting of the Constitutional Court or a part of it may be closed to the public on the initiative
	of the Court or by agreement of the parties for the protection of personal information or of
	professional, commercial or state secrets.
Germany	Article 17 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
C 0ay	Unless this Law contains provisions to the contrary, the provisions of Titles 14 to 16 of the Law
	on the Constitution of Courts shall apply mutatis mutandis with regard to admission of the
	public, police powers in court, the language of the court, deliberations and voting.
	[In its Article 169, the Law on the Constitution of Courts provides that the proceedings before
	the court of decision including the pronouncement of judgements and order are public.]
Italy	
Italy	Section 15 Law on the composition and procedure of the Constitutional Court Hearings of the Constitutional Court shall be held in public, but the President may order a
	Hearings of the Constitutional Court shall be held in public, but the President may order a hearing behind closed doors when a public hearing might threaten the security of the State,
	public order or morality, or when the conduct of the members of the public present in court is
1 -4	likely to interfere with the due process of law.
Latvia	Article 27 Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. Sessions of the Constitutional Court shall be open except in cases when this is contrary to
	the interests of protecting state secrets, commercial secrets as well as protecting the
	inviolability of the private life of a person.
Liechtenstein	inviolability of the private life of a person. Article 47 Constitutional Court Act
Liechtenstein	inviolability of the private life of a person.

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision 2) The public shall be excluded in cases in which they are excluded by the provisions of the Rules of Civil and Criminal Procedure or if the Court rules to exclude the public due to
1.90	legitimate interests of a party or in the interests of public security and order.
Lithuania	Article 18 Law on the Constitutional Court Constitutional Court sittings shall be open, and may be attended by persons who are of age as well as by representatives of the press and other public mass media. The Constitutional Court may announce closed sittings provided that this is necessary for the safeguarding of a State, professional, commercial or other secret which is protected by law, or the security of a citizen or public morality.
Poland	Article 23 Constitutional Tribunal Act
	Hearings of the Tribunal shall be public unless particular provisions provide otherwise. The Presiding Judge of the bench in a given case may dispense with its public nature for reasons of security of the State or protection of State secrets. Article 59
	2. The Tribunal may, at a sitting in camera, examine a complaint concerning constitutional infringements if, from the pleadings submitted by the participants in the proceedings in writing, it results without dispute that the normative act, on the basis of which a court or organ of public administration has made a final decision in respect of freedoms or rights or obligations of the person making the complaint, is in non-conformity to the Constitution. The decision given in this procedure shall be subject to publication.
Romania	Article 14 Law on the Organisation and Operation of the Constitutional Court
Nomania	1. The sessions of judgment shall be open, unless, with good reason, the President of the Court or the Panel of Judges shall decide on a secret session.
Russian	Article 54 Federal Law on the Constitutional Court
Federation	The sessions of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation shall be open except for the events stipulated by the present Federal Constitutional Law. Article 55
	The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation shall set a session in camera when it is necessary to preserve secrets protected by the law, to ensure safety of citizens, to protect social moral.
Serbia	Article 3 Law on the Constitutional Court The work of the Constitutional Court is public. Publicity is guaranteed by public hearings in procedures before the Constitutional Court, publication of its decisions, release of communiqués to the public information media and in other manner. The Constitutional Court may exclude the public, only for the purpose of protecting the interests of national security, public order and morality in a democratic society, as well as for the purpose of protecting the interests of juveniles and the privacy of participants in a procedure.
	Article 37
	c) Public Hearing Constitutional Court shall hold a public hearing in the procedure for assessing constitutionality and legality, in the procedure for deciding on electoral disputes, as well as in proceedings for prohibition of work of a political party, trade union organisation, citizens' association or religious community.
	Constitutional court can decide not to hold a public hearing in procedure for assessing the constitutionality and legality: if it deems that the matter was sufficiently clarified in the course of procedure and that, on the basis of evidence collected, it can decide even without holding a public hearing; if it has already decided on the same matter and new evidence for making a different decision on the matter have not been provided, as well as if there are conditions for discontinuation of procedure.
Slovakia	Article 30 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court 4. Oral hearings in matters laid down in Articles 125, 126, 127a, 129, paragraph 4 of the Constitution shall be held in public. Oral hearings in other matters shall also be held in public unless the Constitutional Court, because of important considerations, excludes the public from participating in the entire proceedings or part thereof.
	5. Public character of oral hearings shall be governed, mutatis mutandis, by the provisions of the Code of Civil Judicial Procedure or, in case of constitutional complaints against decisions adopted in criminal proceedings, the Code of Criminal Procedure.
Slovenia	Article 35 Constitutional Court Act (1) The Constitutional Court considers a case at a closed session or a public hearing. A majority of all Constitutional Court judges must be present at the closed session or public hearing. Article 37
	The Constitutional Court may exclude the public from a hearing or a part thereof when so required in order to protect morals, public order, national security, the right to privacy, or personality rights.

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	Article 57
	If a constitutional complaint is accepted, as a general rule it is considered by the Constitutional
	Court at a closed session, or a public hearing may be held.
South Africa	Article 34 Constitution of the Republic of South Africa
	Everyone has the right to have any dispute that can be resolved by the application of law
	decided on in a fair public hearing before a court or, where appropriate, another independent
	and impartial tribunal or forum.
Switzerland	Article 59 Federal Judicature Act
	1 Les éventuels débats ainsi que les délibérations et votes en audience ont lieu en séance
	publique.
	2 Le Tribunal fédéral peut ordonner le huis clos total ou partiel si la sécurité, l'ordre public ou
	les bonnes moeurs sont menacés, ou si l'intérêt d'une personne en cause le justifie.
	3 Le Tribunal fédéral met le dispositif des arrêts qui n'ont pas été prononcés lors d'une séance
	publique à la disposition du public pendant 30 jours à compter de la notification.
"The Former	Article 85 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court
Yugoslav	The public can be excluded from the public hearings, meetings and preparatory meetings of
Republic of	the Court, if this is required in the interests of country's security and defence, the protection of
Macedonia"	state, official or business secrets, for the protection of the public morality and in other justified
	cases defined by the Court.

6.1.9 Table: Oral proceedings and exceptions

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Albania	Article 23 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court: "The case is presented orally at the plenary session, or through the relevant documents, according to the nature of the case."
Austria	Article 19 Federal Law on the Constitutional Court: " 1. Judgments of the Constitutional Court, apart from those delivered under Article 10 and Article 36c, shall be delivered after an oral hearing in public to which the applicant, the opposing party and any parties which may be interested in any respect shall be summoned. 3. Upon application by the reporting judge, the Court, sitting in private without a fuller procedure being necessary and without an oral hearing, may 1. refuse to examine a complaint as provided for in Article 144, subparagraph 2 of the B-VG. 2. reject an application upon the following procedural grounds: a. the Constitutional Court clearly has no jurisdiction to deal with it, b. the statutory time-limit has not been observed, c. the defect is not covered by the formal requirements, d. the case has become definitive, and e. the applicant is no entitled to bring the application 3. discontinue the proceedings on the ground that the application has been withdrawn or that the claim has been satisfied (Article 86). 4. The Constitutional Court may dispense with an oral hearing where it is apparent from the written submissions of the parties to the constitutional proceedings and the documents submitted to the Constitutional Court that no further light can be expected to be shed on the dispute in an oral discussion. In addition, upon application by the reporting judge, the Court, sitting in private and without an oral hearing, may 1. dismiss a complaint where there has clearly been no breach of a constitutionally guaranteed right; 2. settle any dispute where the legal problem has been raised in sufficiently clear terms in a previous judgment of the Constitutional Court; 3. allow a complaint which led to an judgment overruling an unlawful regulation, an unconstitutional law or an illegal treaty."
Azerbaijan	Article 27.2. Law on the Constitutional Court: "Proceedings at Constitutional Court shall be oral. In case of consent by parties and interested subjects, Plenum of Constitutional Court can hold written proceedings via procedure provided for by Rules of Procedure of Constitutional Court."
Belgium	Article 106 Special Law on the Court Only those parties who have lodged an application or filed a memorial, and their lawyers, shall be admitted to the hearing and such persons shall be limited to oral statements.
Czech Republic	Article 44 Constitutional Court Act: "(1) In matters dealt with by the Court under Article 87 para. 1 or 2 of the Constitution, if the petition was not rejected by preliminary ruling without an oral hearing and without the parties being present, an oral hearing shall be held. (2) Unless this Statute provides otherwise, with the consent of the parties, the Court may dispense with an oral hearing if further clarification of the matter cannot be expected from such a hearing."
Denmark	§64 Constitution: "(1) In the administration of justice all proceedings shall to the widest possible extent be public and oral."
Germany	Article 25 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court: "1. In the absence of provisions to the contrary, the Federal Constitutional Court shall decide on the basis of oral pleadings, unless all parties expressly waive them." Art.94: "5. The constitutional organs named in paragraphs 1, 2 and 4 above may join the proceedings. The Federal Constitutional Court may dispense with oral

Ctoto	Delevent constitutional or local provision
State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	pleadings if they are not expected to advance the proceedings any further and if the
	constitutional organs which are entitled to make a statement and have joined the proceedings
	waive oral proceedings." Article 93d: ". The decision in accordance with Articles 93 b and c
	above shall be taken without oral proceedings. This decision cannot be challenged. The refusal
	to accept the constitutional complaint does not require reasons."
Liechtenstein	Article 46 Constitutional Court Act
	2) All parties and defendant authorities shall be summoned to the hearings. Absences shall not
	stand in the way of hearings and decisions.
	Article 47
	3) An oral final hearing shall be omitted if the case is to be ruled upon in a closed meeting or if
	the Court, upon receiving the report of the rapporteur, does not believe an oral hearing is
	necessary to hear the pleadings of the parties.
Poland	Article 59 Constitutional Court Act
	1. The Tribunal shall, at a hearing, examine applications in cases specified in Article 2.2. The
	Tribunal may, at a sitting in camera, examine a complaint concerning constitutional
	infringements if, from the pleadings submitted by the participants in the proceedings in writing, it
	results without dispute that the normative act, on the basis of which a court or organ of public
	administration has made a final decision in respect of freedoms or rights or obligations of the
	person making the complaint, is in non-conformity to the Constitution. The decision given in this
	procedure shall be subject to publication.
Russian	Article 62 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court
Federation	In conformity with the procedure established by the decision of the Constitutional Court of the
rederation	
	Russian Federation the presiding Judge shall propose to the parties to give explanations on the
	merits of the question under consideration and to adduce legal arguments to prove their
	position.
Serbia	Article 31 Law on the Constitutional Court
	Participant in proceedings is entitled to present and explain his/her position and reasons during
	the procedure, as well as to answer the claims and reasons of other participants in the
	procedure
	Article 38 Law on the Constitutional Court
	All participants in proceedings are summoned to public hearing, in order to express their
	positions and provide necessary information.
Slovakia	Article 30 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court
Siovania	Oral hearings in respect of matters reviewed by and petitions considered admissible by the
	Constitutional Court shall be conducted as laid down in Articles 125, 125a, 126, 127, 127a, 129,
	paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Constitution. 2. The Constitutional Court may, with the consent of
	parties to the proceedings, waive the oral hearing if there are reasonable grounds to believe that
	oral hearing would not bring any clarification of the reviewed case.
Slovenia	Article 36 Constitutional Court Act
	(1) The Constitutional Court invites to public hearings the participants in proceedings,
	representatives, and persons authorised by the participants in proceedings, as well as other
	persons whose presence at the public hearing is deemed necessary.
South Africa	Rule 11(4) Rules of the Constitutional Court
	When an applications is placed before the Chief Justice he or she shall give directions as to
	how the application shall be dealt with and, in particular, as to whether it shall be set down for
	hearing or whether it shall be dealt with on the basis of written argument.
Spain	Article 52 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
Opani	On receipt of the court records and on expiry of the notification period, the Division shall
	transmit the records to the originator of the appeal for protection, the parties who appeared in
	the proceedings, the Government Advocate in cases involving the public Administration, and the
	Office of the Public Prosecutor. The hearing shall take place within a period applicable to all
	parties of not more than twenty days during which pertinent arguments may be put forward.
	2. The Division, proprio motu or at the request of a party, may agree to an oral hearing in place
	of the formal presentation of arguments.
Switzerland	Article 57 Federal Judicature Act
	Le président de la cour peut ordonner des débats.
United States	U.S. Supreme Court Rule 28
250 2.0.00	1. Oral argument should emphasise and clarify the written arguments in the briefs on the merits.
	Counsel should assume that all Justices have read the briefs before oral argument. Oral
	argument read from a prepared text is not
	favoured. 2. The petitioner or appellant shall open and may conclude the argument. [] 3. Unless the Court directs otherwise, each side is allowed one-half hour for argument.
	LI LIDIOSE DO LI OUT DIFORTE OTDORNICO, DOCD CIDO IC OHOMOD ODO-DOIT DOUT TOT OTDHIMODT

6.1.10 Table: Suspension of implementation

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Albania	Article 45 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court 1. The Constitutional Court, of its own motion or at the request of either of the parties, when it considers that the implementation of the law or normative act at issue may have consequences on state, social or individual interests, upon the decision of the meeting of judges or at the plenary hearing, may decide to suspend the relevant law or normative act. The suspension lasts until the final decision of the Constitutional Court is enforced.
Andorra	Article 88 (1) Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court The appellant asks the Court to set the decision aside and also, where applicable, to suspend its effects, by reiterating the claim for judicial protection of the right in question, the breach of which shall be presented in the same terms as before the ordinary court.
Armenia	Article 34 of the Law "On the Constitutional Court" of the Republic of Armenia 1. By the initiative of the applicant or the Constitutional Court, after the case is admitted, the Constitutional Court shall suspend the application of the legal act, the constitutionality of which is challenged, if the absence of such decision on suspension can cause irretrievable or harmful consequences to the applicant or the society. 2. The decision on suspension of the arguable legal act gets into force after its publication. The public is immediately informed on that by the means of Mass Media and the Public Television and Radio release the relevant information.
Austria	Article 85 Federal Law on the Constitutional Court 1.The complaint shall not have suspensory effect. 2. Upon application by the appellant the Constitutional Court, by its decision, shall confer suspensory effect on the complaint, provided that there are no pressing reasons in the public interest why it should not do so and that, after all the conflicting legal interests concerned have been taken into consideration, the appellant would sustain disproportionate harm as a result of the implementation or exercise by a third party of the right conferred by the administrative decree. Where the conditions which determined the decision as to the suspensory effect of the complaint have fundamentally changed the Court will have to give a fresh decision upon application by the appellant, the administrative authority (Article 83, subparagraph 1) or any persons interested on any other basis.
Belgium	Article 19 Special Law on the Court At the request of the applicant, the Court may, by a reasoned decision, suspend in full or in part a statute, decree or rule referred to in Article 134 of the Constitution against which an action for annulment has been brought. Article 20 Without prejudice to Article 16 ter of the Special Law on Institutional Reforms of 8 August 1980 and Article 5 ter of the Special Law of 12 January 1989 on the Brussels institutions, the decision to suspend may be made only where: 1. serious grounds are invoked and provided the immediate enforcement of the statute, decree or rule referred to in Article 134 of the Constitution against which the action has been brought is likely to occasion serious damage which is not readily redressable; 2. the action is brought against a provision which is identical or similar to a provision which has already been annulled by the Court of Arbitration and which was enacted by the same legislator.
Croatia	Article 45 Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The Constitutional Court may, until the final decision, temporarily suspend the execution of the individual decisions or actions undertaken on the grounds of the law or the other regulation, the constitutionality respective the legality of which is being reviewed, if their execution might cause grave and irreparable consequences." Article 67 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court: "(1) The constitutional complaint, as a rule, does not prevent the application of the disputed act. (2) The Constitutional Court may, on the proposal of the applicant, postpone the execution of court of justice decision until the decision is made, if the execution would cause to the applicant such damage, which could hardly be repaired, and the postponement is not contrary to the public interest nor would the postponement cause to anyone greater damage.
Czech Republic	Article 79 Constitutional Court Act (1) Constitutional complaints shall not have suspensive effect. A petition under Article 73 para. 1, appealing from a decision dissolving a political party or disallowing its activities, shall have suspenseful effect. (2) Upon a motion of the complainant, the Court may suspend the enforceability of a contested decision, if such would not be inconsistent with important public interests and so long as the complainant would suffer, due to the enforcement of the decision or the exercise of the right granted to a third person by the decision, a disproportionately greater detriment than that which other persons would suffer while enforceability is suspended." Article 80: "(1) If a constitutional complaint is directed at some encroachment of a public authority other than a decision by it, then in order to avert threatened serious harm or

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	detriment, in order to forestall a threatened intervention by force, or from some other weighty
	public interest, the Court may enjoin the public authority from continuing in its actions
	("provisional measures").
Denmark	§63 Constitution
	(1) The courts of justice shall be empowered to decide any question relating to the scope of
	the executive's authority; though any person wishing to question such authority shall not, by
	taking the case to the courts of justice, avoid temporary compliance with orders given by the
	executive authority.
Estonia	§12 Constitutional Review Court Act
	On the basis of a reasoned application of a participant of the proceedings or on its own motion
	the Supreme Court may suspend the entry into force of a contested legislation of general
	application or a provision thereof or of an international agreement, until the entry into force of
Caaraia	the Supreme Court judgment.
Georgia	Article 25 Law on the Constitutional Court
	3. If the Constitutional Court considers that the effects of the normative act are causing
	irreparable harm to one party it shall suspend the action of the disputed act before taking a final decision.
Cormony	Article 93d Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
Germany	
	2. As long as and in so far as the panel has not decided on the acceptance of the complaint of
	unconstitutionality, the chamber may take all decisions involving the complaint proceedings. A temporary injunction wholly or partly suspending the application of a law may only be issued by
	the panel; Article 32 (7) above shall remain unaffected. The panel shall also decide in the
	cases described in Article 32 (3) above.
	Article 32 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
	In a dispute the Federal Constitutional Court may deal with a matter provisionally by means
	of a temporary injunction if this is urgently needed to avert serious detriment, to ward off
	imminent force or for any other important reason for the common weal.
	2. The temporary injunction may be issued without oral pleadings. In particularly urgent
	instances, the Federal Constitutional Court need not give the parties to the principal
	proceedings, the parties entitled to join them or the parties entitled to make a statement an
	opportunity to make a statement.
	3. If the temporary injunction is issued or refused by an order, a protest may be lodged. This
	shall not apply to the complainant in proceedings on a complaint of unconstitutionality. The
	Federal Constitutional Court shall decide on the protest after oral pleadings. These must be
	held within two weeks of receiving the reasons for the protest.
	4. A protest against a temporary injunction shall not have any suspensive effect. The Federal
	Constitutional Court may stay the execution of the temporary injunction.
	5. The Federal Constitutional Court may announce the decision on the temporary injunction or
	the protest without giving reasons. In this case the reasons shall be transmitted separately to
	the parties involved.
	6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with
	6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes.
	6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes.7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly
	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall
	6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes.7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have
	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue.
Greece	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court
Greece	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a
Greece	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48,
Greece	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from
	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled.
	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court
	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision,
Latvia	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise
Latvia	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act
	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of.
Latvia	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of. 2) Upon application of the party, the chairman may rule that individual complaints (article 15)
Latvia	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of. 2) Upon application of the party, the chairman may rule that individual complaints (article 15) shall suspend the act complained of, unless compelling public interests countervail and if the
Latvia Liechtenstein	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of. 2) Upon application of the party, the chairman may rule that individual complaints (article 15) shall suspend the act complained of, unless compelling public interests countervail and if the execution would result in a disproportionate burden upon the complainant.
Greece Latvia Liechtenstein	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of. 2) Upon application of the party, the chairman may rule that individual complaints (article 15) shall suspend the act complained of, unless compelling public interests countervail and if the execution would result in a disproportionate burden upon the complainant. Article 106 paragraph 4 Constitution of the Republic off Lithuania
Latvia Liechtenstein	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of. 2) Upon application of the party, the chairman may rule that individual complaints (article 15) shall suspend the act complained of, unless compelling public interests countervail and if the execution would result in a disproportionate burden upon the complainant. Article 106 paragraph 4 Constitution of the Republic off Lithuania The presentation by the President of the Republic for the Constitutional Court or the resolution
Latvia Liechtenstein	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of. 2) Upon application of the party, the chairman may rule that individual complaints (article 15) shall suspend the act complained of, unless compelling public interests countervail and if the execution would result in a disproportionate burden upon the complainant. Article 106 paragraph 4 Constitution of the Republic off Lithuania The presentation by the President of the Republic for the Constitutional Court or the resolution of the Seimas asking for an investigation into the conformity of an act with the Constitution
Latvia Liechtenstein Lithuania	6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of. 2) Upon application of the party, the chairman may rule that individual complaints (article 15) shall suspend the act complained of, unless compelling public interests countervail and if the execution would result in a disproportionate burden upon the complainant. Article 106 paragraph 4 Constitution of the Republic off Lithuania The presentation by the President of the Republic for the Constitutional Court or the resolution of the Seimas asking for an investigation into the conformity of an act with the Constitution shall suspend the validity of the act.
Latvia Liechtenstein	 6. The temporary injunction shall cease to have effect after six months. It may be renewed with a majority of two thirds of the votes. 7. If a panel does not have a quorum, a temporary injunction may be issued in particularly urgent cases if at least three judges are present and the decision is taken unanimously. It shall cease to have effect after one month. If it is confirmed by the panel, it shall cease to have effect six months after the date of issue. Article 50 Law establishing a Special Highest Court 3. Any court which has pending before it a case requiring the application of the provisions of a law concerning which litigation is pending before the Special Court as provided in Article 48, shall, after learning of such litigation by any means whatsoever, of its own motion refrain from delivering a final judgment until the Special Court has ruled. Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional Court 5. Submitting of the constitutional claim does not suspend the execution of the court decision, with an exception of cases when the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise Article 52 Constitutional Court Act 1) Petitions to the Constitutional Court shall not suspend the act complained of. 2) Upon application of the party, the chairman may rule that individual complaints (article 15) shall suspend the act complained of, unless compelling public interests countervail and if the execution would result in a disproportionate burden upon the complainant. Article 106 paragraph 4 Constitution of the Republic off Lithuania The presentation by the President of the Republic for the Constitutional Court or the resolution of the Seimas asking for an investigation into the conformity of an act with the Constitution

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	was lodged.
Poland	Article 50 Constitutional Tribunal Act
	1. The Tribunal may issue a preliminary decision to suspend or stop the enforcement of the
	judgment in the case to which the complaint refers if the enforcement of the said judgment,
	decision or another ruling might result in irreversible consequences linked with great detriment
	to the person making the complaint or where a vital public interest or another vital interest of the person making the complaint speaks in favour thereof.
Russia	Article 42 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court
radola	In the events of urgency the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation may propose to
	the respective bodies and officials that they suspend the disputed act, the process of entry of
	the contested international treaty of the Russian Federation into force until the Constitutional
	Court of the Russian Federation has completed the consideration of the case.
Serbia	Article 56 Law on the Constitutional Court
	In the course of procedure, until the issuing of a final decision, the Constitutional Court may
	suspend the enforcement of an individual act or action taken on the basis of the general act
	whose constitutionality or legality are being assessed, where such enforcement could cause
Slovakia	irreversible detrimental consequences. Article 55 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court
Siovakia	The filing of a constitutional complaint shall not have a suspensive effect.
	(1) 2. The Constitutional Court however may decide upon the complainant's motion on
	temporary injunction and it may suspend the execution of the challenged lawful decision,
	measure or other encroachment if it does not contradict to imperative public interest. This
	applies unless such execution of the challenged judgement, measure or other encroachment
	might entail the complainant greater damage than which other persons might incur in case if
	the enforceability is suspended; particularly the Court shall impose to such a body that in the
	complainant's opinion has violatedhis/her fundamental rights or freedoms temporarily to desist from execution of the lawful decision, measure, or other encroachment and the Constitutional
	Court shall impose on third parties temporarily to desist from their power, recognised by means
	of lawful decision, measure, or other encroachment.
Slovenia	Article 39 Constitutional Court Act
	(1) Until a final decision, the Constitutional Court may suspend in whole or in part the
	implementation of a law, other regulation, or general act issued for the exercise of public
	authority if difficult to remedy harmful consequences could result from the implementation
	thereof.
	(3) If the Constitutional Court suspends the implementation of a regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority, it may at the same time decide in what manner the
	decision is to be implemented.
	Article 58
	If a constitutional complaint is accepted, the panel or the Constitutional Court may suspend the
	implementation of the individual act which is challenged by the constitutional complaint at a
	closed session if difficult to remedy harmful consequences could result from the
	implementation thereof.
South Africa	Article 172(2)(b) Constitution of the Republic of South Africa
	A court which makes an order of constitutional invalidity may grant a temporary interdict or other temporary relief
	Article 172(1)(b) Constitution of the Republic of South Africa
	When deciding on a constitutional matter within its power, a court may make any order that is
	just and equitable.
Spain	Article 56 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. The Division that hears an appeal for protection shall, proprio motu or at the request of the
	applicant, stay execution by the public authorities of the act in respect of which constitutional
	protection is claimed where such execution might cause an injury that would defeat the very
	purpose of the protection. It may, however, deny the stay where it could seriously harm the general interests or the fundamental rights or public freedoms of a third party.
Switzerland	Article 103 Federal Judicature Act
CWILLONATIO	1. En règle générale, le recours n'a pas d'effet suspensif.
	3. Le juge instructeur peut, d'office ou sur requête d'une partie, statuer différemment sur l'effet
	suspensif.
"The Former	Article 57 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court
Yugoslav	During the proceedings, the Constitutional Court may pass a resolution to suspend the
Republic of	execution of the individual act or action until a final judgment has been adopted.
Macedonia" United States	U.S. Supreme Court Rule 23
Officed States	2. A party to a judgment sought to be reviewed may present to a Justice an application to stay
	the enforcement of that judgment.
	I are emerced or more leading.

6.1.11 Table: Stay of ordinary proceedings

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Albania	Article 68 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court
	1. When a court of any instance or a trial judge considers during the trial ex officio or at the
	request of either party involved that a certain law is unconstitutional and if there is a direct link
	between the law and the solution of the case at hand, that particular law shall not be applied in
	the case at hand and after suspending the trial the judge shall refer the file to the Constitutional
	Court, which on its side should deliver its verdict as to the constitutionality of the said law.
Andorra	Article 4 Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court: "2. The jurisdiction of the Constitutional
	Court takes priority over that of the ordinary courts. A case which has been brought before the
	Constitutional Court cannot at the same time be examined by another court. Where the
	Constitutional Court declares admissible a case which has first been brought before an ordinary
	court that court ceases to deal with it."
Armenia	Article 71 Law on the Constitutional Court: "2. Before applying to Constitutional Court the courts
	must and the Chief Prosecutor has the right to suspend the given case until the decision of the
	Constitutional Court gets into force."
Belgium	Art. 30 of the Special Law on the Court
J	A decision to refer a question to the Court of Arbitration for a preliminary ruling shall have the
	effect of suspending the proceedings and the time limits for proceedings and limitation periods
	from the date of that decision until the date on which the ruling of the Court of Arbitration is
	notified to the court of law that posed the preliminary question. A copy of the ruling shall be sent
	to the parties.
Chile	Article 94 Constitution
	[The Chamber] shall be competent to decide on the suspension of the proceeding in which the
	action of inapplicability due to unconstitutionality originated.
	, , , , . g
Croatia	Article 37 Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court: "(1) If a court of justice in its
	proceedings determines that the law to be applied, or some of its provisions, are not in
	accordance with the Constitution, it shall stop the proceedings and present a request with the
	Constitutional Court to review the constitutionality of the law, or some of its provisions. (2) If the
	court of justice in its proceedings determines that another regulation to be applied, or some of
	its provisions, are not in accordance with the Constitution and the law, it shall directly apply the
	law to that specific case and shall present a request with the Constitutional Court to review the
	constitutionality and legality of the disputed regulation or some of its provisions."
Cyprus	Article 144 Constitution: "1. A party to any judicial proceedings, including proceedings on
71	appeal, may, at any stage thereof, raise the question of the unconstitutionality of any law or
	decision or any provision thereof material for the determination of any matter at issue in such
	proceedings and thereupon the Court before which such question is raised shall reserve the
	question for the decision of the Supreme Constitutional Court and stay further proceedings until
	such question is determined by the Supreme Constitutional Court."
Germany	Article 100 Constitution
,	(1) Where a court considers that a law on whose validity its ruling depends is unconstitutional it
	shall stay the proceedings and, if it holds the constitution of a Land to be violated, seek a ruling
	from the Land court with jurisdiction for constitutional disputes or, where it holds this Basic Law
	to be violated, from the Federal Constitutional Court.
Greece	Article 48 Law establishing a Special Highest Court
	[]The case shall furthermore remain pending before the court requesting the preliminary ruling
	which, upon delivery of the Special Court's ruling, shall try the case again at the request of one
	of the parties or of its own motion, it being compelled to abide by the ruling of the Special Court
	which shall be transmitted to it by the Registrar of the Special Court.
Hungary	Article 38 Act on the Constitutional Court
- 3,	1. A judge shall initiate the proceedings of the Constitutional Court while suspending the judicial
	process if he/she in the course of any pending case, he/she considers unconstitutional the legal
	rule or other legal means of the State control which he/she needs to apply.
Italy	Section 23 Law on the composition and procedures of the Constitutional Court
,	If the case cannot be tried without first resolving the question of constitutionality, or if the trial
	court does not consider that the question of constitutionality raised is groundless, it shall issue
	an order referring the matter immediately to the Constitutional Court, setting out the terms and
	the reasons for raising the question of constitutionality, and shall suspend trial proceedings.
Latvia	Article 19.2 Law on the Constitutional Court
∟atvia	3. [] Initiating a case at the Constitutional Court means the civil, criminal or administrative
	5. [] minating a case at the Constitutional Court means the civil, chiminal of administrative

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
3.0.0	case shall not be reviewed at the court of general jurisdiction to the time of announcement of a
	Constitutional Court Judgment;
Liechtenstein	Article 18 1) Constitutional Court Act: "The Constitutional Court shall decide on the
	constitutionality of laws or individual legislative provisions: b) on application of a court, if and
	to the extent that the court has to apply a law or individual provisions thereof (on the basis of precedent) that it believes to be unconstitutional in a matter pending before it and the court has
	decided to interrupt the proceedings to request a ruling by the Constitutional Court."
Lithuania	Article 67 Law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Lithuania
	Provided that there are grounds to consider that a law or other legal act, which shall be
	applicable in a concrete case, fails to conform with the Constitution, the court (judge) shall
	suspend the examination of said case and, with regard to the competence of the Constitutional
	Court, shall appeal to it with a petition to decide whether the said law or other legal act is in
Luxemburg	conformity with the Constitution. Article 7 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court
Luxemburg	The decision to put a preliminary question to the Constitutional Court suspends the proceedings
	and all procedural time limits or limitation periods from the date of the decision up to the date on
	which the referring court receives the Constitutional Court's ruling on the preliminary question.
Romania	Article 23 Law on the Organisation and Operation of the Constitutional Court
	6. During the period in which the exception of unconstitutionality is being examined, the
	Instance may issue a motivated interlocutory judgment, ordering the suspension of the case.
	The interlocutory judgment is subject to appeal within five days from the date of the pronouncement.
Russian	Article 98 Federal Law on the Constitutional Court
Federation	The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation having taken up the complaint on the
	violation by the law of the constitutional rights and freedoms of citizens for the consideration
	shall notify about that the court or other body which considers the case in which the appealed
	law has been applied or ought to be applied. Such notification does not entail the suspension of
	the proceedings on the case. The court or other body which considers the case in which the appealed law has been applied.
	or ought to be applied may suspend the proceedings pending the passing of the judgment of
	the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation.
	Article 103
	Consequences of the Submission of Requests
	During the period from the time when the court hands down a decision to petition the
	Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation and until the adoption of a ruling by the
	Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, proceedings on the case or the implementation of the decision handed down by the court on the case shall be suspended.
Slovenia	Article 156 Constitution
	If a court deciding some matter deems a law which it should apply to be unconstitutional, it must
	stay the proceedings and initiate proceedings before the Constitutional Court. The proceedings
	in the court may be continued after the Constitutional Court has issued its decision.
	Article 23 Constitutional Court Act
	(1) When in the process of deciding a court deems a law or part thereof which it should apply to be unconstitutional, it stays the proceedings and by a request initiates proceedings for the
	review of its constitutionality.
	(2) If the Supreme Court deems a law or part thereof which it should apply to be
	unconstitutional, it stays proceedings in all cases in which it should apply such law or part
	thereof in deciding on legal remedies and by a request initiates proceedings for the review of its
	constitutionality.
	(3) If by a request the Supreme Court initiates proceedings for the review of the constitutionality
	of a law or part thereof, a court which should apply such law or part thereof in deciding may stay proceedings until the final decision of the Constitutional Court without having to initiate
	proceedings for the review of the constitutionality of such law or part thereof by a separate
	request.
South Africa	Section 172(2)(b) Constitution of the Republic of South Africa
	A court which makes an order of constitutional invalidity may adjourn the proceedings,
Chain	pending a decision of the Constitutional Court on the validity of that Act or conduct.
Spain	Article 35 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
	2. The judicial body may raise the question only on completion of the proceedings and within the prescribed time-limit for delivering its judgment, by specifying the law or enactment having
	the force of law whose constitutionality is contested and the constitutional precept that is
	deemed to have been violated, and by indicating with supporting evidence the extent to which
	the judgment emanating from the proceedings depends on the validity of the enactment in
	question. Before delivering its final judgment, the judicial body shall hear the parties and the
	Office of the Public Prosecutor so that, within a joint time-limit of ten days that may not be

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	extended, they can put forward such arguments as they see fit regarding the appropriateness of raising a question of unconstitutionality, whereupon the judge shall give a ruling without further process within three days. That ruling may not be appealed. However, the question of unconstitutionality may be raised again at successive stages of the proceedings or in higher courts until such time as a judgment not subject to appeal has been delivered.
Ukraine	Article 83 Law on the Constitutional Court When, in the process of examination of cases under general court procedure, a dispute concerning the constitutionality of norms of a law which is being applied by the court arises, the examination of the case shall be suspended.

6.1.12 Table: Injunctive measures

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Germany	Article 32 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court: "1.In a dispute the Federal Constitutional Court may deal with a matter provisionally by means of a temporary injunction if this is urgently needed to avert serious detriment, to ward off imminent force or for any other important reason for the common weal. 2. The temporary injunction may be issued without oral pleadings. In particularly urgent instances, the Federal Constitutional Court need not give the parties to the principal proceedings, the parties entitled to join them or the parties entitled to make a statement an opportunity to make a statement. 3. If the temporary injunction is issued or refused by an order, a protest may be lodged. This shall not apply to the complainant in proceedings on a complaint of unconstitutionality. The Federal Constitutional Court shall decide on the protest after oral pleadings. These must be held within two weeks of receiving the reasons for the protest. 4. A protest against a temporary injunction shall not have any suspensive effect. The Federal Constitutional Court may stay the execution of the temporary injunction."
Liechtenstein	Article 53 Constitutional Court Act 1) Upon the request of a party and subject to the conditions specified in article 52 paragraph 2, the chairman may order such preliminary measures for the duration of the proceedings as appear necessary to regulate an existing situation in the interim or to preserve endangered legal circumstances.
Malta	Article 4 European Convention Act 2. The Civil Court, First Hall, shall have original jurisdiction to hear and determine any application made by any person in pursuance of subsection 1 of this section, and may make such orders, issue such writs and give such directions as it may consider appropriate for the purpose of enforcing, or securing the enforcement, of the Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms to the enjoyment of which the person concerned is entitled
South Africa	Section 172(2)(b) Constitution of the Republic of South Africa A court which makes an order of constitutional invalidity may grant a temporary interdict or other temporary relief, or may adjourn the proceedings, pending a decision of the Constitutional Court on the validity of that Act or conduct. Article 172(1)(b) of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa When deciding on a constitutional matter within its power, a court may make any order that is just and equitable.
Slovenia	Article 39 Constitutional Court Act (1) Until a final decision, the Constitutional Court may suspend in whole or in part the implementation of a law, other regulation, or general act issued for the exercise of public authority if difficult to remedy harmful consequences could result from the implementation thereof. (2) If a participant in proceedings motions for a suspension referred to in the preceding paragraph, and the Constitutional Court deems the conditions for the suspension not to be fulfilled, it dismisses the motion by an order. If the Constitutional Court does not decide otherwise, the statement of reasons of the order by which the motion was dismissed includes only a statement of the legal basis for the adoption of the decision and the composition of the Constitutional Court. (3) If the Constitutional Court suspends the implementation of a regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority, it may at the same time decide in what manner the decision is to be implemented. (4) An order by which the implementation of a regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority is suspended must include a statement of reasons. (5) The order referred to in the preceding paragraph is published in the Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia as well as in the official publication in which the respective regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority was published. Such suspension takes effect the day following the publication of the order in the Official Gazette of the Republic of

	Slovenia, and in case of a public announcement of the order, the day of its announcement. Article 58
	If a constitutional complaint is accepted, the panel or the Constitutional Court may suspend the implementation of the individual act which is challenged by the constitutional complaint at a closed session if difficult to remedy harmful consequences could result from the implementation thereof.
Switzerland	Article 104 Federal Judicature Act
	Le juge instructeur peut, d'office ou sur requête d'une partie, ordonner les mesures provisionnelles nécessaires au maintien de l'état de fait ou à la sauvegarde d'intérêts menacés.

6.1.13 Table: Extension of norms under review

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provisions
Armenia	Article 68 of the Law On the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Armenia
	9. While determining the constitutionality of any general act mentioned in Paragraph 1 of Article
	100 of the Constitution the Constitutional Court together with the challenged provision of the act
	finds out the constitutionality of any other provision of the act from the perspective of systematic
	interrelation of those. If the findings of the Court prove that other provisions of the act are
	interrelated with the challenged provisions and are not in conformity with the Constitution, the
	Constitutional Court can determine those provisions also invalid and unconstitutional.
Croatia	Article 71 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court
	(1) The Chamber, respective the Session of the Constitutional Court shall examine only the
	violations of constitutional rights which are stated in the constitutional complaint." But: Article 74:
	"If ascertained that the constitutional right of the applicant has been violated not only by the
	disputed, but also by some other act brought in this matter, the Constitutional Court shall repeal
	by the decision, as a whole or in part, and this act as well.
Liechtenstein	Article 19 Constitutional Court Act
	1) If the Constitutional Court finds that a law or individual provisions thereof are incompatible
	with the Constitution, it shall annul the law or the relevant provisions. If further provisions of the
	law that are directly connected therewith are incompatible with the Constitution for the same
	reasons, the Constitutional Court may also annul them ex officio without an application.
Serbia	Article 54 Law on the Constitutional Court
	In the procedure of assessing constitutionality and legality, the Constitutional Court is not
-	constrained by the request of the authorised propounder, or initiator.
Slovenia	Article 30 Constitutional Court Act
	In deciding on the constitutionality and legality of a regulation or general act issued for the
	exercise of public authority, the Constitutional Court is not bound by the proposal of a request or
	petition. The Constitutional Court may also review the constitutionality and legality of other
	provisions of the same or other regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public
	authority for which a review of constitutionality or legality has not been proposed, if such
	provisions are mutually related or if such is necessary to resolve the case.
	Article 59 (1) By a decision the Constitutional Court either dismisses a constitutional complaint as
	unfounded or grants such and in whole or in part annuls or abrogates the individual act, and
	remands the case to the authority competent to decide thereon.
	(2) If the Constitutional Court deems that the challenged individual act is based on a potentially
	unconstitutional or unlawful regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority, it
	initiates proceedings for the review of the constitutionality or legality of such regulation or
	general act issued for the exercise of public authority and decides by applying the provisions of
	Chapter IV of this Act
"The Former	Article 14 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court: During the examination of the
Yugoslav	constitutionality of a law or of the constitutionality and legality of a regulation or other common
Republic of	act, the Constitutional Court may also assess the constitutionality and legality of a regulation or
Macedonia"	other common act that is not challenged in the petition.
Ukraine	Article 61 Law on the Constitutional Court: If consideration of the case arising from constitutional
1	claim or constitutional petition reveals the non-conformity with the Constitution of Ukraine of
	legal acts (their separate parts) other than those for which an examination has been opened
1	and which influences the adoption of a decision or the providing of an opinion in the case, the
	Constitutional Court of Ukraine recognises such legal acts (their separate parts) as
	unconstitutional.

6.1.14 Table: Erga omnes effect

State Relevant constitutional or legal provision Albania Article 132 (1) Constitution: "The decisions of the Constitutional Court have general bind final." Armenia Article 61 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. The decisions of the Constitutional Court on the substance of the case are mandato and local self-government bodies, their officials as well as for the natural and legal persecution of the Republic of Armenia.	ding force and are
final." Armenia Article 61 Law on the Constitutional Court 5. The decisions of the Constitutional Court on the substance of the case are mandato and local self-government bodies, their officials as well as for the natural and legal personal courts.	-
5. The decisions of the Constitutional Court on the substance of the case are mandato and local self-government bodies, their officials as well as for the natural and legal personal control of the case are mandato.	
and local self-government bodies, their officials as well as for the natural and legal per-	
	ry for all the state
territory of the republic of runneria.	sons in the whole
6. The procedural decisions of the Constitutional Court are mandatory for all the partici	ipants of the case
and other addressees of those.	•
Article 69 Law on the Constitutional Court	
12. In cases defined by this Article if the Constitutional Court decision finds the cha unconstitutional and annuls it, the final judicial act shall be revisited in the order prescrib	allenged provision
Austria Article 139 Constitution	ed by Law.
(6) If an ordinance has been rescinded on the score of illegality or if the Constitu	utional Court has
pursuant to para. 4 above pronounced an ordinance to be contrary to law, all courts a	
authorities are bound by the Court's decision, the ordinance shall however continue	
circumstances effected before the rescission, the case in point excepted, unless	
rescissory judgment decides otherwise. If the Court has in its rescissory judgment pursuant to para. 5 above, the ordinance shall apply to all the circumstances effected,	the case in point
excepted, till the expiry of this deadline.	the case in point
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Article 140	
(7) If a law has been rescinded on the score of unconstitutionality or if the Constitutional to para 4 above prepaying a law to be unconstitutional all sources.	
pursuant to para. 4 above pronounced a law to be unconstitutional, all courts a authorities are bound by the Court's decision. The law shall however continue	
circumstances effected before the rescission the case in point excepted, unless	
rescissory judgment decides otherwise. If the Court has in its rescissory judgmen	nt set a deadline
pursuant to para. 5 above, the law shall apply to all the circumstances effected, to	the case in point
excepted till the expiry of this deadline.	Count
Azerbaijan Article 66 Law on the Constitutional Court. Legal Force of Resolutions of Constitutional Court. According to Article 130.9 of the Constitution of Azerbaijan Republic, the	
Constitutional Court shall have binding force through out the territory of Azerbaijan Repu	ublic.
Belgium Article 9 Special Law on the Court	
1. Judgments of annulment delivered by the Court of Arbitration shall have force	e of res judicata
commencing from their publication in the Moniteur belge.	nt aball be binding
Judgments delivered by the Court of Arbitration which dismiss an action for annulmer on the courts in respect of questions of law settled by such judgments.	it shall be binding
Article 28	
The court which raised the preliminary issue, and any other court called upon to rule on	
shall, in settling the dispute which gave rise to the questions referred to in Article 26	, comply with the
ruling of the Court of Arbitration. Bosnia and Article 63 Rules of the Constitutional Court	
Herzegovina 2. In a decision establishing incompatibility under Article VI.3 (a) and VI.3 (c), the Co	onstitutional Court
may quash the general act or some of its provisions, partially or entirely.	montanorial oddit
Article 64	
1. In a decision granting an appeal, the Constitutional Court shall quash the challenge	
refer the case back to the court or to the body which took that decision, for renewed p law regulating the competence for acting in the respective legal matter was amended p	
decision by the Constitutional Court, the court or the body which took the quashed decision	
to refer the case to the competent court or body without delay.	and the same game at
Brazil Article 52 Constitution	
It is exclusively the competence of the Federal Senate:	al desire of the
 X – to stop the application, in full or in part, of a law declared unconstitutional by fin. Supreme Federal Court. 	ai decision of the
Article 103-A. The Supreme Federal Court shall have the power to, by own initiative or be	ov provocation, by
means of a decision taken by two thirds of their members, after reiterated decisions at	
matter, approve summary which, after publication in official gazette, shall have bindir	ng effect over the
other bodies of the Judiciary Power and over the direct and indirect public administ	
State and municipal levels, as well as proceed to their revision or cancelling, in the ma	anner provided for
in law. Bulgaria Article 22 Constitutional Court Act	
1. With its decision the Court shall rule only on the motion as presented. It shall not	be limited to the
indicated grounds for non-conformity with the Constitution.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Oldic	When an act has been issued by an incompetent organ the Constitutional Court shall declare it null
	and void.
	4. The legal effects which have occurred on the basis of the act set out in paragraph 2 shall be resolved by the organ which has issued it.
Croatia	Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court
	Article 31
	(1) The decisions and the rulings of the Constitutional Court are obligatory and every individual or legal person shall obey them.
	(2) All bodies of the central government and the local and regional self-government shall, within their
	constitutional and legal jurisdiction, execute the decisions and the rulings of the Constitutional Court.
Cyprus	Article 144 (3) Constitution: "Any decision of the Supreme Constitutional Court under paragraph 2 of this
	article shall be binding on the court by which the question has been reserved and on the parties to the proceedings and shall, in case such decision is to the effect that the law or decision or any provision
	thereof is unconstitutional, operate as to make such law or decision inapplicable to such proceedings
0 1 5 1 5	only."
Czech Republic	Article 89 Constitution. (2) Enforceable decisions of the Constitutional Court are binding on all authorities and persons.
	Constitutional Court Act
	Article 82
	(3) If it grants the constitutional complaint of a natural or legal person under Article 87 para. 1, lit. d) of the Constitution, the Court shall:
	a) annul the contested decision of the public authority, or
	b) if a constitutionally guaranteed fundamental right or basic freedom was infringed as the result of an
	encroachment by a public authority other than a decision, enjoin the authority from continuing to infringe
	this right or freedom and order it, to the extent possible, to restore the situation that existed prior to the infringement.
Germany	Article 94 Constitution
	(2) The constitution and procedure of the Federal Constitutional Court shall be governed by a federal law which shall specify the cases in which its decisions have the force of law.
	Article 31 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
	1. The decisions of the Federal Constitutional Court shall be binding upon federal and Land constitutional
	organs as well as on all courts and authorities. 2. In cases pursuant to Article 13 (6), (11), (12) and (14) above decisions of the Federal Constitutional
	Court shall have the force of law. This shall also apply in cases pursuant to Article 13 (8a) [constitutional
	complaint] above if the Federal Constitutional Court declares a law to be compatible or incompatible with
	the Basic Law or to be null and void. If a law is declared to be compatible or incompatible with the Basic
	Law or other federal law or to be null and void, the decision shall be published in the Federal Law Gazette by the Federal Ministry of Justice. The above shall apply mutatis mutandis to decisions in cases
	pursuant to Article 13 (12) and (14) above.
	Article 79 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
	1. New proceedings may be instituted in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure against a final conviction based on a rule which has been declared incompatible with the
	Basic Law or null and void in accordance with Article 78 above or on the interpretation of a rule which the
	Federal Constitutional Court has declared incompatible with the Basic Law.
	2. In all other respects, subject to the provisions of Article 95 (2) below or a specific statutory provision, final decisions based on a rule declared null and void pursuant to Article 78 above shall remain
	unaffected. The execution of such decision shall not be admissible. Where enforcement is to be effected
	in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure the provisions of Article 767 of the Code
	shall apply mutatis mutandis. Claims on account of unjustified benefit shall be excluded. Article 95 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
	If the constitutional complaint is upheld, the decision shall state which provision of the Basic Law has
	been infringed and by which act or omission. The Federal Constitutional Court may at the same time
	declare that any repetition of the act or omission against which the complaint was directed will infringe the Basic Law.
	2. If a constitutional complaint against a decision is upheld, the Federal Constitutional Court shall quash
	the decision and in cases pursuant to the first sentence of Article 90 (2) above it shall refer the matter
	back to a competent court.
	3. If a constitutional complaint against a law is upheld, the law shall be declared null and void. The same shall apply if a constitutional complaint pursuant to paragraph 2 above is upheld because the quashed
	decision is based on an unconstitutional law.
Greece	Article 51 Law on the Special Highest Court
	1. A decision by the Special Court resolving a dispute concerning assessment of the constitutionality of a law or its interpretation shall have force <i>erga omnes</i> as from its delivery in open court, subject to
	paragraph 4 of this article.
Hungary	Article 32A Constitution

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	(2) The Constitutional Court shall annul the statutes and other legal norms that it finds to be
	unconstitutional.
	Article 27 Law on the Constitutional Court
	The decision of the Constitutional Court may not be appealed.
landara d	2. The decisions of the Constitutional Court shall be binding on everybody.
Ireland	Article 34 (3) Constitution 6°The decision of the Supreme Court shall in all c ases be final and conclusive.
	4° No law shall be enacted excepting from the appel late jurisdiction of the Supreme Court cases which
	involve questions as to the validity of any law having regard to the provisions of this Constitution.
Italy	Article 136 Constitution
•	When the Court declares the constitutional illegitimacy of a law or enactment having the force of law, the
	law ceases to have effect from the day following the publication of the decision.
	Article 30, cl. 3 of the Law on the composition and procedures of the Constitutional Court (Law no.
	87/1953):
	Laws declared unconstitutional cannot find application starting from the day following publication of the decision
Korea, Republic	Constitutional Court Act
rtorca, rtopublic	Article 47 (Effect of Decision of Unconstitutionality)
	(1) Any decision that statutes are unconstitutional shall bind the ordinary courts, other state agencies and
	local governments.
Kyrgyzstan	Article 85
	4. The decision of the Constitutional Court shall be final and not subject to appeal.
	The finding by the Constitutional Court that laws or their provisions are unconstitutional shall annul their
	application on the territory and also cancel the effect of other legal and regulatory acts based on the laws
	or provisions deemed unconstitutional, with the exception of judicial acts. Judicial acts based on norms of laws deemed unconstitutional shall be reviewed by the court in every
	specific case upon complaints of citizens whose rights and freedoms were affected.
Liechtenstein	Article 17 Law on the Constitutional Court
	1) If the Constitutional Court finds a violation, by the decision or order of a public authority complained of,
	of one of the complainant's constitutionally guaranteed rights or of one of his rights guaranteed by
	international conventions for which the lawmaking power has explicitly recognised an individual right of
	complaint (article 15 paragraph 2), the Constitutional Court shall annul such decision or order and, if
	applicable, shall call upon the responsible authority to decide the matter anew.
	Article 19 Law on the State Court
	1) If the Constitutional Court finds that a law or individual provisions thereof are incompatible with the Constitution, it shall annul the law or the relevant provisions. If further provisions of the law that are
	directly connected therewith are incompatible with the Constitution for the same reasons, the
	Constitutional Court may also annul them ex officio without an application.
	Article 54
	The decisions of the Constitutional Court shall be binding upon all authorities of the country and of the
	municipalities as well as upon all courts. In cases according to articles 19, 21 and 23, the judgment of the
	Constitutional Court shall be universally binding.
Lithuania	Article 72 Law on the Constitutional Court
	Rulings adopted by the Constitutional Court shall have the power of law and shall be binding to all governmental institutions, companies, firms, and organisations as well as to officials and citizens.
Luxembourg	Article 15 Law on the organisation of the Constitutional Court:
Laxorriboding	The referring court and any other court called on to deal with the same case shall abide by the
	Constitutional Court's ruling when determining the case.
Malta	Article 242 Code of Organisation and Civil Procedure
	When a court, by a judgment which has become res judicata, declares any provision of any law to run
	counter to any provision of the Constitution of Malta or to any human right or fundamental freedom set
	out in the First Schedule to the European Convention act, or to be ultra vires, the registrar shall send a
	copy of the said judgment to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, who shall during the first
	sitting of the House following the receipt of such judgment inform the House of such receipt and lay a
Moldova	copy of the judgment on the table of the House. Article 140 Constitution
iviUluUva	(1) Laws and other regulations or parts thereof become null and void from the moment that the
	Constitutional Court passes the appropriate decisions to that effect.
Montenegro	Article 151 Constitution
3 -	The decision of the Constitutional Court shall be generally binding and enforceable.
	Article 62 Draft Law on the Constitutional Court
	If a human right or freedom guaranteed by the Constitution of more persons was violated by an individual
	act, and only some of them lodged constitutional complaint, the decision of the Constitutional Court shall
	also relate to persons who did not lodge the constitutional complaint, provided that they are in the same
	legal situation.

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Peru	As for procedures before ordinary courts:
	Article 14 Organic Law on the Judicial Power ²⁴⁷
	In all these cases the judges shall limit themselves to declaring the inapplicability of the legal norm due to
	unconstitutionality, for the concrete case, without affecting its legal force, which is controlled in the form
	established by the Constitution.
	Article 35 of Law no. 26.435 ²⁴⁸
	The sentences passed in unconstitutionality proceedings shall have authority of res judicata, shall bind all
	public powers and shall produce general effects from the day following their publication.
	Article VII Constitutional Procedure Code (p.t.) ²⁴⁹
	The sentences of the Constitutional Tribunal which have authority of res judicata shall constitute binding
	precedent if the sentence specifying the scope of its normative effects so states. If the Constitutional
	Tribunal decides to diverge from the precedent, it must specify the factual and legal bases that underlie
	the sentence and the reasons why it diverges from the precedent. Article 2^{250}
	If the threat to or violation of acts that have their basis in the application of a directly applicable
	unconstitutional norm is invoked, the sentence declaring the request admissible shall declare in addition
	the inapplicability of the specified norm.
Poland	Article 190 Constitution:
	1. Judgments of the Constitutional Tribunal shall be of universally binding application and shall be final.
	4. A judgment of the Constitutional Tribunal on the nonconformity to the Constitution, an international
	agreement or statute, of a normative act on the basis of which a legally effective judgment of a court, a
	final administrative decision or settlement of other matters was issued, shall be a basis for re-opening
	proceedings, or for quashing the decision or other settlement in a manner and on principles specified in
	provisions applicable to the given proceedings.
	Article 71 Constitutional Tribunal Act
	2. Where the Tribunal decides that the normative act ceases to have effect after the day of the
	publication of the judicial decision confirming its non-conformity to the Constitution, ratified international
	agreement or statutes, it shall, in the judicial decision, determine the date the act shall cease to have
Dantonal	effect.
Portugal	Article 281 Constitution
	General review of constitutionality and legality: 3. The Constitutional Court also has jurisdiction to review and give generally binding rulings on the
	unconstitutionality or illegality of a legal rule, the application of which it has held to be unconstitutional or
	illegal in three appeals.
	Article 80 law on the Constitutional Court:
	1. The decision on the appeal determines <i>res judicata</i> regarding the question of unconstitutionality or
	illegality.
	2. Should the Constitutional Court judge the appeal to be founded, even if only partially, the proceedings
	drop back to the court from which they came, so that this same court, depending on the case, can
	change the decision or have it changed in agreement with the judgment on the question of
	unconstitutionality or illegality.
	3. In the case of a judgment of unconstitutionality or legality on the rule applied in the decision appealed,
	or refused application, being founded on a particular interpretation of this same rule, this should be
	applied with the same interpretation in the case in question.
Romania	Article 26 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court
	1. The final decision by which the unconstitutionality of a law or of a statutory order is established shall
	constitute legal ground for a retrial of the case in a civil lawsuit, at the request of the party which has
	claimed the exception of unconstitutionality.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

²⁴⁷ Ley organica del poder judicial Artículo 14

En todos estos casos los magistrados se limitan a declarar la inaplicación de la norma legal por incompatibilidad constitucional, para el caso concreto, sin afectar su vigencia, la que es controlada en la forma y modo que la Constitución establece.

248 Artículo 35 de la ley nº26.435,

las sentencias recaídas en los procesos de inconstitucionalidad tienen autoridad de cosa juzgada, vinculan a todos los poderes públicos y producen efectos generales desde el día siguiente a la fecha de su publicación.

Cuando se invoque la amenaza o violación de actos que tienen como sustento la aplicación de una norma autoaplicativa incompatible con la Constitución, la sentencia que declare fundada la demanda dispondrá, además, la inaplicabilidad de la citada norma.

Las sentencias del Tribunal Constitucional que adquieren la autoridad de cosa juzgada constituyen precedente vinculante cuando así lo exprese la sentencia, precisando el extremo de su efecto normativo. Cuando el Tribunal Constitucional resuelva apartándose del precedente, debe expresar los fundamentos de hecho y de derecho que sustentan la sentencia y las razones por las cuales se aparta del precedente. ²⁵⁰ Article 2 Codigo procesal constitucional:

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Russian	Article 6 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court
Federation	The decisions of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation shall be obligatory throughout the territory of the Russian Federation for all representative, executive, and judicial organs of State Government, organs of local government, enterprises, agencies, organisations, officials, citizens and their associations. Art. 79 Legal Force of Decisions
	Decisions of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation shall be final, not subject to appeal and shall enter into force without delay after their announcement. Decisions of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation shall be directly effective and not require confirmation by other bodies or officials. The legal force of a decree of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation declaring an act unconstitutional may not be overcome by the repeat adoption of the same act. Acts or certain of their provisions declared unconstitutional shall lose force; international agreements of the Russian Federation which have not entered into force shall not be subject to introduction into force or application. Decisions of courts and other bodies based on acts declared unconstitutional shall not be enforced and must be reviewed where established by federal law. In the event that the declaration of a normative act as unconstitutional has created a gap in legal regulation, the Constitution of the Russian Federation shall be
San Marino	directly applied. Qualified law on the organisation of the <i>Collegio Garante</i> (p.t.)
Carrivanio	Article 13 ²⁵¹ The declaration of inadmissibility of the request by the judge <i>a quo</i> doesn't preclude to lodge again a request concerning the same question before other instances or in other proceedings. Article 14 ²⁵²
	4. The decision of acceptance and of rejection are adopted with sentences. In the case of an acceptance, the <i>Collegio Garante</i> will declare the impugned provisions illegitimate. 6. Within five days after their deposit, the decisions following requests submitted incidentally are transmitted, with the restitution of the files, to the judicial authority before which the proceeding is pending.
Serbia	Article 7 Law on the Constitutional Court
Olavadáa	Decisions of the Constitutional Court are final, enforceable and universally binding.
Slovakia	Article 127(2) Constitution If the Constitutional Court accepts a complaint, it shall hold in its decision that the rights or freedoms according to paragraph 1 were infringed by a valid decision, measure or by other action and it shall cancel such a decision, measure or other action. Article 56
	(1)Should the Constitutional Court grant the complaint, in its finding the Court shall state which fundamental right or freedom or which provision of the Constitution, Constitutional Act or international agreement have been breached and also shall specify the final judgement, proceeding or encroachment due to which the fundamental right or freedom has been violated.
	(2) Should the fundamental right or freedom be violated by means of decision or measure, the Constitutional Court shall quash such a decision or measure. The Constitutional Court shall also abate other intervention that has violated a fundamental right or freedom, should the nature of such an intervention make abatement possible.(3) If the Constitutional Court comply with the complaint, it may:
	 a)order that the party, violating the fundamental right or freedom through omission, shall try the case under special regulations,14) b)revert the case to further proceedings
	c)prohibit continued violation of fundamental right or freedom d)order to that party which has violated fundamental right or freedom to recover the state, prior to the violation of fundamental right or freedom
	(4) The Constitutional Court may however award to that party whose fundamental right or freedom has been violated an appropriate financial compensation as an indemnification of non-material damage, valued in financial terms.
	(5) Should the Constitutional Court decide on awarding of appropriate financial compensation, the

²⁵¹ 5. La dichiarazione di inammissibilità dell'istanza da parte del giudice *a quo* non impedisce la riproposizione del medesimo negli altri gradi o in procedimenti diversi. http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/ricercaleggi/vislegge.php3?action=visTestoLegge1&idlegge=6373&twid

th=580&=

http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/ricercaleggi/vislegge.php3?action=vis1estoLegge1&idlegge=63/3&twidth=580&=

252

4. Le decisioni di accoglimento e di rigetto sono adottate con sentenza. In caso di accoglimento il Collegio

Garante dichiara le disposizioni impugnate illegittime.

^{6.} Entro cinque giorni dal deposito, le decisioni rese sui ricorsi presentati in via incidentale sono trasmesse, con la restituzione degli atti, all'autorità giudiziaria avanti alla quale pende il procedimento. <a href="http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/ricercaleggi/vislegge.php3?action=visTestoLegge1&idlegge=6373&twidegge=6373

a	
State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	authority which has breached a fundamental right or freedom should be liable to pay it to the complainant within two months of the decision of the Constitutional Court entering into force.
	(6) If the final judgement, measure or other intervention is being quashed or if the case is being reverted by the Constitutional Court for further proceedings, the party who has issued the decision, decided on the
	measure or caused other intervention shall be liable to rehear the case and to decide it again. In such
	proceedings or procedure the concerned authority shall be bound by the Constitutional Court's legal opinion.
	(7) Whosoever has issued a decision in a case, decided on a measure or made other intervention, shall
	be bound by the decision under clause (3) which is enforceable on its delivery.
Slovenia	Article 1 Constitutional Court Act (3) The decisions of the Constitutional Court are binding.
	Article 59
	(1) By a decision the Constitutional Court either dismisses a constitutional complaint as unfounded or
	grants such and in whole or in part annuls or abrogates the individual act, and remands the case to the authority competent to decide thereon.
	(2) If the Constitutional Court deems that the challenged individual act is based on a potentially
	unconstitutional or unlawful regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority, it initiates
	proceedings for the review of the constitutionality or legality of such regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority and decides by applying the provisions of Chapter IV of this Act.
South Africa	Article 165(5) Constitution of the Republic of South Africa
	An order or decision issued by a court binds all persons to whom and all organs of state to which it applies.
Spain	Article 38 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. Judgments handed down in unconstitutionality proceedings shall have the force of res judicata, shall
	be binding on all public authorities and shall have consequences of a general nature from the date of their publication in the "Official State Gazette".
	Article 55
	1. A judgment granting protection shall contain one or more of the following pronouncements:c. Full restoration of the applicant's right or freedom and adoption, where appropriate, of measures
	conducive to its preservation.
	2. Where protection is granted because the law applied violates fundamental rights or public freedoms,
	the Division shall lay the question before the full Court, which may declare the law in question unconstitutional in a new judgment entailing the ordinary consequences provided for in Article 37 and
	related articles.
"The former	Article 80 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court
Yugoslav Republic of	The execution of legally binding individual acts passed on the basis of a law, regulation or other common act that is revoked by a judgment of the Court cannot be allowed, nor implemented, and if such execution
Macedonia"	has commenced, it will be cancelled.
Turkey	Article 152 Constitution The Constitutional Court shall decide on the matter and make public its judgment within five months of
	receiving the contention. If no decision is reached within this period, the trial court shall conclude the
	case under existing legal provisions. However, if the decision on the merits of the case becomes final,
Argentina	the trial court is obliged to comply with it. No precedent; decisions concern only concrete case, even by Supreme Court; however, precedent is
, a goriana	informally established in practice.
Canada	Section 52 of the Supreme Court Act.
	The Court shall have and exercise exclusive ultimate appellate civil and criminal jurisdiction within and for Canada, and the judgment of the Court is, in all cases, final and conclusive.
	Only decisions of Supreme Court have erga omnes effect; see
Movice	http://www.er.uqam.ca/nobel/r31400/jur2515/ndecours/jur2515chap7-2007.pdf
Mexico	As for judgments by ordinary courts: Art.107 Constitution
	I. Judgment will always be such that it only will be concerned with particular parties, limited to relief and
	protection in special cases for those who are making the complaint, without making a general declaration with respect to the law or act that motivates the complaint.
	Art.76 Law of amparo ²⁵³ (p.t.)
	The decisions issued in proceedings of amparo only concern the probate or official natural or juristic
	persons who lodged the claim, limiting itself to the protection of the individuals in the special case in relation to which the claim was lodged without making a general declaration on the law or act that
	relation to which the claim was lodged, without making a general declaration on the law or act that

Articulo 76.- las sentencias que se pronuncien en los juicios de amparo solo se ocuparan de los individuos particulares o de las personas morales, privadas u oficiales que lo hubiesen solicitado, limitandose a ampararlos y protegerlos, si procediere, en el caso especial sobre el que verse la demanda, sin hacer una declaracion general respecto de la ley o acto que la motivare. http://info4.juridicas.unam.mx/ijure/fed/19/80.htm?s=

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	underlie the matter.
	Article 192 ²⁵⁴
	The jurisprudence established by the Supreme Court of Justice, either sitting in plenary or in chambers, is
	obligatory for these in relation to what the plenary decrees, and also to the unitary and collegial circuit
	tribunals, the district courts, the military tribunals and courts under common authority of the States and the
	federal district, and local and federal administrative tribunals and labour tribunals.
	The resolutions shall constitute jurisprudence if what is declared in the resolutions is uphold in five
	consecutive enforceable sentences, that they are approved of by at least eight judges if it concerns the
	jurisprudence of the plenary and four judges in the case of jurisprudence of the chambers.
United States of	
America	possible if the judge shows that the circumstances of the case differ from the precedent
Uruguay	Article 259 Constitution (p.t.) ²⁵⁵
	The judgment of the Supreme Court of Justice shall refer exclusively to the concrete case and shall only
	take effect in the proceedings in which it is being passed.
	General Code of Procedure
	Article 520 (p.t.) ²⁵⁶
	Sentence. The sentence shall limit itself to the declaration of constitutionality or unconstitutionality of the
	impugned dispositions and shall only take effect in the concrete case in relation to which it is passed.
	There shall be no recourse against it.

6.1.15 Table: Confirmation of constitutionality

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Andorra	Article 44 Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court: "3. Where these laws and regulations are declared compatible with the Constitution they cannot subsequently be challenged on the ground
	that they infringe the same constitutional provisions."
Armenia	Article 32 Law on the Constitutional Court
	4) the issue raised in the appeal has been subject to a prior decision of the Constitutional Court in cases determined by Articles 76, 78-80 of this Law and any new factual circumstances (not known to the applicant before the adoption of the Constitutional Court Decision for some independent reasons or not appeared at the case hearing) regarding that issue are not presented in the application;
Belgium	Article 9 (2) Special Law on the Court
3 '	Judgments delivered by the Court of Arbitration which dismiss an action for annulment shall be binding on the courts in respect of questions of law settled by such judgments.
Czech Republic	Article 35 Constitutional Court Act: "(1) A petition instituting a proceeding is inadmissible if it relates to a matter upon which the Court has already passed judgment and in other instances provided for by this Statute. (2) A petition shall also be inadmissible in instances when the Court has already taken some action in the same matter; if one is submitted by an authorised petitioner, he has the right to take part, as a secondary party, in the proceeding concerning the earlier submitted petition."
Germany	Article 31 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court: "2. In cases pursuant to Article 13 (6), (11), (12) and (14) above decisions of the Federal Constitutional Court shall have the force of law. This shall also apply in cases pursuant to Article 13 (8a) above if the Federal Constitutional Court declares a law to be compatible or incompatible with the Basic Law or to be null and void."
Lithuania	Article 69 Law on the Constitutional Court

²⁵⁴ Articulo 192.- la jurisprudencia que establezca la suprema corte de justicia, funcionando en pleno o en salas, es obligatoria para estas en tratandose de lasque decrete el pleno, y ademas para los tribunales unitarios y colegiados de circuito, los juzgados de distritos los tribunales militares y judiciales del orden comun de los estados y del distrito federal; y tribunales administrativos y del trabajo, locales o federales.

Sattedia- De Sattedia de las disposiciones impugnadas y solamente tendrá efecto en el caso concreto en que fuere planteada. Contra ella no se admitirá recurso alguno.

http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor=

Las resoluciones constituiran jurisprudencia, siempre que lo resuelto en ellas se sustenten en cinco sentencias ejecutorias ininterrumpidas por otra en contrario, que hayan sido aprobadas por lo menos por ocho ministros si se tratara de jurisprudencia del pleno, o por cuatro ministros, en los casos de jurisprudencia de las salas.

Tambien constituyen jurisprudencia las resoluciones que diluciden las contradicciones de tesis de salas y de tribunales colegiados. http://info4.juridicas.unam.mx/ijure/fed/19/80.htm

El fallo de la Suprema Corte de Justicia se referirá exclusivamente al caso concreto y sólo tendrá efecto en los procedimientos en que se haya pronunciado. ²⁵⁶ Artículo 520

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	By a decision, the Constitutional Court shall refuse to consider petitions for the examination of the constitutionality of a legal act if: 4. the Constitutional Court has already initiated the examination of a case concerning the same issue
Luxemburg	Article 6 Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court The court shall not be required to refer the matter to the Constitutional Court if, in its view: c. the Constitutional Court has already ruled on a question submitted to it concerning the same matter.
Peru	Article 6 Constitutional Procedure Code (p.t.) ²⁵⁷ The Judges cannot refrain from applying a norm whose constitutionality has been confirmed in a proceeding on unconstitutionality or an actio popularis proceeding.
Romania	Article 23(3) Law on the Organisation and Operation of the Constitutional Court Legal provisions whose constitutionality has been established according to Article 145 paragraph 1 of the Constitution cannot form the object of an exception." "decision dismissing the objection of unconstitutionality is not effective erga omnes, but only inter partes, which allows other legal subjects as well to raise an identical objection, in anticipation that the Constitutional Court may decide to change its jurisprudence and eventually admit the objection of unconstitutionality. ²⁵⁸
Russian Federation	Article 43 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation shall take decision to dismiss the petition in the events where: 3. the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation has issued a ruling on the object of the petition, that ruling retaining its force.
Serbia	Article 53 Law on the Constitutional Court Where the Constitutional Court finds there are grounds to commence a procedure on the basis of an initiative, it shall commence the procedure by a ruling. Where the constitutionality and legality are being challenged by an initiative, except for the laws and statute of an autonomous province or local self-government unit, or individual provisions of that act regulating questions on which the Constitutional Court has already assumed a position or where during the preliminary procedure the legal situation has been determined in full and the data collected provide a reliable foundation for determination, the Constitutional Court determines the matter without issuing a ruling on commencement of procedure. Where the Constitutional Court finds there are no grounds to initiate on initiative, it will not accept the initiative
Spain	Article 38 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court 2. Where judgments entailing dismissal of applications are handed down in actions of unconstitutionality, the question may not be raised subsequently through the same channels if it is based on infringement of an identical constitutional precept. Article 50
	1. The Section, ruling unanimously through an order that shall not be substantiated, may declare the action inadmissible in the following cases: d. Where the Constitutional Court has already dismissed an action or question of unconstitutionality or an appeal for constitutional protection on the merits in a broadly identical case, in which case it shall make specific reference in the order to the judgment or judgments concerned.
Turkey	Article 152 Constitution No allegation of unconstitutionality shall be made with regard to the same legal provision until ten years elapse after the publication in the Official Gazette of the decision of the Constitutional Court dismissing the application on its merits.

6.1.16 Table: Ex nunc or ex tunc effect of the Constitutional Court's decision

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Albania	Article 132 Constitution
	(2)The decisions of the Constitutional Court enter into force on the day of their publication in the
	Official Journal, unless the Constitutional Court has decided that the law or normative act be
	invalidated on another date."
	Article 26 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court
	1. Decisions of the Constitutional Court are final. They are published in the Official Gazette and
	enter into force on the day of their publication. The Court may decide that its decision shall enter

²⁵⁷ Article 6 Codigo procesal constitucional

Los Jueces no pueden dejar de aplicar una norma cuya constitucionalidad haya sido confirmada en un proceso de

inconstitucionalidad o en un proceso de acción popular.

258 <u>CDL-JU(2004)021</u>, I. Vida, "The obligatory force of decisions of the Constitutional Court for other courts as stabilising factor", report for the Conference on the "Role of the Constitutional Court in the Maintenance of the Stability and Development of the Constitution", Moscow, 2004

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Jiaio	into force on the day of its proclamation when the decision concerns the protection of the
	constitutional rights of the person."
	Article 76
	Legal effects of the decisions of the Constitutional Court 1. The decision of the Constitutional Court annulling a law or normative act as incompatible with the
	Constitution or international agreements will as a rule take legal effect from the date of its entry into
	force.
	2. The decision may be retroactive only where:
	a. it concerns a criminal sentence which is being executed, if this is directly related to the implementation of the annulled law or normative act,
	b. it concerns a case under review by the courts, unless their decision is final,
	c. it concerns a law or normative act that has not been implemented.
Andorra	Article 8 Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. Where the constitutionality of a general legal law or regulation in its entirety, or of certain
	provisions thereof, is challenged and the Court finds that there is only one interpretation which is compatible with the Constitution and one or more other interpretations which are incompatible
	therewith, it declares that the law or regulation in question is temporarily inapplicable until the organ
	which issued it has corrected the unconstitutional elements. The new law or regulation issued
	corrects the previous law or regulation although it remains subject to the general system of
	checking for constitutionality. Article 44
	2. Any laws and regulations declared unconstitutional are null and void.
Armenia	Article 102 Constitution
	The decisions and conclusions of the Constitutional Court shall be final and shall come into force
	following the publication thereof. Article 68 Law on the Constitutional Court
	10. In case of making a decision on determining the challenged act fully or partially invalid and
	unconstitutional the act is annulled after the Constitutional Court decision enters into force, except
	for the cases described in Parts 12 and 13 of this Article. 12. The Constitutional Court can decide to
	validate the influence of the decisions mentioned in Point 2 of Part 8 of this Article on the relations
	that started before those decisions got into force if the absence of such decision of the Court can cause irretrievable consequences for the state or the public
	The administrative and judicial acts that were adopted and implemented on the basis of the general
	acts that were annulled and found unconstitutional (together with those acts that were providing the
	implementation of the former) by the decision defined in the Paragraph 1 of this Article within three
	years before the Constitutional Court decision got into force shall be revisited by the administrative and judicial bodies that adopted those in the procedure stipulated by Law.
Austria	Article 140 Constitution:
	(5) The judgment by the Constitutional Court which rescinds a law as unconstitutional imposes on
	the Federal Chancellor or the competent Governor the obligation to publish the rescission without
	delay. This applies analogously in the case of a pronouncement pursuant to para. 4 above. The rescission enters into force on the day of publication if the Court does not set a deadline for the
	rescission. This deadline may not exceed eighteen months.
	(6) If a law is rescinded as unconstitutional by a judgment of the Constitutional Court, the legal
	provisions rescinded by the law which the Court has pronounced unconstitutional become effective
	again unless the judgment pronounces otherwise, on the day of entry into force of the rescission. The publication on the rescission of the law shall also announce whether and which legal
	provisions again enter into force.
	(7) If a law has been rescinded on the score of unconstitutionality or if the Constitutional Court has
	pursuant to para. 4 above pronounced a law to be unconstitutional, all courts and administrative
	authorities are bound by the Court's decision. The law shall however continue to apply to the
	circumstances effected before the rescission the case in point excepted, unless the Court in its rescissory judgment decides otherwise. If the Court has in its rescissory judgment set a deadline
	pursuant to para. 5 above, the law shall apply to all the circumstances effected, the case in point
-	excepted till the expiry of this deadline.
Azerbaijan	Article 130 X Constitution: "Laws and other acts, individual provisions of these documents, interconcernmental expressions of the Azerbaijan Republic except to be valid in term excepted in the
	intergovernmental agreements of the Azerbaijan Republic cease to be valid in term specified in the decision of Constitutional Court of the Azerbaijan Republic."
	Article 67 law on the Constitutional Court.
	67.0 Resolutions of Constitutional Court shall enter into legal force at the following periods of time:
	67.1 Resolution adopted on the matters specified by Articles 130.3.1-7, 130.5 and 130.7 of the
	Constitution of Azerbaijan Republic shall enter into force from the date specified in the resolution
Belgium	itself Article 8 Special Law on the Court
_ 5.9.0.11	If the application is well-founded, the Court of Arbitration shall annul, in full or in part, the statute,
	decree or rule referred to in Article 134 of the Constitution against which the action has been

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Jidio	brought.
	If it deems necessary, the Court shall, by means of a general provision, stipulate those effects of the annulled provision which are to be regarded as definitive or maintained provisionally, for a period of time which it shall determine.
Bosnia and	Article 63 Rules of the Constitutional Court
Herzegovina	1. The Constitutional Court shall, in the decision granting a request, decide on its legal effect (ex
	tunc, ex nunc).
	 3. The quashed general act or its quashed provisions shall cease to be in force on the first day following the date of publication of the decision in the Official Gazette of Bosnia and Herzegovina. 4. Exceptionally, the Constitutional Court may by its decision establishing the incompatibility under Article VI.3 (a) and VI. 3 (c) of the Constitution, grant a time-limit for harmonisation, which shall not exceed six months.
	5. If the established incompatibility is not removed within the time-limit referred to in paragraph 4 of this Article, the Constitutional Court shall, by a further decision, declare that the incompatible
	provisions cease to be in force. 6. The incompatible provisions shall cease to be in force on the first day following the date of publication of the decision referred to in paragraph 4 of this article in the Official Gazette of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
Chile	Article 94 Constitution (p.t.) ²⁵⁹
	There shall be no recourse against the resolutions of the Constitutional Tribunal, without prejudicing the Tribunal's possibility to rectify, in conformity with the law, the factual errors it has incurred.
	When dealing with a draft law or draft decree, the dispositions that the Tribunal declares unconstitutional cannot become a law.
	In the case of Article 93 no. 16, the impugned supreme decree will stay without effect in the sentence of the Tribunal which admits the claim. However, the precept that is declared unconstitutional in conformity with Article 93 no. 2, 4 or 7, will be derogated from the publication of the sentence in the in the Official Diary, without producing retroactive effect.
Croatia	Article 130 Constitution
Jiodia	The Constitutional Court of Croatia shall repeal a law if it finds to be unconstitutional. The Constitutional Court of Croatia shall repeal or annul any other regulation if it finds it to be unconstitutional or illegal.
	Article 55 Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court (1) The Constitutional Court shall repeal a law, or some of its provisions, if it finds that it is not in accordance with the Constitution; or another regulation, or some of its provisions, if it finds that it is not in accordance with the Constitution and the law.
	(2) The repealed law or other regulation, or their repealed separate provisions, shall lose legal force on the day of publication of the Constitutional Court decision in the Official Gazette <i>Narodne novine</i> , unless the Constitutional Court sets another term.
	(3) The Constitutional Court may annul a regulation, or its separate provisions, taking into account all the circumstances important for the protection of constitutionality and legality, and especially bearing in mind how seriously it violates the Constitution or the law, and the interest of legal security:
	- if it violates the human rights and fundamental freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution, - if, without grounds, it places some individuals, groups or associations in a more or a less privileged position.
Czech Republic	Article 89(1) Constitution Decisions of the Constitutional Court are enforceable as soon as they are announced in the manner provided for by statute, unless the Constitutional Court decides otherwise concerning enforcement. Constitutional Court Act Article 58 Constitutional Court Act
	 (1) Judgments under Article 57 para. 1, lit. a) are enforceable on the day they are published in the Collection of Laws, unless the Court decides otherwise. (3) Other judgments are enforceable upon the personal delivery of a copy of the final written version of it to each party.
	Article 70

_

²⁵⁹ Artículo 94.Contra las resoluciones del Tribunal Constitucional no procederá recurso alguno, sin perjuicio de que puede, el mismo Tribunal, conforme a la ley, rectificar los errores de hecho en que hubiere incurrido. Las disposiciones que el Tribunal declare inconstitucionales no podrán convertirse en ley en el proyecto o decreto con fuerza de ley de que se trate. En el caso del no. 16º del artículo 93, el decreto supremo impugnado quedará sin efecto de pleno derecho, con el solo mérito de la sentencia del Tribunal que acoja el reclamo. No obstante, el precepto declarado inconstitucional en conformidad a lo dispuesto en los numerales 2, 4 ó 7 del artículo 93, se entenderá derogado desde la publicación en el Diario Oficial de la sentencia que acoja el reclamo, la que no producirá efecto retroactivo. http://www.gobiernodechile.cl/viewEstado.aspx?idArticulo=24065

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision (1) If, after holding a proceeding, the Court comes to the conclusion that a statute, or individual provisions thereof, conflict with a constitutional act, or that some other enactment, or individual provisions thereof, conflict with a constitutional act or a statute, it shall declare in its judgment that such statute or other type of enactment, or individual provisions thereof, shall be annulled on the day specified in the judgment. Article 71
	 (1) If, on the basis of a statute or some other enactment which the Court has annulled, a court in a criminal proceeding has passed a judgment which has acquired legal effect but has not yet been enforced, the invalidation of this statute or other enactment shall constitute grounds for reopening the proceeding in accordance with the provisions of the law on criminal judicial proceedings. (2) Other legally effective decisions issued on the basis of a statute, or some other enactment, which has been annulled remain unaffected; however, rights and duties arising from such decisions
Estonia	may not be enforced Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act
LStorila	§15 (preliminary ruling procedure)
	(1)Upon adjudicating a matter the Supreme Court may:
	2) declare legislation of general application or a provision thereof, which has entered into force,
	invalid; 3) declare an international agreement, which has entered into force or has not entered into force or
	a provision thereof, unconstitutional;
	§. 24. (normative constitutional complaint)
	(1) Upon adjudicating a matter the Supreme Court may:
	1) repeal a resolution of the Riigikogu or the Board of the Riigikogu or a decision of the President of the Republic or a part thereof;
Georgia	Article 89(2) Constitution: "The judgment of the Constitutional Court shall be final. A normative act
	or a part thereof recognised as unconstitutional shall cease to have legal effect from the moment of
	the promulgation of the respective judgment of the Constitutional Court." Article 23 Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. If a petition or application concerning the issues envisaged in Article 19 points a and e and
	Article 20 of the present Law is allowed this shall cause the normative act or part of it to be
	abrogated as unconstitutional from the moment the corresponding judgment of the Constitutional
Germany	Court is published. Article 31 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court
Germany	2. In cases pursuant to Article 13 (6), (11), (12) and (14) above decisions of the Federal
	Constitutional Court shall have the force of law. This shall also apply in cases pursuant to Article 13 (8a) above if the Federal Constitutional Court declares a law to be compatible or incompatible with the Basic Law or to be null and void. If a law is declared to be compatible or incompatible with the Basic Law or other federal law or to be null and void, the decision shall be published in the Federal Law Gazette by the Federal Ministry of Justice. The above shall apply mutatis mutandis to decisions in cases pursuant to Article 13 (12) and (14) above.
	Article 95 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court 3. If a constitutional complaint against a law is upheld, the law shall be declared null and void. The
	same shall apply if a constitutional complaint pursuant to paragraph 2 above is upheld because the quashed decision is based on an unconstitutional law.
Greece	Article 100 (4) Constitution [] Provisions of a statute declared unconstitutional shall be invalid as of the date of publication of the respective judgment, or as of the date specified by the ruling. Article 51 Law on the Special Highest Court
	1. A decision by the Special Court resolving a dispute concerning assessment of the constitutionality of a law or its interpretation shall have force <i>erga omnes</i> as from its delivery in open court, subject to paragraph 4 of this article.
	4. The Special Court may decide, by reasoned decision with effect erga omnes, that the provisions held unconstitutional are invalid even in respect of the period up to the publication of the decision.
	5. Where a decision retroactively declaring a law unconstitutional is taken in accordance with paragraph 4 above, an application for review may be made in respect of any irrevocable judicial
	decision taken during that period and founded on provisions held unconstitutional. Such application may be made by any party within six months as from the publication of the Special Court's decision. For the remainder, the ordinary procedure before the court in question shall be upheld,
	and it shall disregard the provision declared unconstitutional.
	6. The revocation of administrative acts which are founded on statutory provisions held unconstitutional and which have been performed during the period of retroactivity of the Special Court's decision shall be mandatory within six months following publication of the decision.
Hungary	Article 42 Act on the Constitutional Court 1. In the case provided in Article 40, the legal rule or its provisions and the other legal means of
	State control or its provision shall be considered as repealed, on the day of the publication of the decision.

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	Article 43
	1. Any legal rule or other legal means of State control which has been annulled by the decision of the Constitutional Court shall not be applied from the day of the publication of the relevant decision in the Official Gazette.
	2. The annulment of a legal rule or other legal means of State control shall – except for the case provided in section 3 – affect neither the legal relationships which have developed prior to the publication of the decision nor the rights and duties which derived from them.
	3. The Constitutional Court shall order the revision of any criminal proceedings concluded by a final decision (without appeal) on the basis of an unconstitutional legal rule or other legal means of State control, if the convict has not yet been relieved of the detrimental consequences, and the nullity of
	the provision applied in the proceedings would result in the reduction or the putting aside of the punishment or measure, or in the release from, or the limitation of responsibility. 4. The Constitutional Court may determine the date of the abrogation of the unconstitutional legal rule or its applicability in the given case differently from the provision of Article 42, section 1 and Article 43, sections 1 et 2, if justified by a particularly important interest of legal security or of the
	person who initiated the procedure.
Italy	Article 136 Constitution When the Court declares the constitutional illegitimacy of a law or enactment having the force of law, the law ceases to have effect from the day following the publication of the decision. Article 30, cl. 3 of the Law on the composition and procedures of the Constitutional Court (Law no. 87/1953):
	Laws declared unconstitutional cannot find application starting from the day following publication of the decision
Korea, Republic	Constitutional Court Act Article 47
Republic	(2) Any statute or provision thereof decided as unconstitutional shall lose its effect from the day on which the decision is made: Provided, That the statutes or provisions thereof relating to criminal penalties shall lose their effect retroactively.
	(3) In case referred to in the proviso of paragraph (2), the retrial may be allowed with respect to a conviction based on the statutes or provisions thereof decided as unconstitutional.
Kyrgyzstan	Article 85 Constitution
	4. The decision of the Constitutional Court shall be final and not subject to appeal. The finding by the Constitutional Court that laws or their provisions are unconstitutional shall annul their application on the territory and also cancel the effect of other legal and regulatory acts based on the laws or provisions deemed unconstitutional, with the exception of judicial acts. Judicial acts based on norms of laws deemed unconstitutional shall be reviewed by the court in every specific case upon complaints of citizens whose rights and freedoms were affected.
	Article 14 Constitutional Court Law A decision of the Constitutional Court stating unconstitutionality of a law or of another normative act in full or in part shall repeal their effect in the territory of the Kyrgyz Republic, as well as the effect of other normative acts based on the act recognised as unconstitutional. Decisions rendered by a court or by another legal practice body based on a normative act
Lotvio	recognised as unconstitutional shall not be subject to execution. Article 32 Law on the Constitutional Court
Latvia	3. Any legal norm (act) which the Constitutional Court has determined as incompatible with the legal norm of higher force shall be considered invalid as of the date of publishing the judgment of the Constitutional Court, unless the Constitutional Court has ruled otherwise.
Liechtenstein	Article 19 Constitutional Court Act 3) The judgment on annulment and determination of unconstitutionality shall be published by the Government in the Liechtenstein Legal Gazette without delay. The annulment shall take effect with this publication, unless the Constitutional Court specifies a deadline of at most one year for this purpose; this shall not apply to the case being adjudicated.
Lithuania	Article 72 Law on the Constitutional Court Laws of the Republic of Lithuania (of a part thereof) or other Seimas acts (or a part thereof), acts of the President of the Republic, or acts of the Government (or a part thereof) shall not be applicable from the day that the Constitutional Court ruling that the appropriate act (or a part thereof) contradicts the Constitution of the Republic of Lithuania is publicised.
	Article 72 Law on the Constitutional Court All governmental institutions as well as their officials must revoke executive acts or provisions thereof which they have adopted and which are based on an act which has been recognised as unconstitutional.
	Decisions based on legal acts which have been recognised as being contradictory to the Constitution or laws must not be executed if they have not been executed prior to the appropriate Constitutional Court ruling became effective. The power of the Constitutional Court to recognise a legal act or part thereof as unconstitutional may not be overruled by a repeated adoption of a like legal act or part thereof.

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Mexico	Art.107 Constitution
	Concerning rulings by the Supreme Court The declaration of invalidity of the resolutions to which sections I and II refer will not have retroactive effects, except in penal matters, in which the general principles and legal dispositions that are applicable in these matters will rule.
Moldova	Article 140 Constitution (1) Laws and other regulations or parts thereof become null and void from the moment that the Constitutional Court passes the appropriate decisions to that effect.
Montenegro	Art. 152 Constitution When the Constitutional Court establishes that the law is not in conformity with the Constitution and confirmed and published international agreements, that is, that other regulation is not in conformity with the Constitution and the law, that law and other regulation shall cease to be valid on the date of publication of the decision of the Constitutional Court. The law or other regulation, i.e. their individual provisions that were found inconsistent with the Constitution or the law by the decision of the Constitutional Court, shall not be applied to the relations that have occurred prior to the publication of the Constitutional Court decision, if they have not been solved by an absolute ruling by that date.
Peru	Article 204 Constitution (p.t.) ²⁶⁰ The sentence of the Tribunal that declares the unconstitutionality of a norm shall be published in the Official Diary. The day following publication, the norm shall lose effect. The sentence of the Tribunal declaring total or partial unconstitutionality shall not have retroactive effect. Article 35 of Law N°26.435 The sentences passed in unconstitutionality proceedings shall have authority of <i>res judicata</i> , shall bind all public powers and shall produce general effects from the day following their publication.
Poland	Article 190(3) Constitution A judgment of the Constitutional Tribunal shall take effect from the day of its publication, however, the Constitutional Tribunal may specify another date for the end of the binding force of a normative act. Such time period may not exceed 18 months in relation to a statute or 12 months in relation to any other normative act. Where a judgment has financial consequences not provided for in the Budget, the Constitutional Tribunal shall specify date for the end of the binding force of the normative act concerned, after seeking the opinion of the Council of Ministers. 4. A judgment of the Constitutional Tribunal on the nonconformity to the Constitution, an international agreement or statute, of a normative act on the basis of which a legally effective judgment of a court, a final administrative decision or settlement of other matters was issued, shall be a basis for re-opening proceedings, or for quashing the decision or other settlement in a manner and on principles specified in provisions applicable to the given proceedings. Article 71(2) Constitutional Tribunal Act Where the Tribunal decides that the normative act ceases to have effect after the day of the publication of the judicial decision confirming its non-conformity to the Constitution, ratified
	international agreement or statutes, it shall, in the judicial decision, determine the date the act shall cease to have effect.
Portugal	Article 282 Constitution 1. A generally binding ruling of unconstitutionality or illegality shall be given effect from the date when the provision ruled unconstitutional or illegal came into force and shall require that any provisions that may have been revoked shall be reinstated, with retroactive effect. 2. However, where unconstitutionality or illegality derives from contravention of a constitutional or legal provision that has been subsequently made, the ruling shall be given effect only from the date when that provision came into force. 3. Cases already decided shall hold good, except if the Constitutional Court rules otherwise in respect of a legal rule relating to penal or disciplinary matters or an illegal act under a regulatory ordinance or a provision that is disadvantageous to the accused.
	4. When required in the interests of legal certainty, or for reasons of equity or public interest of exceptional importance, which shall be justified if requested, the Constitutional Court may prescribe effects of unconstitutionality or illegality that are more restrictive than those specified in paragraphs 1 and 2.
Romania	Article 147 Constitution (1) The provisions of the laws and ordinances in force, as well as those of the standing orders, which are found to be unconstitutional, shall cease their legal effects within forty-five days of the publication of the decision of the Constitutional Court if, in the meantime, the Parliament or the Government, as the case may be, cannot bring into line the unconstitutional provisions with the

Artículo 204°. La sentencia del Tribunal que decla ra la inconstitucionalidad de una norma se publica en el diario oficial. Al día siguiente de la publicación, dicha norma queda sin efecto.

No tiene efecto retroactivo la sentencia del Tribunal que declara inconstitucional, en todo o en parte, una norma legal.

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	provisions of the Constitution. For this limited length of time the provisions found to be unconstitutional shall be suspended de jure.
	(4) Decisions of the Constitutional Court shall be published in the Official Gazette of Romania. As
	from their publication, decisions shall be generally binding and effective only for the future.
	Article 26 Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court
	1. The final decision by which the unconstitutionality of a law or of a statutory order is established
	shall constitute legal ground for a retrial of the case in a civil lawsuit, at the request of the party
	which has claimed the exception of unconstitutionality.
	2. In criminal trials, the decision provided under paragraph 1 above shall constitute legal ground for
	the retrial of the cases in which the sentence was pronounced on the basis of the legal provision
Russian	which was declared unconstitutional. Article 75 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation
Federation	The decision of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, stated in an individual
rederation	document, shall, depending on the nature of the question under consideration, contain the following
	data:
	11. statement on the final and binding nature of the decision;
	12. procedure for the entry into force of the decision, as well as the procedure, dates and specifics
	of its execution and promulgation.
	Article 79
	The decision of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation shall be final, may not be
O	appealed and shall come into force immediately upon announcement.
Serbia	Article 168 Constitution
	The Law or other general acts which is not in compliance with the Constitution or the Law shall cease to be effective on the day of publication of the Constitutional Court decision in the official
	journal.
	Article 58 Law on the Constitutional Court
	When the Constitutional Court establishes that a law, statute of an autonomous province or local
	self-government unit, other general act or collective contract do not comply with the Constitution,
	generally accepted rules of international law and ratified international agreement, such law, statute
	of autonomous province or local self-government unit, other general act or collective contract shall
	cease to be valid on the day the Constitutional Court decision is published in the "Official Gazette of
	the Republic of Serbia". Article 59
	When the Constitutional Court determines the manner of rectifying the consequences which arose
	due to the implementation of a general act which is not in compliance with the Constitution or law,
	the decision of the Constitutional Court has legal effect from the date of its publication in the Official
	Gazette of the Republic of Serbia.
	Article 60
	Laws and other acts for which it has been established by a Constitutional Court decision that they
	do not comply with the Constitution, generally accepted rules of international law, ratified
	international agreements or law, cannot apply to relations that arose before the day of publication
	of the Constitutional Court decisions, if they were not finally resolved by that date. General act passed for the purpose of enforcement of laws and other general acts for which it is established, by
	a Constitutional Court decision, that they are not in compliance with the Constitution, generally
	accepted rules of international law, ratified international agreements or law, shall not apply from the
	day of publication of the Constitutional Court decision, if the decision implies that these general acts
	are incompatible with the Constitution, generally accepted rules of international law, ratified
	international agreements or law. Enforcement of finally binding individual acts passed on the basis
	of regulations that can no longer apply, cannot be allowed or implemented, and if the enforcement
	is initiated, it shall be discontinued.
	Article 61
	Everyone whose right has been violated by a final or legally-binding individual act adopted on the basis of a law or other general act determined by a decision of the Constitutional Court not to be in
	compliance with the Constitution, generally accepted rules of international law, ratified international
	agreements or law is entitled to demand from the competent authority a revision of that individual
	act Proposals for revision of a final or legally-binding individual act adopted on the basis of a law or
	other general act determined by a decision of the Constitutional Court not to be in compliance with
	the Constitution, generally accepted rules of international law, ratified international agreements or
	law may be submitted within six months from the date of the publication of the decision in the
	Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, unless more than two years have passed between the
Clovalda	delivery of the individual act and the submittal of the proposal or initiative for initiating a procedure.
Slovakia	Article 125 Constitution of Slovak Republic (3) If the Constitutional Court holds by its decision that there is inconformity between legal
	regulations stated in paragraph 1, the respective regulations, their parts or some of their provisions
	shall lose effect. The bodies that issued these legal regulations shall be obliged to harmonize them
	with the Constitution, with constitutional laws and with international treaties promulgated in the
	, and the second

State Relevant constitutional or legal provision manner laid down by a law, and if it regards regulations stated in paragraph 1 letters b) and c) also with other laws, if it regards regulations stated in paragraph 1 letter d) also with government regulations and with generally binding legal regulations of Ministries and other central state administration bodies within six month from the promulgation of the decision of the Constitutional Court. If they fail to do so, these regulations, their parts or their provisions shall lose effect after six months from the promulgation of the decision... (6) A decision of the Constitutional Court issued pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 5 shall be promulgated in the manner laid down for the promulgation of laws. The valid judgement of the Constitutional Court shall be generally binding Article 41b Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court (1) If a court has issued a judgement in criminal proceedings on the basis of a legal regulation which later lost its force under Article 125 of the Constitution, and though that judgement has entered into force, but has not been executed, loosing of the effectivity of such a legal regulation or a part thereof or some of its provisions becomes a reason for a re-trial according to the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedures. (2) Other valid decisions, issued in civil or administrative proceedings on the basis of a legal regulation that has gone out of force totally, partially or in some of its provisions remain unaffected; obligations, imposed by such decisions cannot be subject to enforcement. Slovenia Article 43 Constitutional Court Act The Constitutional Court may in whole or in part abrogate a law which is not in conformity with the Constitution. Such abrogation takes effect the day following the publication of the decision on the abrogation, or upon the expiry of a period of time determined by the Constitutional Court. Article 44 The abrogation of a law or a part thereof by the Constitutional Court applies to relations that had been established before the day such abrogation took effect, if by that day such relations had not been finally decided. Article 45 (1) The Constitutional Court annuls or abrogates regulations or general acts issued for the exercise of public authority that are unconstitutional or unlawful. (2) The Constitutional Court annuls regulations or general acts issued for the exercise of public authority that are unconstitutional or unlawful when it determines that it is necessary to remedy harmful consequences arising from such unconstitutionality or unlawfulness. Annulment has retroactive effect. (3) In other instances, the Constitutional Court abrogates regulations or general acts issued for the exercise of public authority that are unconstitutional or unlawful. Abrogation takes effect the day following the publication of the Constitutional Court decision on the abrogation, or upon the expiry of a period of time determined by the Constitutional Court. In instances of abrogation, Article 44 of this Act is applied mutatis mutandis. Article 59 (1) By a decision the Constitutional Court either dismisses a constitutional complaint as unfounded or grants such and in whole or in part annuls or abrogates the individual act, and remands the case to the authority competent to decide thereon. (2) If the Constitutional Court deems that the challenged individual act is based on a potentially unconstitutional or unlawful regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority, it initiates proceedings for the review of the constitutionality or legality of such regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority and decides by applying the provisions of Chapter IV South Africa Article 172(1)(b) Constitution of the Republic of South Africa When deciding a constitutional matter within its power, a court must declare that any law or conduct that is inconsistent with the Constitution is invalid to the extent of its inconsistency and may make any order that is just and equitable, including an order limiting the retrospective effect of the declaration of invalidity. Article 161 Constitution Spain The Constitutional Court has jurisdiction over the whole of Spanish territory and is competent to hear: a) appeals against the alleged unconstitutionality of laws and regulations having the force of law. A declaration of unconstitutionality of a legal provision with the force of law, interpreted by jurisprudence, shall also affect the latter, although the sentence or sentences handed down shall not lose their status of res judicata. Article 40 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court 1. Judgements that declare the unconstitutionality of laws, regulations or enactments having the force of law shall not provide grounds for review of proceedings concluded by means of a judgement having force of res judicata in which unconstitutional laws, regulations or enactments were applied, save in the case of criminal proceedings or administrative litigation concerning a sanction procedure where the invalidity of the rule applied would entail a reduction of the penalty or

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
	sanction or exclusion, exemption or limitation of liability.
"The former	Article 56 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court
Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	In its judgment regarding the application for protection of freedoms and rights, the Constitutional Court shall determine whether there is an infringement and in consequence, it will annul the individual act, prohibit the action causing the infringement or dismiss the application. Article 79
	The judgment of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Macedonia revoking or repealing a law, regulation or other common act produces legal effects from the day of its publication in the Official Gazette of the Republic of Macedonia. Article 80
	The execution of legally binding individual acts passed on the basis of a law, regulation or other common act that is revoked by a judgment of the Court cannot be allowed, nor implemented, and if such execution has commenced, it will be cancelled. Article 81
	Anyone whose rights have been infringed by a final or legally binding individual act adopted on the basis of a law, regulation or other common act which has been revoked by a judgment of the Constitutional Court has the right to request the competent organ to revoke that individual act, within 6 months from the date of publication of the judgment of the Court in the Official Gazette of the Republic of Macedonia.
Uruguay	General Code of Procedure (p.t.) ²⁶¹ Article 521
	The declaration of unconstitutionality leaves the legal norm affected by the declaration inapplicable in the proceedings in which the unconstitutionality has been pronounced. If it has been demanded through an action or in main proceedings, the sentence shall be effective to hinder the application of the norms declared unconstitutional against the person who had promoted the declaration and obtained the sentence. This person may invoke the decision in any judicial proceeding including the proceeding for annulment before the Tribunal of administrative disputes.

6.1.17 Table: Capacity of constitutional courts to attribute damages

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provisions
Chile	Autonomous rule of the Supreme Court of 24 June 1992 (p.t.) ²⁶²
	11. The Court of Appeals as well as the Supreme Court may, if they deem it appropriate, impose a
	condemnation for damages.
Croatia	Article 63 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court
	(3) In the decision in paragraph 2 of this Article, the Constitutional Court shall determine appropriate
	compensation for the applicant for the violation of his/her constitutional right committed by the court
	of justice by not deciding within a reasonable time about his/her rights and obligations, or about the
	suspicions or accusations of a criminal offence. The compensation shall be paid from the state
	budget within a term of three months from the date when the applicant lodged a request for its
	payment.
Latvia	Law on the Constitutional Court
	Article 26 – The procedure for reviewing cases
	1. The procedure for reviewing cases is provided for by this Law and the Rules of Procedure of the
	Constitutional Court. Envisaging of procedural terms and procedural sanctions- fines- shall be carried
	out in accordance with the rules of the Civil Procedure.
Monaco	Ordonnance no. 2.984 du 16/04/1963 sur l'organisation et le fonctionnement du Tribunal Suprême
	Article 35 Lorsque le recours en annulation prévu au paragraphe B, chiffre 1, de l'article 90 de la
	Constitution comporte une demande en indemnité, le Tribunal Suprême, s'il prononce l'annulation
	statue, dans la même décision sur le sort de ladite demande, sous réserve de la possibilité

²⁶¹Artículo 521 Efectos del fallo.- La declaración de inconstitucionalidad hace inaplicable la norma legal afectada por ella, en los procedimientos en que se haya pronunciado.

Si hubiere sido solicitada por vía de acción o principal, la sentencia tendrá eficacia para impedir la aplicación de las normas declaradas inconstitucionales contra quien hubiere promovido la declaración y obtenido la sentencia, pudiendo hacerla valer como excepción en cualquier procedimiento jurisdiccional, inclusive el anulatorio ante el Tribunal de lo Contencioso Administrativo

http://200.40.229.134/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor=

²⁶² Auto acordado de la Corte Suprema, de 24 de junio de 1992, sobre tramitacion del recurso de proteccion de garantias constitucionales

^{11.} Tanto la Corte de Apelaciones como la Corte Suprema, cuando lo estimen procedente, podrán imponer la condenación en costas.

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provisions
<u> </u>	d'ordonner toutes les mesures d'instruction utiles prévues à l'article 32.
Poland	Article 77 Constitution 1. Everyone shall have the right to compensation for any harm done to him by any action of an organ of public authority contrary to law.
Romania	Article 52 Constitution – Right of a Person Aggrieved by a Public Authority (1) Any person aggrieved in his legitimate rights or interests by a public authority, by means of an administrative act or by the failure of a public authority to solve his application within the legal deadline, is entitled to the acknowledgement of his claimed right or legitimate interest, the annulment of the act and reparation for the damage suffered. (2) The conditions and limitations on the exercise of this right shall be regulated by an organic law. (3) The State shall bear liability in tort for any damage caused by miscarriages of justice. Liability of the State shall be determined according to the law and shall not eliminate liability of the magistrates having exercised their office in ill faith or gross negligence.
Slovakia	Article 127(3) Constitution The Constitutional Court may, by the decision by which it allows a complaint, award the one whose rights according to paragraph were infringed an adequate financial satisfaction.
Slovenia	Article 46 Constitutional Court Act (1) Any person who suffers harmful consequences due to a regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority which has been annulled, is entitled to request that such consequences be remedied. If such consequences occurred as a result of an individual act adopted on the basis of the annulled regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority, entitled persons have the right to request that the authority which decided in the first instance change or annul such individual act. (2) Entitled persons may request a change or annulment of the individual act referred to in the preceding paragraph within three months of the day of the publication of the Constitutional Court decision, provided no more than one year elapsed from the service of the individual act to the lodging of the petition or request. (3) If the consequences occurred directly on the basis of a regulation or other general act issued for the exercise of public authority which was annulled by the Constitutional Court, the authority which issued such regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority is required to remedy such consequences. The entitled person lodges a request within the periods of time referred to in the
	preceding paragraph of this article. (4) If such consequences cannot be remedied in accordance with the preceding paragraphs, the entitled person may claim compensation in a court of law.
South Africa	Article 172(1) Constitution of the Republic of South Africa When deciding a constitutional matter within its power, a court may make any order that is just and equitable Article 38 Constitution of the Republic of South Africa Anyone has the right to approach a competent court, alleging that a right in the Bill of Rights has been infringed or threatened, and the court may grant appropriate relief
Spain	Article 58 Organic Law on the Constitutional Court 1. Jurisdiction to rule on claims for damages consequent on the granting or refusal of a stay shall lie with the judges or courts, with which the sureties shall be deposited. 2. Claims for damages settled arising as a result of interlocutory matters shall be submitted within a year following the date of publication of the judgment of the Constitutional Court.
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	Article 81 Rules of Procedure of the Constitutional Court If the consequences of applying the law, regulation or the common act revoked by a judgment of the Constitutional Court cannot be eliminated by changing the individual act with respect to paragraph 1 of this article, the Court may determine the consequences to be eliminated by a return to the previous conditions, through compensation for damage or other means.
United States	U.S. Supreme Court Rule 42. Interest and Damages 1. If a judgment for money in a civil case is affirmed, any interest allowed by law is payable from the date the judgment under review was entered. If a judgment is modified or reversed with a direction that a judgment for money be entered below, the mandate will contain instructions with respect to the allowance of interest. Interest in cases arising in a state court is allowed at the same rate that similar judgments bear interest in the courts of the State in which judgment is directed to be entered. Interest in cases arising in a court of the United States is allowed at the interest rate authorised by law. 2. When a petition for a writ of certiorari, an appeal, or an application for other relief is frivolous, the Court may award the respondent or appellee just damages, and single or double costs under Rule 43. Damages or costs may be awarded against the petitioner, appellant, or applicant, against the party's counsel, or against both party and counsel.

6.1.18 Table: Authorisation to put a preliminary request

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Armenia	Article 71 of the Law On the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Armenia 1. In cases determined by this Article the Courts and the Chief Prosecutor appeal to the Constitutional Court if they find that the legal acts of general nature (or its provision(s)), which are under the jurisdiction of the Constitutional Court according to Paragraph 1 of Article 100 of the Constitution and which shall be implemented for the case under their review, contradict the Constitution.
	 Before applying to the Constitutional Court the courts must and the Chief Prosecutor has the right to suspend the given case until the decision of the Constitutional Court gets into force. The Courts may apply to the Constitutional Court after taking the case under its review before making a decision on the substance of the given case and the Chief Prosecutor can apply after taking the case under its review before sending it to the relevant Court by the procedure prescribed by Law.
	4. In case of suspension of the case review the Courts and the Chief Prosecutor can submit the appeals for the cases determined by this Article within three days after such suspension. The appeal to the Constitutional Court is formulated in a relevant decision of the Court or the Chief Prosecutor.
	5. In the applications prescribed by Paragraph 1 of this Article the Court and the Chief Prosecutor shall justify their statements on the unconstitutionality of the provisions of the challenged general act as well as the fact that solution of the given case may be possible only by the implementation of the challenged provision.
Austria	Article 89 Constitution (2) Should a court have scruples against the application of an ordinance on the ground of it being contrary to law, it shall file an application with the Constitutional Court for rescission of this ordinance. Should the Supreme Court or a court of second instance competent to give judgment have scruples against the application of a law on the ground of its being unconstitutional, it shall file an application with the Constitutional Court for rescission of this law. Article 139
	(1) The Constitutional Court pronounces on application by a court or an independent administrative tribunal whether ordinances issued by a Federal or Land authority are contrary to law, but ex officio in so far as the Court would have to apply such an ordinance in a pending suit. Article 140
	(1) The Constitutional Court pronounces on application by the Administrative Court, the Supreme Court, a competent appellate court or an independent administrative tribunal whether a Federal or Land law is unconstitutional, but ex officio in so far as the Court would have to apply such a law in a pending suit.
Belgium	Article 26 Special Law on the Court 2. Where such a question is raised before a court, it shall refer the matter to the Court of Arbitration for a ruling. However, a court shall not be required to do so:
	 where it cannot hear the case on grounds of lack of jurisdiction or inadmissibility, except where those grounds are derived from provisions which are themselves the subject of the request for a preliminary ruling; where the Court of Arbitration has already ruled on a question or an application having the
Bulgaria	same subject matter. Art. 150 (2) Constitution
Cyprus	1964, Attorney General of the Republic vs. Mustafa Ibrahim et al: Only courts having jurisdiction in family issues can refer preliminary questions.
Germany	Article 100 of the Basic Law - Compatibility of legislation and constitutional law (1) Where a court considers that a law on whose validity its ruling depends is unconstitutional it shall stay the proceedings and, if it holds the constitution of a Land to be violated, seek a ruling from the Land court with jurisdiction for constitutional disputes or, where it holds this Basic Law to be violated, from the Federal Constitutional Court. This shall also apply where this Basic Law is held to be violated by Land law or where a Land law is held to be incompatible with a federal law.
Greece	Article 100 Constitution 5. When a chamber or department of the Supreme Administrative Court or of the Supreme Civil and Criminal Court or of the Court of Auditors judges a provision of a statute enacted by Parliament to be contrary to the Constitution, it shall compulsorily refer the question to the respective plenum, unless this has been judged by a previous decision of the plenum or of the Special Highest Court of the present article. The plenum shall be assembled into judicial formation and shall decide definitively, as specified by law. This regulation shall apply analogously also in the elaboration of regulatory decrees by the Supreme Administrative

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Armenia	Article 71 of the Law On the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Armenia 1. In cases determined by this Article the Courts and the Chief Prosecutor appeal to the Constitutional Court if they find that the legal acts of general nature (or its provision(s)), which
	are under the jurisdiction of the Constitutional Court according to Paragraph 1 of Article 100 of the Constitution and which shall be implemented for the case under their review, contradict the Constitution.
	2. Before applying to the Constitutional Court the courts must and the Chief Prosecutor has the right to suspend the given case until the decision of the Constitutional Court gets into force.3. The Courts may apply to the Constitutional Court after taking the case under its review
	before making a decision on the substance of the given case and the Chief Prosecutor can apply after taking the case under its review before sending it to the relevant Court by the procedure prescribed by Law.
	4. In case of suspension of the case review the Courts and the Chief Prosecutor can submit the appeals for the cases determined by this Article within three days after such suspension. The appeal to the Constitutional Court is formulated in a relevant decision of the Court or the Chief Prosecutor.
	5. In the applications prescribed by Paragraph 1 of this Article the Court and the Chief Prosecutor shall justify their statements on the unconstitutionality of the provisions of the challenged general act as well as the fact that solution of the given case may be possible only by the implementation of the challenged provision.
I I	Court.
Hungary	Article 38 Constitutional Court Act 1. A judge shall initiate the proceedings of the Constitutional Court while suspending the judicial process if he/she in the course of any pending case, he/she considers unconstitutional the legal rule or other legal means of the State control which he/she needs to apply. 2. In a petition, anybody considering a legal rule to be applied in his/her pending process unconstitutional, may initiate the action of the judge provided in section 1.
Lithuania	Article 67 Constitutional Court Act The Supreme Court of Lithuania, the Court of Appeals of Lithuania, and district and area courts shall appeal to the Constitutional Court pursuant to a decision.
Luxemburg	Article 6 Law on the organisation of the Constitutional Court
	If a court considers that an issue concerning a law's conformity with the Constitution arises and that a ruling on the matter is necessary for it to deliver its judgment, it must raise the matter of its own motion after asking the parties to submit any observations.
Malta	Article 46 Constitution (3) If in any proceedings in any court other than the Civil Court, First Hall, or the Constitutional Court any question arises as to the contravention of any of the provisions of the said sections 33 to 45 (inclusive), that court shall refer the question to the Civil Court, First Hall, unless in its opinion the raising of the question is merely frivolous or vexatious
Moldova	Article 135 Constitution (1) The Constitutional Court shall: g) solve the pleas of unconstitutionality of legal acts, as claimed by the Supreme Court of Justice
Poland	Article 193 Constitution Article 3 Constitutional Tribunal Act
	Any court may refer a question of law to the Tribunal as to the conformity of a normative act to the Constitution, ratified international agreements or a statute if the answer to this question of law determines the matter pending before the court.
Romania	Article 146d Constitution
Russia	Article 125 Constitution 1. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation shall consist of 19 members. 2. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, on the request of the President of the
	Russian Federation, the Council of the Federation, the State Duma, one fifth of the deputies of a chamber of the Federal Assembly, the Government of the Russian Federation, the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation and the Higher Court of Arbitration of the Russian Federation, legislative and executive bodies of the subjects of the Russian Federation, shall adjudicate in
	cases concerning the compatibility with the Constitution of the Russian Federation of: [] Article 101 Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation The court while considering the case in any instance, having arrived at the conclusion about
	non-conformity with the Constitution of the Russian Federation of the law which has been applied or ought to be applied in a specific case, shall petition the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation with an inquiry to verify the constitutionality of the aforementioned law.
Slovakia	Article 130 Constitution (1) The Constitutional Court shall commence the proceedings upon a petition submitted by: d) any court;

State	Relevant constitutional or legal provision
Armenia	Article 71 of the Law On the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Armenia
	1. In cases determined by this Article the Courts and the Chief Prosecutor appeal to the
	Constitutional Court if they find that the legal acts of general nature (or its provision(s)), which
	are under the jurisdiction of the Constitutional Court according to Paragraph 1 of Article 100 of
	the Constitution and which shall be implemented for the case under their review, contradict the
	Constitution.
	2. Before applying to the Constitutional Court the courts must and the Chief Prosecutor has the
	right to suspend the given case until the decision of the Constitutional Court gets into force.
	3. The Courts may apply to the Constitutional Court after taking the case under its review
	before making a decision on the substance of the given case and the Chief Prosecutor can
	apply after taking the case under its review before sending it to the relevant Court by the
	procedure prescribed by Law.
	4. In case of suspension of the case review the Courts and the Chief Prosecutor can submit
	the appeals for the cases determined by this Article within three days after such suspension. The appeal to the Constitutional Court is formulated in a relevant decision of the Court or the
	Chief Prosecutor.
	5. In the applications prescribed by Paragraph 1 of this Article the Court and the Chief
	Prosecutor shall justify their statements on the unconstitutionality of the provisions of the
	challenged general act as well as the fact that solution of the given case may be possible only
	by the implementation of the challenged provision.
Slovenia	Article 156 Constitution
Ciovorna	If a court deciding some matter deems a law which it should apply to be unconstitutional, it
	must stay the proceedings and initiate proceedings before the Constitutional Court. The
	proceedings in the court may be continued after the Constitutional Court has issued its
	decision.
	Article 23 Constitutional Court Act
	(1) When in the process of deciding a court deems a law or part thereof which it should apply
	to be unconstitutional, it stays the proceedings and by a request initiates proceedings for the
	review of its constitutionality.
	(2) If the Supreme Court deems a law or part thereof which it should apply to be
	unconstitutional, it stays proceedings in all cases in which it should apply such law or part
	thereof in deciding on legal remedies and by a request initiates proceedings for the review of
	its constitutionality.
	(3) If by a request the Supreme Court initiates proceedings for the review of the
	constitutionality of a law or part thereof, a court which should apply such law or part thereof in
	deciding may stay proceedings until the final decision of the Constitutional Court without
	having to initiate proceedings for the review of the constitutionality of such law or part thereof
0	by a separate request.
Spain	Article 35 Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. Where a judge or a court, proprio motu or at the request of a party, considers that an enactment having the force of law which is applicable to a case and on which the validity of the
	1 ,
	ruling depends may be contrary to the Constitution, the judge or court shall raise the question
Turkov	before the Constitutional Court in accordance with the provisions of this Law. Law on the Organisation and Trial Proceedings of the Constitutional Court
Turkey	Article 28
	If a court which is trying a case:
	1. finds that provisions of a law or law-amending ordinance to be applied in this case are
	unconstitutional, this decision together with its reasons, or
	2. is convinced of the seriousness of a claim of unconstitutionality submitted by one of the
	parties, a decision explaining the claims and defences of the parties concerned in relation to
	this subject-matter and its own views which led to this conviction, the contents of the file
	together with certified copies of documents relating to this case are sent by the court
	concerned to the presidency of the Constitutional Court.
Ukraine	Article 40 Law on the Constitutional Court
·- -	Subjects of the right to a constitutional claim for adopting a decision by the Constitutional Court
	of Ukraine in cases provided for by subsection one, Article 13 of this Law are: [] the
	Supreme Court of Ukraine []
	Article 83
	When, in the process of examination of cases under general court procedure, a dispute
	develops concerning the constitutionality of norms of a law which is being applied by the court,
	the examination of the case is suspended.
	the examination of the case is suspended. Under such circumstances, a constitutional examination of the case is opened and the case is considered by the Constitutional Court of Ukraine immediately.

6.2 Constitutional and legal bases for indirect and direct individual access

6.2.1 Table: Indirect access: Ombudsperson

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
Albania	Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court Article 49
	1. A case before the Constitutional Court on the review of the compatibility of laws or other normative acts with the Constitution or international agreements may be initiated by an application of the President of the Republic, the Prime Minister, not less than one fifth of the deputies of the Assembly or the Chairman of the High State Control.
	2. This right extends, when it is demonstrated that the case concerns their interests, to the People's Advocate, local authorities, religious institutions, political parties and other organisations.
Algeria	No individual access
Andorra	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Argentina	Constitution Section 86
	The Ombudsman is an independent body created within the sphere of the National Congress operating with full autonomy without receiving instructions from any authority. The mission of the Ombudsman is the defence and protection of human rights and other rights, guarantees and interests sheltered under this Constitution and the laws, in the face of deeds, acts or omissions of the Administration; as well as the control of public administrative functions. The Ombudsman has capacity to be a party in a lawsuit. He is appointed and removed by Congress with the vote of two-thirds of the members present of each House. He has the immunities and privileges of legislators. He shall hold office for the term of five years and may only be re-appointed on one occasion. The organisation and operation of this body shall be ruled by a special law. Law 24.379 (p.t.) Article 14 ²⁶³ The Public Defender can initiate and continue, ex officio or at the request of an interested person, investigations conducting to the elucidation of the acts, deeds and omissions by the national public administration and its agents that, through the illegitimate, faulty, irregular, abusive, arbitrary, discriminatory, negligent, strongly unfavourable or inopportune exercise of their functions, including those acts, deeds and omissions that could affect diffuse or collective interests.
	Article 18 ²⁶⁴ Every natural or juristic person that considers itself affected by the acts, deeds and omissions provided for in article 14 may petition to the Public Defender.
Armenia	Constitution Article 100 The Constitutional Court shall, in conformity with the procedure defined by law: 1) determine the compliance of the laws, resolutions of the National Assembly, decrees and orders of the President of the Republic, decisions of the Prime Minister and bodies of the local self-government with the Constitution; Article 101
	In conformity with the procedure set forth in the Constitution and the law on the Constitutional Court the application to the Constitutional Court may be filed by: 8) the Human Rights' Defender – on the issue of compliance of normative acts listed in clause 1 of Article 100 of the Constitution with the provisions of Chapter 2 of the Constitution; Article 68 Law on the Constitutional Court

²⁶³ Articulo 14.- Actuación. Forma y alcance. El Defensor del Pueblo puede iniciar y proseguir de oficio o a petición del interesado cualquier investigación conducente al esclarecimiento de los actos, hechos u omisiones de la administración pública nacional y sus agentes, que impliquen el ejercicio ilegítimo, defectuoso, irregular, abusivo, arbitrario, discriminatorio, negligente, gravemente inconveniente o inoportuno de sus funciones, incluyendo aquellos capaces de afectar los intereses difusos o colectivos.

http://www.defensor.gov.ar/institucion/ley-sp.htm

264 Articulo 18 Legitimación. Puede dirigirse al Defensor del Pueblo toda persona física o jurídica que se considere afectada por los actos, hechos u omisiones previstos en el artículo 14.

http://www.defensor.gov.ar/institucion/ley-sp.htm

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
Ciaio	In regard to cases determined by Point 1 of Article 100 of the Constitution the
	constitutionality of the general acts as well as individual acts mentioned in that Point can be
	challenged, except for the cases of the appeals brought by the Ombudsmen.
	The Ombudsmen can challenge only the constitutionality of general acts.
Austria	Constitution
	Article 148e
	On application by the ombudsman board the Constitutional Court pronounces on the illegality of ordinances by a Federal authority.
Azerbaijan	Constitution
	Article 130
	VII. Ombudsman of Azerbaijan Republic in accordance with the procedure provided for by
	the laws of the Republic of Azerbaijan for solving the matters indicated in items 1-7, para III of
	the given Article shall apply to the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Azerbaijan in cases where the rights and freedoms of a person had been violated by legislative acts in force,
	normative acts of executive power, municipalities as well as the court decisions.
	Law on the Constitutional Court
	Article 32. Petitions
	32.1. Petition can be submitted to Constitutional Court by [] Ombudsman of Azerbaijan
	Republic on the matters provided for by Article 130.7 of the Constitution of Azerbaijan Republic.
	32.2. Petitions by Ombudsman of Azerbaijan Republic on the matter provided for by Article
	130.3.4 of the Constitution of Azerbaijan Republic can be examined by Constitutional Court in
	following cases:
	32.2.1. If the normative legal act which should have been applied was not applied by a court;
	32.2.2. If normative legal act which should not have been applied was applied by a court;
	32.2.3. If normative legal act was not properly interpreted by a court; 32.3. Petition envisaged in Article 32.2. of the present law can be submitted within 6 months
	from the moment of entrance of the relevant court act into legal force.
Belarus	No Ombudsperson
Belgium	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Bosnia and	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Herzegovina Brazil	
Bulgaria	Law on the Ombudsman
0	Article 19
	(1) The Ombudsman shall:
	1. receive and consider complaints and signals regarding violations of rights and freedoms by
	the state and municipal authorities and their administrations as well as by persons assigned with the provision of public services:
	make examinations upon the complaints and signals received;
	3. reply in writing to the person, who has lodged the complaint or signal, within one month; if
	the case requires a more thorough examination, this term shall be three months;
	4. make proposals and recommendations for reinstatement of the violated rights and freedoms before the respective authorities, their administrations, and persons under item 1;
	5. mediate between the administrative authorities and the persons concerned for overcoming
	the violations admitted and shall reconcile their positions;
	6. make proposals and recommendations for eliminating the reasons and conditions, which
	create prerequisites for violation of rights and freedoms;
	7. notify the authorities, listed under article 150 of the Constitution, for approaching the Constitutional Court, when he/she is of the opinion that it is necessary the Constitution to be
	interpreted or a law to be declared unconstitutional;
Canada	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Supreme Court
Chile	No Ombudsperson
Croatia	Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court
	Article 35
	The request by which the proceedings before the Constitutional Court are instituted may be presented by:
	- the People's Ombudsman in proceedings provided by Article 92 of the Constitution of the
	Republic of Croatia.
Cyprus	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Supreme Constitutional Court
Czech Republic	Constitutional Court Act
	Article 64 (2) A position under Article 97 page 1 lit b) of the Constitution proposing the appulment of
	(2) A petition, under Article 87 para. 1, lit. b) of the Constitution, proposing the annulment of some other enactment, or individual provisions thereof, may be submitted by:
	f) the Public Protector of Rights ["Ombudsman"];
	i i iio i abiio i fotootoi oi riigiito į Ollibadollidii į,

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
Denmark	Ombudsperson has no power to appeal to the Supreme Court
Estonia	Article 142 Constitution
	If the Legal Chancellor considers that a legal act issued by the state legislature or executive or by a local government is in conflict with the Constitution or a law, he or she shall propose to the body which has adopted that act to bring the act into accordance with the Constitution or law within twenty days.
	If the act is not brought into accordance with the Constitution or law within twenty days, the Legal Chancellor shall apply to the National Court to declare the act null and void. Chancellor of Justice Act ²⁶⁵ §15
	Everyone has the right of recourse to the Chancellor of Justice to review the conformity of an Act or other legislation of general application with the Constitution or the law. §18
	(1) If a body which passed legislation of general application has not brought the legislation or a provision thereof into conformity with the Constitution or the law within twenty days after the date of receipt of a proposal of the Chancellor of Justice, the Chancellor of Justice shall propose to the Supreme Court that the legislation of general application or a provision thereof be repealed. §19
	(1) Everyone has the right of recourse to the Chancellor of Justice in order to have his or her rights protected by way of filing a petition to request verification whether or not a state agency, local government agency or body, legal person in public law, natural person or legal persons in private law performing public duties (hereinafter agency under supervision) adheres to the principles of observance of the fundamental rights and freedoms and to the principles of sound administration. §35(15)
	(1) If conciliation proceedings are terminated or the Chancellor of Justice has stated failure to reach an agreement, the petitioner has, within thirty days as of the receipt of the notice, the right of recourse to a court or to an authority conducting pre-trial proceedings as provided by law for the protection of his or her rights. Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act
	 §. 4. (1) The Supreme Court shall review the constitutionality of legislation of general application or international treaties on the basis of a reasoned request, court judgment or court ruling. (2) A request may be filed with the Supreme Court by the President of the Republic, the Legal Chancellor and a local government council. (3) A court shall initiate proceedings by delivering its judgment or ruling to the Supreme Court.
	 §. 6. (1) The Legal Chancellor may file a request to the Supreme Court that it 1) declare legislation of general application or a provision thereof passed by the legislative or executive power or a local government, which has entered into force, invalid; 2) to declare an Act, which has been proclaimed but has not yet entered into force, unconstitutional;
	3) to declare legislation of general application passed by the executive or a local government body, which has not entered into force, unconstitutional;
	4) to declare an international agreement entered into by the Republic of Estonia or a provision thereof unconstitutional;5) to repeal a resolution of the Riigikogu concerning submission of a draft Act or other
	national issue to a referendum, if the draft Act to be submitted to a referendum, except draft Acts amending the Constitution, or other national issues are in conflict with the Constitution or if upon deciding to hold a referendum the Riigikogu has materially violated the prescribed procedure.
	(2) The Legal Chancellor shall file a request referred to in clause 5 of subsection (1) within 14 days as of the receipt of pertinent resolution of the Riigikogu.
Finland	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the courts
France Georgia	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Council Organic Law on the Public Defender of Georgia
Georgia	Article 21
	Following the results of the examination, the Public Defender of Georgia shall be authorised:
	to bring out a suit at the Constitutional Court of Georgia in a case where a referendum is not
	held, despite the request of the electorate; if he considers that the holding of a referendum
	contradicts the provisions of paragraph 2 of Article 74 of the Constitution of Georgia, or in the case where any legal act or any provision of this act violates human rights and fundamental
	- 3355 miles any logal act of any provision of this act violates number highle and full daniellar

²⁶⁵ See http://www.legaltext.ee/text/en/X30041K6.htm

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
Ciaio	freedoms recognised by Chapter 2 of the Constitution of Georgia;
	Law on the Constitutional Court
	Article 36
	The following shall have the right to lodge a constitutional claim to the Constitutional Court
	concerning constitutionality of holding a referendum:
	b. the Public Defender of Georgia, if notwithstanding the electors' request a referendum is not
	called:
	c. not less than one fifth of the members of the Parliament of Georgia, the Public Defender of
	Georgia, if they believe that the holding a referendum contradicts the requirements of Article
	74.2 of the Constitution of Georgia.
	Article 39
	1. The following shall have the right to lodge a constitutional claim on constitutionality of a
	normative act or a particular provisions thereof:
	b) The Public Defender of Georgia, if he/she believes that human rights and freedoms,
	recognised by Chapter Two of the Constitution of Georgia, are infringed upon.
Germany	No Ombudsperson at federal level
Greece	Law 3094/2003 ²⁶⁶
11	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Special Highest Court
Hungary	The Parliamentary Commissioner for Civil Rights and the Parliamentary Commissioner for
	the Rights of National and Ethnic Minorities have no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Iceland	
Ireland	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Israel	Ombudsman for Complaints against Judges Law, 2002 ²⁶⁷
131 401	Article 14
	(a) Any individual who feels that he or she was injured by a judge's conduct in the fulfillment
	of his duties, including the manner in which
	the judge conducted the trial, or a party representing the said individual, is entitled to file a
	complaint with the Ombudsman.
	(e) The Ombudsman is entitled, should he deem it necessary following his findings, to
	recommend to the Judges Appointments Committee to dismiss the judge from office in
	accordance with its authority, or to recommend to the Minister or the President to propose to
	the Judges Appointments Committee to end the judge's term; Should the Committee,
	Minister or President decide not to accept the Ombudsman's recommendations, they will
la a la c	specify the reasons for their decision.
Italy	No Ombudsperson at national level
Japan Kazakhstan	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Supreme Court
Korea, republic	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
· '	1 113
Kyrgysztan	Law on constitutional proceedings including draft amendments of 2008
	Article 14.
	The following entities may lodge applications with the Constitutional Court of the Kyrgyz
	Republic:
Latria	8) the Ombudsman (Akiykatchy);
Latvia	Ombudsman Law Section 13
	In the performance of the functions and tasks specified by this Law, the Ombudsman
	has the right:
	8) to submit an application regarding the initiation of proceedings in the Constitutional
	Court if an institution that has issued the disputable act has not rectified the established
	deficiencies within the time limit specified by the Ombudsman;
	9) upon termination of a verification procedure and establishment of a violation, to
	defend the rights and interests of a private individual in court, if that is necessary in the public
	interest;
	10) upon termination of a verification procedure and establishment of a violation, to
	apply to a court in such civil cases, where the nature of the action is related to a violation of
	the prohibition of differential treatment;
Liechtenstein	The Council and Complaints Office has no power to accede to the Constitutional Court
Lithuania	Law on the Seimas Ombudsmen
	Article 19. Rights of the Seimas Ombudsman
	1. When performing his duties, the Seimas Ombudsman shall have the right to:

http://www.synigoros.gr/en_law.htm http://www.justice.gov.il/NR/rdonlyres/5E97F18F-9224-4B99-BBBC-676C4B50A682/0/law.pdf

State	Polovant constitutional and logal provisions
State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
	11) propose to the Seimas to apply to the Constitutional Court regarding the conformity of legal acts with the Constitution and laws of the Republic of Lithuania;
Luvanahauma	
Luxembourg	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Malta	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Mexico	O 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Moldova	Constitutional Jurisdiction Act ²⁶⁸
	Article 38
	1. The Constitutional Court shall exercise the constitutional jurisdiction upon appeal of the
	following subjects: i. Ombudsman;
	2. The subjects foreseen by para. (1) may petition the Court on issues related to their
	competence []
Monaco	No Ombudsman
Montenegro	Constitution
Montenegro	Article 81
	The protector of human rights and liberties of Montenegro shall be independent and
	autonomous authority that takes measures to protect human rights and liberties.
	The protector of human rights and liberties shall exercise duties on the basis of the
	Constitution, the law and the confirmed international agreements, observing also the
	principles of justice and fairness.
	The protector of human rights and liberties shall be appointed for the period of six years and
	can be dismissed in cases envisaged by the law.
	Law on the Protector of Human Rights and Freedoms
	Article 26
	The Protector may propose the initiation of proceedings before the Constitutional Court of the
	Republic of Montenegro for the purpose of assessing the constitutionality and legality of the
	legislation and general enactment relating to human rights and freedoms.
Morocco	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Netherlands	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Norway	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Peru	Public Defender has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Poland	Constitution
	Article 80
	In accordance with principles specified by statute, everyone shall have the right to apply to
	the Commissioner for Citizens' Rights for assistance in protection of his freedoms or rights
	infringed by organs of public authority.
	Constitutional Tribunal Act
	Article 27
	The participants in the proceedings before the Tribunal shall be:
	8) the Commissioner for Citizens' Rights where he/she has given notice of his/her
	participation in the proceedings in relation to complaints concerning constitutional
	infringements.
	Article 51
	1. The Tribunal shall inform the Commissioner for Citizens' Rights about the institution of
	proceedings. Provisions of Article 33 shall apply accordingly. 3. The Commissioner for Citizana' Bights may within the period of 60 days from the receipt of
	2. The Commissioner for Citizens' Rights may, within the period of 60 days from the receipt of
	information, give notice of his/her participation in the proceedings.
	Article 52
	1. The participants in the proceedings before the Tribunal shall be: the person making the complaint, the organ which promulgated the challenged normative act and the Public
	Prosecutor-General; the Commissioner of the Citizens' Rights shall also be the participant in
	the proceedings when he/she has given notice of his/her participation therein.
	Act of 15 July 1987 on the Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection
	. 1.5. 1.5 Gary 1907 of the Commissioner for Office Highlio Frontonion
	Article 16.
	1. In connection with the cases examined, the Commissioner can present to the relevant
	agencies, organisations and institutions opinions and conclusions aimed at ensuring efficient
	protection of the liberties and rights of a human and a citizen and facilitating the procedures
	such cases may involve.
	2. The Commissioner may also:
	1) approach the relevant agencies with proposals for legislative initiative, or for issuing or
	amending other legal acts concerning the liberties and rights of a human and a citizen,
	2) approach the Constitutional Tribunal with motions mentioned in Art. 188 of the
	, , , ,

http://www.constcourt.md/index_en.html

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
	Constitution,
	3) report participation in the proceedings before the Constitutional Tribunal in the cases of
	constitutional complaints and take part in those proceedings,
	4) request the Supreme Court to issue a resolution aimed at explaining legal provisions that
Dantumal	raise doubts in practice, or application of has resulted in conflicting judicial decisions.
Portugal	Constitution
	Article 281
	General review of constitutionality and legality 2. The following persons are entitled to request the Constitutional Court to make generally
	binding rulings on questions of unconstitutionality and illegality:
	d. The Ombudsman;
	Law n.º 9/91 Statute of the Ombudsman
	Article 20
	3 – The Ombudsman may request the Constitutional Court to declare the unconstitutionality
	or illegality of any legal provisions, in accordance with article 281, paragraph 1 and paragraph
	2, sub-paragraph (d), of the Constitution.
	4 – The Ombudsman may request the Constitutional Court to rule on cases of
	unconstitutionality due to a legislative omission, in accordance with article 283, paragraph 1, of the Constitution.
Romania	Constitution.
Nomania	Article 144
	The Constitutional Court shall have the following powers:
	d) to decide on objections as to the unconstitutionality of laws and ordinances, brought up
	before courts of law or of commercial arbitration; the objection as to the unconstitutionality
	may also be brought up directly by the Advocate of the People;
	Law on the Advocate of the People ²⁶⁹
	Article 13
	The Advocate of the People shall have the following duties:
	b) receives and distributes complaints lodged by persons aggrieved by public administration
	authorities through violations of their civic rights and freedoms, and decides on these complaints;
	d) formulates points of view, at the request of the Constitutional Court;
	e) may notify the Constitutional Court on the unconstitutionality of laws, before their
	promulgation;
	f) brings directly in front of the Constitutional Court the exception of unconstitutionality of laws
	and ordinances;
	Article 14
	(1) The Advocate of the People exercises his duties ex officio or upon complaints lodged by
	aggrieved persons as provided under Article 13 (b).
Russian	Federal Constitutional Law "On the Representative under human rights in the Russian
Federation	Federation" Article 29
	By results of consideration of the complaint the Representative has the right:
	5) to address in the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation with the complaint to
	infringement of constitutional laws and freedom of citizens the law which is applied or subject
	to application in a concrete case.
San Marino	No Ombudsman as yet, but plans to introduce one.
Serbia	Draft Law on Ombudsman ²⁷⁰
	Article 16
	The Ombudsman shall have the power to initiate proceedings before the Constitutional Court
	for the assessment of legality and constitutionality of laws, other regulations and general acts
Slovakia	which govern issues related to the freedoms and rights of citizens. Constitution
JiUVania	Article130.f
	The Constitutional Court shall commence the proceedings upon a petition submitted by the
	Public Defender of Rights in matter of conformity of legal regulations according to Art. 125
	(1) 1 of the Constitution of the Slovak Republic, if further application of the regulation could
	represent a threat to the fundamental rights and freedoms or human rights and fundamental
	freedoms, as arise from an international treaty that has been ratified by the Slovak Republic
	and published in a way specified by a law
	Article 151a
	(1) The Public Defender of Rights is an independent body which in the scope and in manner

http://www.avp.ro/indexen.html http://www.venice.coe.int/docs/2004/CDL(2004)113-e.pdf

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
Slovenia	laid down by a law shall participate in the protection of the fundamental rights and freedoms of natural persons and legal persons in the proceedings, decision making or inactivity of public administration bodies, if their proceedings, decision making or inactivity is inconsistent with legal order or with principles of a democratic state and rule of law. Article 23.a Constitutional Court Act
Siovenia	(1) The procedure for the review of the constitutionality or legality of regulations or general acts issued for the exercise of public authority can be initiated by a request submitted by: - the ombudsman for human rights if he deems that a regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority inadmissibly interferes with human rights or fundamental freedoms. Article 50
	(2) The ombudsman for human rights may, under the conditions determined by this Act, lodge a constitutional complaint in connection with an individual case that he is dealing with. Article 52
	(2) The ombudsman for human rights lodges a constitutional complaint with the consent of the person whose human rights or fundamental freedoms he is protecting in the individual case.
South Africa	Constitution of the Republic of South Africa Art. 182: Functions of Public Protector (1) The Public Protector has the power, as regulated by national legislation- (a) to investigate any conduct in state affairs, or in the public administration in any sphere of
	government, that is alleged or suspected to be improper or to result in any impropriety or prejudice; (b) to report on that conduct; and (c) to take appropriate remedial action.
	(2) The Public Protector has the additional powers and functions prescribed by national legislation.(3) The Public Protector may not investigate court decisions.(4) The Public Protector must be accessible to all persons and communities.
	(5) An report issued by the Public Protector must be open to the public unless exceptional circumstances, to be determined in terms of national legislation, require that a report be kept confidential.
	Art. 183: Tenure The Public Protector is appointed for a non-renewable period of seven years. Public Protector Act, no. 23 of 1994
Spain	Public Protector may apply to the Constitutional Court or any other court Constitution
•	Article 162 1. The following are eligible to:
	 a) lodge an appeal against unconstitutionality: the President of the Government, the Defender of the People, fifty Deputies, fifty Senators, the executive corporate bodies of the Autonomous Communities and, when applicable, their Assemblies; b) lodge an individual appeal for protection ("recurso de amparo"): any individual or corporate body with a legitimate interest, as well as the Defender of the People and the Office of the
	Public Prosecutor. 2. In all other cases, the organic law shall determine which persons and agencies are eligible. Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
	Article 32 1. The following have standing to bring an action of unconstitutionality against Statutes of Autonomy and other State laws, organic or of any character whatsoever, against regulations and enactments of the State or Autonomous Communities having the force of law, and against international treaties and the Rules of Procedure of the Houses and the Cortès Générales:
	b. the Defender of the People (Defensor del Pueblo); Article 46
	 1. The following shall have standing to lodge an appeal for constitutional protection: a. In the case of Articles 42 and 45, the person directly affected, the Defender of the People and the Office of the Public Prosecutor; b. In the case of Articles 43 and 44, the parties to the corresponding judicial proceedings, the
	 b. In the case of Articles 43 and 44, the parties to the corresponding judicial proceedings, the Defender of the People and the Office of the Public Prosecutor. 2. Where the appeal is brought by the Defender of the People or the Office of the Public Prosecutor, the Division of the Court with authority to hear the case for constitutional protection shall inform any potentially injured persons of whom it has knowledge and shall order publication of the notice of appeal in the "Official State Gazette" so that other interested parties may come forward. Such publication shall have preferential status.

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
Switzerland	No Ombudsperson at federal level
"The former	Law on the Ombudsman ²⁷¹
Yugoslav Republic of	Article 13 The procedure for protection of the constitutional and legal rights of citizens before the
Macedonia"	Ombudsman shall be initiated by putting forward a submission.
	Anyone may put forward a submission to the Ombudsman when he assesses that his
	constitutional and legal freedoms and rights have been infringed or when the principle of non-
	discrimination and adequate and equitable representation of community members in the bodies set out in Article 2 of this Law has been breached.
	The Ombudsman may initiate a procedure on his own initiative if he assesses that the
	constitutional and legal rights of citizens, stipulated in Article 2 of this Law, have been
	infringed.
	Article 30 The Orbideman may submit a proposal to the Constitutional Court of the Republic of
	The Ombudsman may submit a proposal to the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Macedonia for evaluation of the constitutionality of the laws and the constitutionality and
	legality of the other regulations or general acts.
Tunisia	Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Constitutional Court
Turkey	No Ombudsperson
Ukraine	Article 150 Constitution The authority of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine comprises:
	1) deciding on issues of conformity with the Constitution of Ukraine (constitutionality) of the
	following:
	laws and other legal acts of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine;
	acts of the President of Ukraine; acts of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine;
	legal acts of the Verkhovna Rada of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea.
	These issues are considered on the appeals of:
	the Authorised Human Rights Representative of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine;
	Law of Ukraine on the Constitutional Court of Ukraine Article 13
	The Constitutional Court of Ukraine adopts decisions and provides conclusions in cases
	concerning:
	1. constitutionality of laws and the other legal acts of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine, acts of the President of Ukraine, acts of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine, legal acts of the
	Supreme Rada of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea;
	4. official interpretation of the Constitution and laws of Ukraine.
	Article 40
	Subjects of the right to a constitutional claim for adopting a decision by the Constitutional Court of Ukraine in cases provided for by subsection one, Article 13 of this Law are: the
	President of Ukraine, no fewer than forty-five National Deputies of Ukraine (a National
	Deputy's signature may not be recalled), the Supreme Court of Ukraine, the Authorised
	Representative of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine on Human Rights and the Supreme Rada
	of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea. Article 41
	Subjects of the right to a constitutional claim for providing opinions by the Constitutional Court
	of Ukraine in the cases provided for by subsections two, three and four of Article 13 of this
	Law are:
	- under subsection four, the President of Ukraine, no fewer than forty-five National Deputies of Ukraine (a National Deputy's signature may not be recalled), the Authorised
	Representative of the <i>Verkhovna Rada</i> of Ukraine on Human Rights, the Supreme Court of
	Ukraine, the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine, the other State power authorities, the Supreme
	Rada of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea and local self-government authorities. Article 82
	The grounds for raising the issue of opening the examination of a case concerning the
	conformity of current legislative norms to the principles and norms of the Constitution of
	Ukraine as to the rights and freedoms of individuals and citizens are:
	1. the existence of disputable questions concerning the constitutionality of laws and other legal acts adopted and promulgated in the prescribed order;
	logal acto adopted and promulgated in the prescribed order,
	2. the development of disputable questions concerning the constitutionality of legal acts
	revealed in the process of general court procedure;

http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/type,LEGISLATION,,MKD,3fcb36dc4,0.html

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
	3. the development of disputable questions concerning the constitutionality of legal acts revealed by executive power authorities in process of their implementation and by the Authorised Representative of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine on Human Rights in the process of his/her activity.
United Kingdom	Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1967 ²⁷² Article 6 (1)A complaint under this Act may be made by any individual, or by any body of persons whether incorporated or not, not being— (a)a local authority or other authority or body constituted for purposes of the public service or of local government or for the purposes of carrying on under national ownership any industry or undertaking or part of an industry or undertaking; Article 10 (3)If, after conducting an investigation under this Act, it appears to the Commissioner that injustice has been caused to the person aggrieved in consequence of maladministration and that the injustice has not been, or will not be, remedied, he may, if he thinks fit, lay before each House of Parliament a special report upon the case.
United States of America	The Ombudsperson has no power to apply to the Supreme Court
Uruguay	No Ombudsperson

6.2.2 Table: Indirect individual access: Preliminary requests

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
Albania	Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the Constitutional Court Article 68
	1. When a court of any instance or a trial judge considers during the trial ex officio or at the request of either party involved that a certain law is unconstitutional and if there is a direct link between the law and the solution of the case at hand, that particular law shall not be applied in the case at hand and after suspending the trial the judge shall refer the file to the Constitutional Court, which on its side should deliver its verdict as to the constitutionality of
Algeria	the said law. No preliminary ruling procedure
Andorra	Constitution
Andona	Article 98
	The Tribunal Constitucional tries: c) Processes of constitutional appeal. Article 100
	1. If, in the course of litigation, a court has reasoned and founded doubts about the constitutionality of a law or a legislative decree, the application of which is relevant to its decision, it shall request in writing the decision of the Tribunal Constitucional about the validity of the rule affected. Qualified law on the Constitutional Court Article 43
	1. In the case of actions where unconstitutionality is alleged, the Constitutional Court reviews the compatibility with the Constitution of the laws, legislative decrees and Rules of Procedure of the General Council or the individual provisions thereof. 2. These proceedings are introduced by a direct action submitted by one fifth of the ex officion members of the General Council, by the Head of the Government or by three Comuns, or by an interlocutory application in writing from an ordinary court. Article 52
	In the exercise of their judicial functions, the Batlles (judges of first instance), the Court of Batlles, the Tribunal de Corts (criminal court) and the Higher Court of Andorra are entitled to apply for interlocutory proceedings to be opened in respect of laws, legislative decrees and regulations having statutory force on the ground that they are unconstitutional, irrespective of the date on which they entered into force.
Argentina	No preliminary ruling procedure
Armenia	Constitution Article 101 In conformity with the procedure set forth in the Constitution and the law on the Constitutional Court the application to the Constitutional Court may be filed by:

http://www.opsi.gov.uk/RevisedStatutes/Acts/ukpga/1967/cukpga 19670013 en 1

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
	7) courts and the Prosecutor General on the issue of constitutionality of provisions of normative acts related to specific cases within their proceedings; Law on the Constitutional Court Article 71
	1. In cases determined by this Article the Courts and the Chief Prosecutor appeal to the Constitutional Court if they find that the legal acts of general nature (or its provision(s)), which are under the jurisdiction of the Constitutional Court according to Point 1 of Article 100 of the Constitution and which shall be implemented for the case under their review, contradict the Constitution.
Austria	Constitution
	Article 139 (1) The Constitutional Court pronounces on application by a court or an independent administrative tribunal whether ordinances issued by a Federal or Land authority are contrary to law, but ex officio in so far as the Court would have to apply such an ordinance in a pending suit.
Azerbaijan	Constitution Article 130 VI. In accordance with the procedure provided for by the laws of Azerbaijan Republic the courts may file the Constitutional Court of Azerbaijan Republic a request on interpretation of the Constitution and the laws of Azerbaijan Republic as regards the matters concerning the implementation of human rights and freedoms. Law on the Constitutional Court Article 33
	33.1. Applications can be submitted to Constitutional Court by the Milli Majlis of Azerbaijan Republic on the matters provided for by Article 104.3 of the Constitution of Azerbaijan Republic and by courts of Azerbaijan Republic on the matters provided for by Article 130.6 of the Constitution of Azerbaijan Republic.
Belarus	Constitution
	Article 112. If, during the hearing of a specific case, a court concludes that an enforceable enactment is contrary to the Constitution, it shall make a ruling in accordance with the Constitution and raise, under the established procedure, the issue of whether the enforceable enactment in question should be deemed unconstitutional.
	The Code of the Republic of Belarus on Judicial System and Status of Judges. Article 7.
	The courts shall execute justice in conformity with the Constitution of the Republic of Belarus and normative legal acts adopted in compliance with the Constitution of the Republic of Belarus.
	If during the hearing of a specific case, a court concludes that a normative legal act is contrary to the Constitution, it shall make a ruling in accordance with the Constitution and, after its entry into force shall raise accordingly before the Supreme Court or the Supreme Economic Court of the Republic of Belarus the issue on submitting their motion to the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Belarus of whether the enforceable enactment in question should be deemed unconstitutional.
Belgium	Constitution
	Article 142 There is for all Belgium a Constitutional Court, the composition, competences and functioning of which are established by the law. This Court rules by means of judgments on: 1°those conflicts referred to in Article 141:
	2° the violation of Articles 10, 11 and 24 by a law, a federate law or a rule as referred to in Article 134;
	3° the violation of constitutional articles that the law determines by a law, a federate law or by a rule as referred to in Article 134. A matter may be referred to the Court by any authority designated by the law, by any person
D	that can prove an interest or, pre-judicially, by any court.
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Constitution Article VI: Constitutional Court 3 Jurisdiction.
	c The Constitutional Court shall have jurisdiction over issues referred by any court in Bosnia and Herzegovina concerning whether a law, on whose validity its decision depends, is compatible with this Constitution, with the European Convention for Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and its Protocols, or with the laws of Bosnia and Herzegovina; or

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
	concerning the existence of or the scope of a general rule of public international law pertinent to the court's decision.
Brazil	No preliminary ruling procedure
Bulgaria	Constitution Article 150
	2. Should it find a discrepancy between a law and the Constitution, the Supreme Court of Cassation or the Supreme Administrative Court shall suspend the proceedings on a case and shall refer the matter to the Constitutional Court. Any portion of a law which is not ruled unconstitutional shall remain in force.
Canada	No preliminary ruling procedure
Chile	No preliminary ruling procedure
Croatia	Article 37 Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court (1) If a court of justice in its proceedings determines that the law to be applied, or some of its provisions, are not in accordance with the Constitution, it shall stop the proceedings and present a request with the Constitutional Court to review the constitutionality of the law, or some of its provisions. (2) If the court of justice in its proceedings determines that another regulation to be applied, or some of its provisions, are not in accordance with the Constitution and the law, it shall directly apply the law to that specific case and shall present a request with the Constitutional Court to review the constitutionality and legality of the disputed regulation or some of its provisions.
Cyprus	Constitution Article 144 1. A party to any judicial proceedings, including proceedings on appeal, may, at any stage thereof, raise the question of the unconstitutionality of any law or decision or any provision thereof material for the determination of any matter at issue in such proceedings and thereupon the Court before which such question is raised shall reserve the question for the decision of the Supreme Constitutional Court and stay further proceedings until such question is determined by the Supreme Constitutional Court.
	 The Supreme Constitutional Court, on a question so reserved, shall, after hearing the parties, consider and determine the question so reserved 'and transmit its decision to the Court by which such question has been reserved. Any decision of the Supreme Constitutional Court under paragraph 2 of this Article shall be binding on the court by which the question has been reserved and on the parties to the proceedings and shall, in case such decision is to the effect that the law or decision or any provision thereof is unconstitutional, operate as to make such law or decision inapplicable to such proceedings only.
Czech Republic	Constitution Article 95 (1) In making their decisions, judges are bound by statutes and treaties which form a part of the legal order; they are authorised to judge whether enactments other than statutes are in conformity with statutes or with such treaties. (2) Should a court come to the conclusion that a statute which should be applied in the resolution of a matter is in conflict with the constitutional order, it shall submit the matter to the Constitutional Court.
Denmark	No preliminary ruling procedure
Estonia	Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act §. 4. (1) The Supreme Court shall review the constitutionality of legislation of general application or international treaties on the basis of a reasoned request, court judgment or court ruling. (3) A court shall initiate proceedings by delivering its judgment or ruling to the Supreme Court.
	§. 9. Constitutional review on the basis of court judgment or ruling (1) If a court of first or second instance has, upon adjudication of a case, not applied a pertinent legislation of general application or an international agreement, declaring it unconstitutional, it shall deliver the judgment or ruling to the Supreme Court. (2) The court shall append to its judgment or ruling to be delivered to the Supreme Court the text of the legislation of general application or international agreement or pertinent extracts thereof, which it has declared unconstitutional in the conclusion of the judgment or ruling.
Finland	No preliminary ruling procedure
France	No preliminary ruling procedure
Georgia	Law on the Constitutional Court Article 20

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
	1. If, while considering a specific case, an ordinary court concludes that there are sufficient grounds for considering the law or other normative acts applied by the court in its decision on the case, to be fully or partly inconsistent with the Constitution, it shall suspend its examination of the case and apply to the Constitutional Court. The examination of the case shall be resumed after a judgment on this issue has been reached by the Constitutional Court.
Germany	Constitution Article 100 (1) Where a court considers that a law on whose validity its ruling depends is unconstitutional it shall stay the proceedings and, if it holds the constitution of a Land to be
	violated, seek a ruling from the Land court with jurisdiction for constitutional disputes or, where it holds this Basic Law to be violated, from the Federal Constitutional Court. This shall also apply where this Basic Law is held to be violated by Land law or where a Land law is held to be incompatible with a federal law.
	(2) Where in the course of litigation doubt exists whether a rule of international law is an integral part of federal law and whether such rule directly establishes rights and obligations for the individual (Article 25), the court shall seek a ruling from the Federal Constitutional Court.
Greece	Constitution Article 100
	5. When a chamber or department of the Supreme Administrative Court or of the Supreme Civil and Criminal Court or of the Court of Auditors judges a provision of a statute enacted by Parliament to be contrary to the Constitution, it shall compulsorily refer the question to the respective plenum, unless this has been judged by a previous decision of the plenum or of the Special Highest Court of the present article. The plenum shall be assembled into judicial formation and shall decide definitively, as specified by law. This regulation shall apply analogously also in the elaboration of regulatory decrees by the Supreme Administrative Court.
	Law no. 345 establishing the Special Highest Court Article 7
	Cases within the jurisdiction of the Special Court shall be brought: b. by another court's reference of a preliminary question.
Hungary	Act no. XXXII on the Constitutional Court Article 38
	1. A judge shall initiate the proceedings of the Constitutional Court while suspending the judicial process if he/she in the course of any pending case, he/she considers unconstitutional the legal rule or other legal means of the State control which he/she needs to apply.
Iceland Ireland	No preliminary ruling procedure No preliminary ruling procedure
Israel	No preliminary ruling procedure
Italy	Provisions governing the review of constitutionality and guaranteeing the independence of the Constitutional Court Section 1
	Questions of constitutionality regarding an Act of Parliament or a central government statutory measure having the force of law raised by a court or by a party to judicial proceedings or not deemed by a court of law to be manifestly groundless, shall be referred to the Constitutional Court for a decision.
	Law on the composition and procedures of the Constitutional Court Section 23
	If the case cannot be tried without first resolving the question of constitutionality, or if the trial court does not consider that the question of constitutionality raised is groundless, it shall issue an order referring the matter immediately to the Constitutional Court, setting out the terms and the reasons for raising the question of constitutionality, and shall suspend trial proceedings.
	A court before which a case is being tried may also refer a question of constitutionality <i>ex officio</i> by means of a court order setting out the information required under a) and b) above, and the measures referred to in the subsection above.
	Supplementary Provisions Governing Constitutional Court Proceedings 7 October 2008 as subsequently amended (Official Gazette No. 261 of 7 November 2008) Section 1
	The order with which a judge sitting alone or jointly, before which the case is pending decision, refers a matter to the Constitutional Court for a ruling shall be filed with the Court

I Date and a conflict for all and a conflict for the conf
Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
together with all the documents from the case-file and evidence of service as provided by Section 23 of Law No. 87 of 11 March 1953.
No preliminary ruling procedure
Article 78 Constitution
1. The courts shall have no right to apply laws and other regulatory legal acts infringing on the rights and liberties of an individual and a citizen established by the Constitution. If a court finds that a law or other regulatory legal act subject to application infringes on the rights and liberties of an individual and a citizen it shall suspend legal proceedings and address the Constitutional Council with a proposal to declare that law unconstitutional.
Constitutional Court Act Article 2 (Jurisdiction) The Constitutional Court shall have jurisdiction over the following issues 1.Constitutionality of statutes upon the request of the ordinary courts; Article 41 (Request for Adjudication on the Constitutionality of Statutes) (1) When the issue of whether or not statutes are constitutional is relevant to the judgment of the original case, the ordinary court(including the military court; hereinafter the same shall apply) shall request to the Constitutional Court, ex officio or by decision upon a motion by the party, an adjudication on the constitutionality of statutes.
Constitution Article 90 2. If, during examination of a case in any judicial instance, there arises a question concerning the constitutionality of the law or other legal and regulatory act on which ruling of the case rests, the court shall send an inquiry to the Constitutional Court.
Law on the Constitutional Court Article 17 The following shall have the right to submit an application to initiate a case regarding compliance of laws and international agreements signed or entered into by Latvia -even before the Saeima has confirmed the agreement- with the Constitution, compliance of other normative acts or their parts with the legal norms (acts) of higher legal force (Clauses 1-3 of Article 16), as well as compliance of national legal norms of Latvia with the international agreements entered into by Latvia, which are not contrary to the Constitution (Clause 6 of Article 16):
9. a court, when reviewing an administrative, civil or criminal case;
Constitutional Court Act Article 18 1) The Constitutional Court shall decide on the constitutionality of laws or individual legislative provisions: b) on application of a court, if and to the extent that the court has to apply a law or individual provisions thereof (on the basis of precedent) that it believes to be unconstitutional in a matter pending before it and the court has decided to interrupt the proceedings to request a ruling by the Constitutional Court; Article 20 1) The Constitutional Court shall decide on the compliance of ordinances or individual provisions thereof with the Constitution, laws, and international treaties: a) on application of a court or of a municipal authority, if and to the extent that the court or municipal authority has to apply an ordinance or individual provisions thereof (on the basis of precedent) that it believes to be incompatible with the Constitution, a law, or an international treaty in a matter pending before it and the court or municipal authority has decided to interrupt the proceedings to request a ruling by the Constitutional Court; Article 22 1) The Constitutional Court shall decide on the constitutionality of international treaties or individual provisions thereof: a) on application of a court or an administrative authority, if and to the extent that the court or administrative authority has to apply an international treaty or individual provisions thereof (on the basis of precedent) that it believes to be unconstitutional in a matter pending before it and the court or administrative authority has decided to interrupt the proceedings to request a ruling by the Constitutional Court;
Constitution Article 106 The Government, no less than one-fifth of the members of the Seimas, and the courts shall have the right to address the Constitutional Court concerning legal acts specified in part 1 of Article 105. Law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Lithuania Article 67 Provided that there are grounds to consider that a law or other legal act, which shall be

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
	applicable in a concrete case, fails to conform with the Constitution, the court (judge) shall
	suspend the examination of said case and, with regard to the competence of the Constitutional Court, shall appeal to it with a petition to decide whether the said law or other legal act is in conformity with the Constitution.
	The Supreme Court of Lithuania, the Court of Appeals of Lithuania, and district and area courts shall appeal to the Constitutional Court pursuant to a decision.
Luxembourg	Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court
	Article 6 If a court considers that an issue concerning a law's conformity with the Constitution arises and that a ruling on the matter is necessary for it to deliver its judgment, it must raise the matter of its own motion after asking the parties to submit any observations.
Malta	Constitution
	Article 95 (2) One of the Superior Courts, composed of such three judges as could, in accordance with any law for the time being in force in Malta, compose the Court of Appeal, shall be known as the Constitutional Court and shall have jurisdiction to hear and determine – (d) appeals from decisions of any court of original jurisdiction in Malta as to the interpretation of this Constitution other than those which may fall under section 46 of this Constitution; (e) appeals from decisions of any court of original jurisdiction in Malta on questions as to the validity of laws other than those which may fall under section 46 of this Constitution; European Convention Act
	Article 4 3. If any proceedings in any court other than the Civil Court, First Hall, or the Constitutional Court any question arises as to the contravention of any of the Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, that court shall refer the question to the Civil Court, First Hall, unless in its opinion the raising of the question is merely frivolous or vexatious; and that court shall give its decision on any question referred to it under this subsection and, subject to the provisions of subsection 4 of this section, the court in which the question arose shall dispose of the question in accordance with that decision.
Mexico	Article 105 Constitution The Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation will get to know, in the terms that the regulating
	law specifies, about the following affairs: III. By itself or by petition of the appropriate unitary circuit tribunal, or the Attorney General of the Republic, it may get to know about cases of appeal of sentences of district judges in those cases in which the Federation took part, and in which their interest and importance merit its participation.
Moldova	Constitution Article 135
	(1) The Constitutional Court shall: g) solve the pleas of unconstitutionality of legal acts, as claimed by the Supreme Court of
Monaco	Justice; No preliminary ruling procedure
Montenegro	Constitution Article 150 The procedure before the Constitutional Court for the assessment of constitutionality and legality may be initiated by the court, other state authority, local self-government authority and five Members of the Parliament. Draft law on the Constitutional Court ²⁷³ Article 43
	Proceedings for review of constitutionality and legality of general acts shall be initiated by a petition submitted by the petitioner referred to in Article 150 paragraph 2 of the Constitution and when the Constitutional Court institutes proceedings on the basis of an initiative submitted or on its own by an order.
Morocco	No preliminary ruling procedure
Netherlands	No preliminary ruling procedure
Norway Peru	No preliminary ruling procedure No preliminary ruling procedure
Poland	Constitution
. Oldiid	Article 193 Any court may refer a question of law to the Constitutional Tribunal as to the conformity of a normative act to the Constitution, ratified international agreements or statute, if the answer to
	such question of law will determine an issue currently before such court. Constitutional Tribunal Act

273 CDL(2008)073 Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of Montenegro

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions	
	Article 3	
	Any court may refer a question of law to the Tribunal as to the conformity of a normative act to the Constitution, ratified international agreements or a statute if the answer to this question of law determines the matter pending before the court.	
Portugal	No preliminary ruling procedure	
Romania	Law on the Organisation and Operation of the Constitutional Court	
	Article 23 2. If, in the course of a judgement, the Instance finds, ex officio, or one of the parties pleads the unconstitutionality of a provision under a law or statutory order on which the judgment of the cause depends, the exception raised shall be sent to the Constitutional Court, in order to pronounce upon the constitutionality of that provision.	
Russian	Constitution	
Federation	Article 125	
	4. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, upon complaints about violations of the constitutional rights and freedoms of citizens and upon requests of the courts, shall verify the conformity with the Constitution of any law which is applied or shall be applied in a concrete case in a way established by federal law. Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation	
	Article 101	
	The court while considering the case in any instance, having arrived at the conclusion about non-conformity with the Constitution of the Russian Federation of the law which has been applied or ought to be applied in a specific case, shall petition the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation with an inquiry to verify the constitutionality of the aforementioned law.	
San marino	Qualified Law of 25 April 2003	
	Article 13(5) (p.t.) ²⁷⁴	
	The declaration of inadmissibility of the request by the judge doesn't forestall new requests	
Carbia	concerning the same question before other instances or in other proceedings. No preliminary ruling procedure	
Serbia Slovakia	Constitution	
	Article 130 (1) The Constitutional Court shall commence the proceedings upon a petition submitted by: d) any court; f) any person whose rights shall be adjudicated as defined in Article 127 and article 127a. (2) The law shall specify who can commence the proceedings under Article 129. Act on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 18	
	The Constitutional Court shall open proceedings on a petition that has been filed by: d. a court, in a matter if its jurisdiction; Article 37	
	1.If the persons specified in Article 18, paragraph 1, letters a to f come to the conclusion that a regulation of lower legal force is in conflict with a regulation of higher legal force or international treaty they may file a petition with the Constitutional Court to proceedings.	
Slovenia	Articole 156 Constitution If a court deciding some matter deems a law which it should apply to be unconstitutional, it must stay the proceedings and initiate proceedings before the Constitutional Court. The proceedings in the court may be continued after the Constitutional Court has issued its decision.	
	Article 23.a Constitutional Court Act (1) The procedure for the review of the constitutionality or legality of regulations or general acts issued for the exercise of public authority can be initiated by a request submitted by: - the National Assembly;	
	 - one third of the deputies; - the National Council; - the Government; - the ombudsman for human rights if he deems that a regulation or general act issued for 	
	the exercise of public authority inadmissibly interferes with human rights or fundamental freedoms;	
	 the information commissioner, provided that a question of constitutionality or legality arises in connection with a procedure he is conducting; the Bank of Slovenia or the Court of Audit, provided that a question of constitutionality or 	
	legality arises in connection with a procedure they are conducting; - the State Attorney General, provided that a question of constitutionality arises in	

 274 La dichiarazione di inammissibilità dell'istanza da parte del giudice $a\ quo$ non impedisce la riproposizione del medesimo negli altri gradi o in procedimenti diversi.

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions
Otato	connection with a case the State Prosecutor's Office is conducting;
	- representative bodies of local communities, provided that the constitutional position or
	constitutional rights of a local community are interfered with;
	- representative associations of local communities, provided that the rights of local
	communities are threatened;
	- national representative trade unions for an individual activity or profession, provided that
	the rights of workers are threatened.
	Article 23
	(1) When in the process of deciding a court deems a law or part thereof which it should
	apply to be unconstitutional, it stays the proceedings and by a request initiates proceedings
	for the review of its constitutionality.
	(2) If the Supreme Court deems a law or part thereof which it should apply to be
	unconstitutional, it stays proceedings in all cases in which it should apply such law or part
	thereof in deciding on legal remedies and by a request initiates proceedings for the review of
0 1 10	its constitutionality.
South Africa	No preliminary request procedure
Spain	Constitution
	Article 163
	If a judicial body considers, in some action, that a regulation with the status of law which is applicable thereto and upon the validity of which the judgment depends, may be contrary to
	the Constitution, it may bring the matter before the Constitutional Court in the circumstances,
	manner and subject to the consequences to be laid down by law, which shall in no case be
	suspensive.
	Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
	Article 35
	1. Where a judge or a court, proprio motu or at the request of a party, considers that an
	enactment having the force of law which is applicable to a case and on which the validity of
	the ruling depends may be contrary to the Constitution, the judge or court shall raise the
	question before the Constitutional Court in accordance with the provisions of this Law.
	Article 46
	The following shall have standing to lodge an appeal for constitutional protection: The following shall have standing to lodge an appeal for constitutional protection:
	a. In the case of Articles 42 and 45, the person directly affected, the Defender of the People
	and the Office of the Public Prosecutor;
	b. In the case of Articles 43 and 44, the parties to the corresponding judicial proceedings,
	the Defender of the People and the Office of the Public Prosecutor.
	2. Where the appeal is brought by the Defender of the People or the Office of the Public
	Prosecutor, the Division of the Court with authority to hear the case for constitutional
	protection shall inform any potentially injured persons of whom it has knowledge and shall
	order publication of the notice of appeal in the "Official State Gazette" so that other
	interested parties may come forward. Such publication shall have preferential status.
Sweden	No preliminary ruling procedure
Switzerland	No preliminary ruling procedure
"The former	No preliminary ruling procedure
Yugoslav	
Republic of	
Macedonia"	No proliminary ruling procedure
Tunisia	No preliminary ruling procedure
Turkey	Constitution
	Article 152 If a court which is trying a case finds that the law or the decree having force of law to be
	applied is unconstitutional, or if it is convinced of the seriousness of a claim of
	unconstitutionality submitted by one of the parties, it shall postpone the consideration of the
	case until the Constitutional Court decides on this issue.
	If the court is not convinced of the seriousness of the claim of unconstitutionality, such a
	claim together with the main judgement shall be decided upon by the competent authority of
	appeal.
	Law on the Organisation and Trial Proceedings of the Constitutional Court
	Article 28
	If a court which is trying a case:
	1. finds that provisions of a law or law-amending ordinance to be applied in this case are
	unconstitutional, this decision together with its reasons, or
	2. is convinced of the seriousness of a claim of unconstitutionality submitted by one of the
	parties, a decision explaining the claims and defences of the parties concerned in relation to
	this subject-matter and its own views which led to this conviction, the contents of the file

State	Relevant constitutional and legal provisions		
	together with certified copies of documents relating to this case are sent by the court concerned to the presidency of the Constitutional Court.		
Ukraine	Law on the Constitutional Court Article 83 When, in the process of examination of cases under general court procedure, a dispute develops concerning the constitutionality of norms of a law which is being applied by the court, the examination of the case is suspended.		
	Under such circumstances, a constitutional examination of the case is opened and the case is considered by the Constitutional Court of Ukraine immediately.		
United Kingdom	No preliminary ruling procedure		
United States of America	§1254 US Code ²⁷⁵ Cases in the courts of appeals may be reviewed by the Supreme Court by the following methods: (2) By certification at any time by a court of appeals of any question of law in any civil or criminal case as to which instructions are desired, and upon such certification the Supreme Court may give binding instructions or require the entire record to be sent up for decision of the entire matter in controversy. U.S. Supreme Court Rules Rule 11. Certiorari to a United States Court of Appeals Before Judgment A petition for a writ of certiorari to review a case pending in a United States court of appeals,		
	before judgment is entered in that court, will be granted only upon a showing that the case is of such imperative public importance as to justify deviation from normal appellate practice and to require immediate determination in this Court. See 28 U. S. C. § 2101(e).		
	Rule 19. Procedure on a Certified Question 1. A United States court of appeals may certify to this Court a question or proposition of law on which it seeks instruction for the proper decision of a case. The certificate shall contain a statement of the nature of the case and the facts on which the question or proposition of law arises. Only questions or propositions of law may be certified, and they shall be stated separately and with precision. The certificate shall be repaired as required by Rule 33.2 and shall be signed by the clerk of the court of appeals.		
	2. When a question is certified by a United States court of appeals, this Court, on its own motion or that of a party, may consider and decide the entire matter in controversy. See 28 U. S. C. § 1254(2).		
Uruguay	Article 258 ²⁷⁶ (p.t.) The Judge or Tribunal that cognises in any ordinary judicial proceeding, or the Tribunal of Administrative Disputes, within their jurisdiction and before administering justice, may request <i>ex officio</i> the declaration of unconstitutionality and inapplicability of a law. In this case and in the case of number 2, the proceedings are suspended and the proceeding is elevated to the Supreme Court of Justice. General Code of Proceedings (p.t.) ²⁷⁷ Article 262		
	The complaint can be lodged against the resolution that denies recourse of cassation, an appeal or the exception of unconstitutionality so that the competent superior confirms or revokes the denying resolution.		

http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/28/1254.html

Artículo 258.- La declaración de inconstitucionalidad de una ley y la inaplicabilidad de las disposiciones afectadas por aquélla, podrán solicitarse por todo aquel que se considere lesionado en su interés directo, personal y legítimo: 1°Por vía de acción, que deberá entablar ante la S uprema Corte de Justicia.

²º Por vía de excepción, que podrá oponer en cualqu ier procedimiento judicial.

El Juez o Tribunal que entendiere en cualquier procedimiento judicial, o el Tribunal de lo Contencioso Administrativo, en su caso, también podrá solicitar de oficio la declaración de inconstitucionalidad de una ley y su inaplicabilidad, antes de dictar resolución.

En este caso y en el previsto por el numeral 2º), se suspenderán los procedimientos, elevándose las actuaciones a la Suprema Corte de Justicia.

http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/constituciones/const004.htm

²⁷⁷ El recurso de queja procede contra las resoluciones que denieguen un recurso de casación, de apelación o la excepción de inconstitucionalidad a fin que el superior que corresponda confirme o revoque la resolución denegatoria. <a href="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTexto.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTe

6.2.3 Table: Direct individual access: Constitutional and legal bases

State	Constitution	Laws
Albania	Article 131	Law on the Organisation and Functioning of the
	The Constitutional Court decides on:	Constitutional Court
	f. the final adjudication of the complaints of	Article 30
	individuals for the violation of their	2. The application of persons regarding the
	constitutional rights to due process of law,	violation of a constitutional right are to be
	after all legal remedies for the protection of	presented no later than 2 (two) years from the
	those rights have been exhausted.	time at which evidence of the violation becomes
	Article 134	available to them. If the law provides that the
	1. The Constitutional Court initiates a	applicant may address another authority, he/she
	proceeding only on the request of:	may present the application to the Constitutional
	g. individuals.	Court after all the other legal means in
	2. The subjects contemplated in	protection of such rights have been exhausted.
	subparagraphs dh, e, ë, f and g of	Article 68
	paragraph 1 of this article may make a	1. When a court of any instance or a trial judge
	request only for issues related to their interests.	considers during the trial ex officio or at the request of either party involved that a certain law
	interests.	is unconstitutional and if there is a direct link
		between the law and the solution of the case at
		hand, that particular law shall not be applied in
		the case at hand and after suspending the trial
		the judge shall refer the file to the Constitutional
		Court, which on its side should deliver its verdict
		as to the constitutionality of the said law.
Algeria	No direct individual access	No direct individual access
Andorra	Article 10	Qualified Law on the Constitutional Court
	1. All persons shall have the right to	Article 86
	jurisdiction and to have a ruling founded in	Except in the situations described in articles 95
	the law, and to a due trial before an	and 96 of this Law, the appeal for protection
	impartial tribunal established by law. Article 41	shall be brought against decisions of the final instance of the ordinary courts dismissing
	The rights and freedoms recognised in	applications during the urgent priority procedure
	Chapters 111 and IV are protected by	provided for in article 41.1 of the Constitution.
	regular courts through urgent and preferent	Article 87
	proceedings regulated by law, which in any	1. The respondents or assistants in the
	case shall be transacted in two instances.	proceedings mentioned in the preceding article
	2. A law shall create an exceptional	have locus standi to bring an appeal for
	Procedure of Appeal before the Tribunal	protection.
	Constitutional against the acts of the public	Article 94
	authorities which may violate the essential	2. When no further appeal can be lodged nor is
	contents of the rights mentioned in the	there any further means in defending the
	paragraph above, with the exception of the	constitutional right infringed, the person who has
	case provided for in article 22. Article 102	suffered the infringement of the constitutional
	A constitutional appeal against the acts of	right to jurisdiction may lodge an appeal for protection before the Constitutional Court within
	public authorities impairing fundamental	fifteen working days of the day after notification
	rights may be lodged by:	of the last resolution of refusal or of the date on
	a) Those having been part or accessory to	which he had knowledge of the judicial decision
	the previous legal proceedings referred to	which violated the constitutional right to
	in Article 41.2 of this Constitution.	jurisdiction.
	b) Those having a legal interest related to	-
	non-enforceable provisions or acts of the	
	Consell General.	
	c) The Public Prosecution in case of	
	violation of the fundamental right to	
	jurisdiction.	
Argentina	Section 116	Law on The Organisation of the National
	The Supreme Court and the lower courts of the Nation are empowered to hear and	Judiciary ²⁷⁸ (p.t.) Article 20 ²⁷⁹
	THE NATION ARE EMPOWERED TO BEAT AND	LANCIE ZU

²⁷⁸ http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/115000-119999/116333/norma.htm
279 Article 20. – Los Juzgados de Sección conocen en primera instancia, de todas las causas que se expresan en el artículo 100 [= Section 116 today] de la Constitución, sin incluir en ellas las exceptuadas en el artículo 101 de la misma Constitución, de las contenciosas administrativas y demás que interesen al Fisco Nacional, mas en las de

State	Constitution	Laws
	decide all cases arising under the Constitution and the laws of the Nation, with the exception made in Section 75, subsection 12, and under the treaties made with foreign nations; all cases concerning ambassadors, public ministers and foreign consuls; cases related to admiralty and maritime jurisdiction; matters in which the Nation shall be a party; actions arising between two or more provinces, between one province and the inhabitants of another province, between the inhabitants of different provinces, and between one province or the inhabitants thereof against a foreign state or citizen. Section 117 In the aforementioned cases the Supreme Court shall have appellate jurisdiction, with such regulations and exceptions as Congress may prescribe; but in all matters concerning foreign ambassadors, ministers and consuls, and in those in which a province shall be a party, the Court shall have original and exclusive jurisdiction.	The Section Courts shall sit in first instance concerning all cases provided for in article 100 of the Constitution [=section 116 today], without including the exceptions mentioned in article 101 of the Constitution [=Section 117] [] Article 21 ²⁸⁰ As established by the Constitution and the national laws, it [The Section Court] may sit as appeals court concerning the judgements and resolutions of the inferior Provincial Courts, except if the affected person prefers to petition the Superior Provincial Court or Tribunal. Article 22 ²⁸¹ In all matters mentioned in the two previous articles, the ordinary appeal or plea of nullity to the Supreme Court are open. Law on the writ of amparo Article 1 ²⁸² The writ of amparo shall be admissible against any act or omission by a public authority that, currently or imminently, violates, restricts, alters or threatens manifestly arbitrarily or illegally the rights and guarantees explicitly or implicitly attributed by the National Constitution, with the exception of the individual liberty which is regulated by the <i>habeas corpus</i> . Article 4 ²⁸³ The judge of first instance who has jurisdiction at the place where the act took place or should have taken place shall be competent to cognise of the writ of <i>amparo</i> .
Armenia	Article 101 In conformity with the procedure set forth in the Constitution and the law on the Constitutional Court the application to the Constitutional Court may be filed by: 6) every person in a specific case when the final judicial act has been adopted, when the possibilities of judicial protection have been exhausted and when the	Law on the Constitutional Court Article 25 The bodies and persons determined by Article 101 of the Constitution can appeal to the Constitutional Court in the order prescribed by the Constitution and this Law. Moreover, in cases determined in the Point 6 of Article 101 legal persons are also eligible to appeal to the Constitutional Court according to the Article 42.1

contrabando, lo harán, por ahora, tanto en el territorio de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, cuanto en el resto de la República, ajustándose a las respectivas leyes y disposiciones dictadas y vigente en ellas. http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/115000-119999/116333/norma.htm

²⁸⁰ Article 21. – Puede conocer en grado de apelación de los fallos y resoluciones de los Juzgados inferiores de Provincia, en los casos regidos por la Constitución y Leyes Nacionales, siempre que el agraviado no prefiera concurrir al Juzgado o Tribunal Superior de la Provincia.

http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infoleglinternet/anexos/115000-119999/116333/norma.htm

Art. 22. – En todas las causas mencionadas en los dos artículos precedentes, habrá los ordinarios recursos de apelación o nulidad para ante la Corte Suprema.

http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/115000-119999/116333/norma.htm

²⁸² Artículo 1º — La acción de amparo será admisible contra todo acto u omisión de autoridad pública que, en forma actual o inminente, lesione, restrinja, altere o amenace, con arbitrariedad o ilegalidad manifiesta, los derechos o garantías explícita o implícitamente reconocidas por la Constitución Nacional, con excepción de la libertad individual tutelada por el habeas corpus.

http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/45000-49999/46871/norma.htm

²⁸³ Artículo 4º — Será competente para conocer de la acción de amparo el juez de Primera Instancia con jurisdicción en el lugar en que el acto se exteriorice o tuviere o pudiere tener efecto.

http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/45000-49999/46871/norma.htm

State	Constitution	Laws
State	constitution constitutionality of a law provision applied by the act in question is being challenged;	of the Constitution. Article 69 1. The appeals on the cases described in this Article (hereinafter individual appeals) can be brought by those natural and legal persons who were participants at the courts of general jurisdiction and in specialised courts, in relation of who the law was implemented by a judicial act, who exhausted all the remedies of judicial protection and who believe that the provision of the Law applied for the particular case contradicts the Constitution. 2. The individual appeals can be submitted
Austria	Article 139. (1) The Constitutional Court pronounces on application by a court or an independent	regarding the constitutionality of provisions of Laws adopted by the National Assembly and on referendum. Federal Law on the Constitutional Court Article 82 1. A complaint against an administrative decree
	administrative tribunal whether ordinances issued by a Federal or Land authority are contrary to law, but ex officio in so far as the Court would have to apply such an ordinance in a pending suit. It also pronounces on application by the Federal Government whether ordinances issued by a Land authority are contrary to law and likewise on application by the municipality concerned whether ordinances issued by a municipal affairs supervisory authority in accordance with Article 119a para. 6 are contrary to law. It pronounces furthermore whether ordinances are contrary to law when an application alleges direct infringement of personal rights through such illegality in so far as the ordinance has become operative for the applicant without the delivery of a judicial decision or the issue of a ruling; Art. 89 para. 3 applies analogously to such applications. Article 144. (1) The Constitutional Court pronounces on rulings by administrative authorities including the independent administrative tribunals in so far as the appellant alleges an infringement by the ruling of a constitutionally guaranteed right or the infringement of personal rights on the score of an illegal ordinance, an unconstitutional law, or an unlawful treaty. The complaint can only be filed after all other stages of legal remedy have been exhausted.	in pursuance of Article 144, subparagraph 1 of the B-VG can be lodged only after all administrative remedies have been exhausted, within six weeks following service of the decree delivered at last instance.
Azerbaijan	Article 130. II. Constitutional Court of the Azerbaijan Republic based on inquiry of the President of the Azerbaijan Republic, Milli Majlis of the Azerbaijan Republic, Cabinet of Ministers of the Azerbaijan Republic, Supreme Court of the Azerbaijan Republic, Procurator's Office of the Azerbaijan Republic, Procurator's Majlis of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic takes decisions regarding the following: 1. correspondence of laws of the	Law on the Constitutional Court Article 34. Complaints 34.1. Any person who alleges that his/her rights and freedoms have been violated by the normative legal act of the Legislative and Executive, act of municipality and courts may submit complaint to Constitutional Court to resolve matters provided for by Article 130.3.1-7 of the Constitution of Azerbaijan Republic in order to restore his/her human rights and freedoms. 34.2.Complaints on the matters provided for by
	Azerbaijan Republic, decrees and orders of the President of the Azerbaijan Republic,	Article 130.3.4 of the Constitution of Azerbaijan Republic can be examined by Constitutional

State Constitution Laws decrees of Milli Mailis of the Azerbaijan Court in following cases: Republic, decrees and orders of Cabinet of 34.2.1. If the normative legal act which should Ministers of the Azerbaijan Republic, have been applied was not applied by a court; normative-legal acts of central bodies of executive power to Constitution of the 34.2.2. If normative legal act which should not have been applied was applied by a court; Azerbaijan Republic; 2. correspondence of decrees of the 34.2.3. If normative legal act was not properly President of the Azerbaijan Republic, interpreted by a court; 34.3. In cases provided for by Article 34.2 of the decrees of Cabinet of Ministers of the Azerbaijan Republic, normative-legal acts present law the examination of facts of the case of central bodies of executive power to the examined by the Supreme Court of Azerbaijan Republic shall be inadmissible. laws of the Azerbaijan Republic; 3. correspondence of decrees of Cabinet of Ministers of the Azerbaijan Republic and normative-legal acts of central bodies of executive power to decrees of the President of the Azerbaijan Republic: in cases envisaged by correspondence of decisions of Supreme Court of the Azerbaijan Republic to Constitution and laws of the Azerbaijan Republic: 5. correspondence of acts of municipalities to Constitution of the Azerbaijan Republic, laws of the Azerbaijan Republic, decrees of the President of the Azerbaijan Republic. decrees of Cabinet of Ministers of the Azerbaijan Republic (in Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic - also to Constitution and laws of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic and decrees of Cabinet of Ministers of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic): 6. correspondence of interstate agreements of the Azerbaijan Republic, which have not vet become valid, to Constitution of the Azerbaijan Republic; correspondence of intergovernmental agreements of the Azerbaijan Republic to Constitution and laws of the Azerbaijan Republic; 7. correspondence of Constitution and laws of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic, decrees of Ali Majlis of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic, decrees of Cabinet of Ministers of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic to Constitution of the Azerbaijan Republic; correspondence of laws of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic, decrees of Cabinet of Ministers of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic to laws of the Azerbaijan Republic; correspondence of decrees of Cabinet of Ministers of Nakhichevan Autonomous Republic to decrees of the President of the Azerbaijan Republic and decrees of Cabinet of Ministers of the Azerbaijan Republic: V. Everyone claiming to be the victim of a violation of his/her rights and freedoms by the decisions of legislative, executive and judiciary, municipal acts set forth in the items 1-7 of the Para III of this Article may appeal, in accordance with the procedure provided for by law, to the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Azerbaijan with the view of the restoration of violated human rights and freedoms.

State	Constitution	Laws
Belgium	Article 142	Special Law on the Court
	There is for all Belgium a Constitutional Court, the composition, competences and functioning of which are established by the law.	Article 2 The actions referred to in Article 1 may be brought: 1. by the Council of Ministers, by the
	This Court rules by means of judgments on: 1°those conflicts referred to in Article 141; 2°the violation of Articles 10, 11 and 24 by	government of a Community or a Region; 2. by any natural or legal person who has a justifiable interest; or
	a law, a federate law or a rule as referred to in Article 134; 3° the violation of constitutional articles that the law determines by a law, a federate law or by a rule as referred to in Article 134.	by the presidents of the legislative assemblies, at the request of two-thirds of the membership.
	A matter may be referred to the Court by any authority designated by the law, by any person that can prove an interest or, prejudicially, by any court.	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	VI.3 Jurisdiction.	Rules of the Constitutional Court Article 15
	b The Constitutional Court shall also have appellate jurisdiction over issues under this Constitution arising out of a judgment of any other court in Bosnia and Herzegovina.	The participants to the proceedings shall be as follows: b. the parties to the proceedings that ended in a judgment/decision challenged and the court or body that rendered the challenged judgment/decision (Article VI.3 (b) of the Constitution);
Brazil	Article 5 ²⁸⁴ LXVIII – habeas corpus shall be granted whenever a person suffers or is in danger of suffering violence or coercion against his freedom of locomotion, on account of illegal actions or abuse of power; LXIX – a writ of mandamus shall be issued to protect a clear and perfect right, not covered by habeas corpus or habeas data, whenever the party responsible for the illegal actions or abuse of power is a public official or an agent of a corporate legal entity exercising duties of the Government; LXX – a collective writ of mandamus may be filed by: a) a political party represented in the National Congress; b) a union, a professional association or an association legally constituted and in operation for at least one year, to defend the interests of its members or associates; LXXI – a writ of injunction shall be granted whenever the absence of a regulatory provision disables the exercise of constitutional rights and liberties, as well as the prerogatives inherent to nationality, sovereignty and citizenship; LXXII – habeas data shall be granted: a)to ensure the knowledge of information related to the person of the petitioner, contained in records or databanks of government agencies or of agencies of a public character; b) for the correction of data, when the petitioner does not prefer to do so through a confidential process, either judicial or administrative:	Constitution); Law no. 10,259 of 2001 allowed extraordinary appeals to decisions issued by judges at special higher courts to be forwarded to the Supreme Court

²⁸⁴ http://www.v-brazil.com/government/laws/

State	Constitution	Laws
	LXXIII – any citizen is a legitimate party to	
	file a people's legal action with a view to	
	nullifying an act injurious to the public	
	property or to the property of an entity in	
	which the State participates, to the	
	administrative morality, to the environment	
	and to the historic and cultural heritage, and	
	the author shall, save in the case of proven	
	bad faith, be exempt from judicial costs and	
	from the burden of defeat;	
	Article 102.	
	The Supreme Federal Court is responsible,	
	essentially, for safeguarding the	
	Constitution, and it is within its competence:	
	I – to institute legal proceeding and trial, in	
	the first instance, of:	
	a) direct actions of unconstitutionality of a federal or state law or normative act, and	
	declaratory actions of constitutionality of a	
	federal law or normative act;	
	Text in purple added by CA 3, 17 March	
	1993. This CA created the declaratory	
	actions of constitutionality.	
	b) in common criminal offenses, the	
	President of the Republic, the Vice-	
	President, the members of the National	
	Congress, its own Justices and the	
	Attorney-General of the Republic;	
	c) in common criminal offenses and crimes	
	of malversation, the Ministers of State,	
	except as provided in Article 52, I, the	
	Commanders of Navy, Army and Air Force and the members of the Superior Courts,	
	those of the Federal Court of Accounts and	
	the heads of permanent diplomatic	
	missions;	
	Text in purple added by CA 23, September	
	2nd 1999, which created the positions of	
	Commanders of Navy, Army and Air Force.	
	See comments to Article 84, XIII.	
	d) habeas corpus, when the petitioner is	
	any one of the persons referred to in the	
	preceding subitems; the writ of mandamus	
	and habeas data against acts of the	
	President of the Republic, of the Directing Boards of the Chamber of Deputies and of	
	the Federal Senate, of the Federal Court of	
	Accounts, of the Attorney-General of the	
	Republic and of the Supreme Federal Court	
	itself;	
	i) habeas corpus, when the constraining	
	party is a Superior Court or the petitioner is	
	a court, authority or employee whose acts	
	are directly subject to the jurisdiction of the	
	Supreme Federal Court, or in the case of a	
	crime, subject to the same jurisdiction in	
	one sole instance;	
	p) petitions of provisional remedy in direct	
	actions of unconstitutionality;	
	II – to judge on ordinary appeal: a) habeas corpus, writs of mandamus,	
	habeas data and writs of injunction decided	
	in a sole instance by the Superior Courts, in	
	the event of a denial;	
	b) political crimes;	
	III – to judge, on extraordinary appeal,	

State	Constitution	Laws
	cases decided in a sole or last instance,	
	when the decision appealed:	
	a) is contrary to a provision of this Constitution;	
	b) declares a treaty or a federal law	
	unconstitutional;	
	c) considers valid a law or act of a local government contested in the light of this	
	Constitution.	
	d) considers valid local law contested in the	
	light of federal law. Amendment no. 45 of 2004: instrument of	
	general repercussion was confirmed,	
	setting forth that "in the extraordinary	
	appeal the appellant must demonstrate the	
	general repercussion of the constitutional issue discussed in the case, in accordance	
	with the law, so that the court may decide	
	whether to accept the appeal, being only	
	able to reject it though an unfavorable opinion of two thirds of its members."	
	binding precedent	
	Article 5, LXXI	
Bulgaria	Article 102, I, q, No direct individual access	No direct individual access
Canada	24. Enforcement of guaranteed rights and	Supreme Court Act
	freedoms	Section 37.1
	(1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been	An appeal lies to the [Supreme] Court from a decision of the Federal Court of Appeal in the
	infringed or denied may apply to a court of	case of a controversy between Canada and a
	competent jurisdiction to obtain such	province or between two or more provinces.
	remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.	Section 36 An appeal lies to the [Supreme] Court from an
	52. Primacy of Constitution of Canada	opinion pronounced by the highest court of final
	(1) The Constitution of Canada is the	resort in a province on any matter referred to it
	supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the	for hearing and consideration by the lieutenant governor in council of that province whenever it
	Constitution is, to the extent of the	has been by the statutes of that province
	inconsistency, of no force or effect.	declared that such opinion is to be deemed a
		judgment of the highest court of final resort and that an appeal lies therefrom as from a judgment
		in an action.
		Section 37
		Subject to sections 39 and 42, an appeal to the Supreme Court lies with leave of the highest
		court of final resort in a province from a final
		judgment of that court where, in the opinion of
		that court, the question involved in the appeal is one that ought to be submitted to the Supreme
		Court for decision.
		Section 37.1
		Subject to sections 39 and 42, an appeal to the Court lies with leave of the Federal Court of
		Appeal from a final judgment of the Federal
		Court of Appeal where, in its opinion, the
		question involved in the appeal is one that ought to be submitted to the Court for decision.
		Section 38
		Subject to sections 39 and 42, an appeal to the
		Supreme Court lies on a question of law alone
		with leave of that Court, from a final judgment of the Federal Court or of a court of a province
		other than the highest court of final resort
		the Covernor Conoral propagation a judicial
		the Governor General, pronounced in a judicial proceeding where an appeal lies to the Federal
		proceeding where an appear lies to the rederal

State	Constitution	Laws
		Court of Appeal or to that highest court of final
		resort, if the consent in writing of the parties or
		their solicitors, verified by affidavit, is filed with
		the Registrar of the Supreme Court and with the
		registrar, clerk or prothonotary of the court from which the appeal is to be taken.
		Section 39
		No appeal to the Court lies under section 37,
		37.1 or 38 from a judgment in a criminal cause,
		in proceedings for or on:
		a) a writ of habeas corpus, certiorari or
		prohibition arising out of a criminal charge; or
		b) a writ of <i>habeas corpus</i> arising out of a claim for extradition made under a treaty.
		Section 40
		1. Subject to subsection 3, an appeal lies to the
		Supreme Court from any final or other judgment
		of the Federal Court of Appeal or of the highest
		court of final resort in a province, or a judge
		thereof, in which judgment can be had in the
		particular case sought to be appealed to the
		Supreme Court, whether or not leave to appeal to the Supreme Court has been refused by any
		other court, where, with respect to the particular
		case sought to be appealed, the Supreme Court
		is of the opinion that any question involved
		therein is, by reason of its public importance or
		the importance of any issue of law or any issue
		of mixed law and fact involved in that question, one that ought to be decided by the Supreme
		Court or is, for any other reason, of such a
		nature or significance as to warrant decision by
		it, and leave to appeal from that judgment is
		accordingly granted by the Supreme Court.
		3. No appeal to the Court lies under this section
		from the judgment of any court acquitting or convicting or setting aside or affirming a
		conviction or acquittal of an indictable offence
		or, except in respect of a question of law or
		jurisdiction, of an offence other than an
		indictable offence.
		4. Whenever the Court has granted leave to
		appeal, the Court or a judge may, notwithstanding anything in this Act, extend the
		time within which the appeal may be allowed.
		Section 41
		Notwithstanding anything in this Act, the Court
		has jurisdiction as provided in any other Act
		conferring jurisdiction.
		Section 42 1. No appeal lies to the Court from a judgment
		or order made in the exercise of judicial
		discretion except in proceedings in the nature of
		a suit or proceeding in equity originating
		elsewhere than in the Province of Quebec and
		except in <i>mandamus</i> proceedings.
		2. This section does not apply to an appeal under section 40.
		Section 52
		The Court shall have and exercise exclusive
		ultimate appellate civil and criminal jurisdiction
		within and for Canada, and the judgment of the
		Court is, in all cases, final and conclusive.

https://www.presidencia.cl/documentos/Constituci%F3n%20Pol%EDtica.pdf

La Constitución asegura a todas las personas: El derecho a desarrollar cualquiera actividad económica que no sea contraria a la moral, al orden público o a la seguridad nacional, respetando las normas legales que la regulen.

²⁸⁷ El que por causa de actos u omisiones arbitrarios o ilegales sufra privación, perturbación o amenaza en el legítimo ejercicio de los derechos y garantías establecidos en el artículo 19, números 1º, 2º, 3º inciso cuarto, 4º, 5º, 6º, 9º inciso final, 11º,12º, 13º, 15º, 16º en lo relativo a la libertad de trabajo y al derecho a su libre elección y libre contratación, y a lo establecido en el inciso cuarto, 19º, 21º, 22º, 23º, 24º, y 25º podrá ocurrir por sí o por cualquiera a su nombre, a la Corte de Apelaciones respectiva, la que adoptará de inmediato las providencias que juzgue necesarias para restablecer el imperio del derecho y asegurar la debida protección del afectado, sin perjuicio de los demás derechos que pueda hacer valer ante la autoridad o los tribunales correspondientes.

Procederá, también, el recurso de protección en el caso del Nº 8º del artículo 19, cuando el derecho a vivir en un medio ambiente libre de contaminación sea afectado por un acto u omisión ilegal imputable a una autoridad o persona determinada. ²⁸⁸ Todo individuo que se hallare arrestado, detenido o preso con infracción de lo dispuesto en la Constitución o en

²⁰⁸ Todo individuo que se hallare arrestado, detenido o preso con infracción de lo dispuesto en la Constitución o en las leyes, podrá ocurrir por sí, o por cualquiera a su nombre, a la magistratura que señale la ley, a fin de que ésta ordene se guarden las formalidades legales y adopte de inmediato las providencias que juzgue necesarias para restablecer el imperio del derecho y asegurar la debida protección del afectado.

State Constitution I	Laws
The Constitutional Tribunal is competent to: 7 2° Decide on the questions of i	Any person can bring a charge against infractions against article 19 number 21 of the Political Constitution of Chile.

²⁸⁹ Artículo 93.Son atribuciones del Tribunal Constitucional: 1º Ejercer el control de constitucionalidad de las leyes que interpreten algún precepto de la Constitución, de las leyes orgánicas constitucionales y de las normas de un tratado que versen sobre materias propias de estas últimas, antes de su promulgación; 2º Resolver sobre las cuestiones de constitucionalidad de los autos acordados dictados por la Corte Suprema, las Cortes de Apelaciones y el Tribunal Calificador de Elecciones:

6° Resolver, por la mayoría de sus miembros en ejer cicio, la inaplicabilidad de un precepto legal cuya aplicación en cualquier gestión que se siga ante un tribunal ordinario o especial, resulte contraria a la Constitución;

7º Resolver por la mayoría de los cuatro quintos de sus integrantes en ejercicio, la inconstitucionalidad de un precepto legal declarado inaplicable en conformidad a lo dispuesto en el numeral anterior;

En el caso del número 2º, el Tribunal podrá conocer de la materia a requerimiento del Presidente de la República, de cualquiera de las Cámaras o de diez de sus miembros. Asimismo, podrá requerir al Tribunal toda persona que sea parte en juicio o gestión pendiente ante un tribunal ordinario o especial, o desde la primera actuación del procedimiento penal, cuando sea afectada en el ejercicio de sus derechos fundamentales por lo dispuesto en el respectivo auto acordado.

En el caso del número 6º, la cuestión podrá ser planteada por cualquiera de las partes o por el juez que conoce del asunto. Corresponderá a cualquiera de las salas del Tribunal declarar, sin ulterior recurso, la admisibilidad de la cuestión siempre que verifique la existencia de una gestión pendiente ante el tribunal ordinario o especial, que la aplicación del precepto legal impugnado pueda resultar decisivo en la resolución de un asunto, que la impugnación esté fundada razonablemente y se cumplan los demás

requisitos que establezca la ley. A esta misma sala le corresponderá resolver la suspensión del procedimiento en que se ha originado la acción de inaplicabilidad por inconstitucionalidad.

En el caso del número 7°, una vez resuelta en sente ncia previa la declaración de inaplicabilidad de un precepto legal, conforme al número 6° de este artículo, habrá acción pública para requerir al Tribunal la declaración de inconstitucionalidad, sin perjuicio de la facultad de éste para declararla de oficio. Corresponderá a la ley orgánica constitucional respectiva establecer los requisitos de admisibilidad, en el caso de que se ejerza la acción pública, como asimismo regular el procedimiento que deberá seguirse

- para actuar de oficio.

 290 1. El recurso o acción de protección se interpondrá ante la Corte de Apelaciones en cuya jurisdicción se hubiere cometido el acto o incurrido en la omisión arbitraria o ilegal que ocasionen privación, perturbación o amenaza en el legítimo ejercicio de las garantías constitucionales respectivas, dentro del plazo fatal de treinta días corridos contados desde la ejecución del acto o la ocurrencia de la omisión o, según la naturaleza de éstos, desde que se haya tenido noticias o conocimiento cierto de los mismos, lo que se hará constar en autos.
- 2. El recurso se interpondrá por el afectado o por cualquiera otra persona en su nombre, capaz de parecer en juicio, aunque no tenga para ello mandato especial, por escrito en papel simple y aún por telégrafo o télex.

Presentado el recurso, el Tribunal examinará en cuenta si ha sido interpuesto en tiempo y si se mencionan hechos que puedan constituir la vulneración de garantías de las indicadas en el artículo 20 de la Constitución Política de la República. Si su presentación es extemporánea o no se señalan hechos que puedan constituir vulneración a garantías de las mencionadas en la referida disposición constitucional, lo declarará inadmisible desde luego por resolución fundada, la que sólo será susceptible del recurso de reposición ante el mismo tribunal, el que deberá interponerse dentro de tercero día.

5. Para mejor acierto del fallo se podrán decretar todas las diligencias que el Tribunal estime necesarias.

La Corte apreciará de acuerdo con las reglas de la sana crítica los antecedentes que se acompañen al recurso y los demás que se agreguen durante su tramitación.- La sentencia que se dicte, ya sea que lo acoja, rechace o declare inadmisible el recurso, será apelable ante la Corte Suprema.

http://www.justicia.cl/documentos/docs_auto1.html, http://www.minsal.cl/juridico/CIRCULAR_35_07.doc

²⁹¹ Cualquier persona podrá denunciar las infracciones al artículo 19 número 21 de la Constitución Política de la República de Chile.

http://www.cecoch.cl/htm/revista/docs/estudiosconst/5n_2_5_2007/7_el_recurso_economico.pdf

State	Constitution	Laws
<u> </u>	of the parties or by the judge who decides	
	on the matter.	
	In the case of number 2°, the Tribunal shall	
	cognise on the matter at the request of the	
	President of the Republic, of any of the Chambers or of ten of their members. Also,	
	any person who is party to a pending	
	proceeding before an ordinary or special	
	tribunal or from the first action in a penal	
	proceeding may formulate a request to the	
	Tribunal, if he is affected in his fundamental	
	constitutional rights by the respective autonomous rule.	
	In the case of number 6°, the question may	
	be lodged by any of the parties or by the	
	judge that decides on the matter.	
	In the case of number 7°, once a	
	provisional judgement declaring the	
	inapplicability of a legal provision has been delivered in conformity with number 6° of	
	this article, a public action can be taken to	
	request the declaration of	
	unconstitutionality of the Tribunal, without	
	prejudice to the latter's right to declare the	
	provision unconstitutional ex officio. The	
	respective organic constitutional law will establish the requisites for admissibility in	
	the case of public action, and to regulate	
	the proceeding that will need to be followed	
	in order to act ex officio.	
Croatia	Article 18	Constitutional Act on the Constitutional Court
	The right to appeal against individual legal	Article 38 (1) Every individual or legal person has the right
	acts made in first-instance proceedings before courts or other authorised bodies	(1) Every individual or legal person has the right to propose the institution of proceedings to
	shall be guaranteed.	review the constitutionality of the law and the
	The right to appeal may exceptionally be	legality and constitutionality of other regulations.
	denied in cases specified by law if other	Article 40
	legal protection is ensured. Article 46	(1) The proposal to institute proceedings to
	Everyone have the right to submit petitions	review the constitutionality of the law or the constitutionality and legality of other regulations
	and complaints, to make proposals to	contains, as a rule, the same as the request.
	government and other public bodies and to	(2) The Constitutional Court shall institute
	receive answers thereto.	proceedings within a term of one year after the
	Article 128	proposal has been lodged.
	The Constitutional Court of Croatia shall:	Article 62
	- decide upon constitutional suits against individual decisions by state bodies, bodies	(1) Everyone may lodge a constitutional complaint with the Constitutional Court if he
	of local and regional self-government units	deems that the individual act of a state body, a
	and legal persons with public authorities	body of local and regional self-government, or a
	when those decisions have violated basic	legal person with public authority, which decided
	freedoms and rights of man and citizen, as	about his/her rights and obligations, or about
	well as the right to a local and regional self-	suspicion or accusation for a criminal act, has
	government, guaranteed by the Constitution of the Republic of Croatia.	violated his/her human rights or fundamental freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution, or
	Concatation of the Republic of Groduct.	his/her right to local and regional self-
		government guaranteed by the Constitution
		(hereinafter: constitutional right).
Cyprus	Article 144	
	1. A party to any judicial proceedings,	
	including proceedings on appeal, may, at any stage thereof, raise the question of the	
	unconstitutionality of any law or decision or	
	any provision thereof material for the	
	determination of any matter at issue in such	
	proceedings and thereupon the Court	
	before which such question is raised shall	

State	Constitution	Laws
State	reserve the question for the decision of the Supreme Constitutional Court and stay further proceedings until such question is determined by the Supreme Constitutional Court. Article 146 1. The Supreme Constitutional Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction to adjudicate finally on a recourse made to it on a complaint that a decision, an act or omission of any organ, authority or person, exercising any executive or administrative authority is contrary to any of the provisions of this Constitution or of any law or is made in excess or in abuse of powers vested in such organ or authority or person. 2. Such a recourse may be made by a person whose any existing legitimate interest, which he has either as a person or by virtue of being a member of a	Laws
	Community, is adversely and directly affected by such decision or act or	
Czech Republic	Article 87 (1) The Constitutional Court has jurisdiction: a) to annul statutes or individual provisions thereof if they are in conflicts with the constitutional order; b) to annul other legal enactments or individual provisions thereof if they are in conflict with the constitutional order, a statute; d) over constitutional complaints against final decisions or other actions by public authorities infringing constitutionally guaranteed fundamental rights and basic freedoms;	Constitutional Court Act Article 64 (1)A petition, under Article 87 para. 1, lit. a) of the Constitution, proposing the annulment of a statute, or individual provisions thereof, may be submitted by: e) anyone who submits a constitutional complaint under the conditions stated in § 74 of this Statute or who submits a petition for rehearing under the conditions stated in § 119 para. 4 of this Statute. (2) A petition, under Article 87 para. 1, lit. b) of the Constitution, proposing the annulment of some other enactment, or individual provisions thereof, may be submitted by: d) anyone who submits a constitutional complaint under the conditions stated in § 74 of this Statute or who submits a petition for rehearing under the conditions stated in § 119 para. 4 of this Statute; Article 72 (1) A constitutional complaint may be submitted: a) pursuant to Article 87 para. 1, lit. d) of the Constitution, by a natural or legal person, if she alleges that her fundamental rights and basic freedoms guaranteed in the constitutional order (hereinafter "constitutionally guaranteed fundamental rights and basic freedoms") have been infringed as a result of the final decision in a proceeding to which she was a party, of a measure, or of some other encroachment by a public authority (hereinafter "action by a public authority"). Article 74 A complainant may submit, together with his constitutional complaint, a petition proposing the annulment of a statute or some other enactment, or individual provisions thereof, the application of which resulted in the situation which is the subject of the constitutional complaint, if the complainant alleges it to be in conflict with a constitutional act, or with a statute,

State	Constitution	Laws
		enactment. [to be combined with Art. 78]
Denmark	§60. (1). The High Court of the Realm shall try such actions as may be brought by the King or the Folketing against Ministers. (2) With the consent of the Folketing, the King may also cause other persons to be tried before the High Court of the Realm for crimes which he may deem to be particularly dangerous to the State.	Administration of Justice Act Section 371 1. Appeals may not be lodged against judgments pronounced by a High Court as court of second instance. The Board of Appeal may, however, permit an examination in a court of third instance if the case concerns a fundamental principle. 2. An application for the permission referred to in the second sentence of subsection (1) above must be submitted to the Board of Appeal within 8 weeks of pronouncement of the judgment concerned. The Board of Appeal may, however, exceptionally, grant such permission if the application is submitted later, provided it is within one year of pronouncement of the
Estonia	Article 152 If any law or another legal act is in conflict with the Constitution, it shall not be applied by the Court in trying a case. If any law or other legal act is in conflict with the provisions and spirit of the Constitution, it shall be declared null and void by the National Court.	judgment. Constitutional Review Court Procedure Act §. 16. A person who finds that a resolution of the Riigikogu violates his or her rights may file with the Supreme Court a request for the repeal of the resolution of the Riigikogu. §. 18. A person who finds that a decision of the President of the Republic concerning appointment to or release from office of an official violates his or her rights, may file with to the Supreme Court a request for the repeal of the decision of the President of the Republic.
Finland	Section 106 If, in a matter being tried by a court of law, the application of an Act would be in evident conflict with the Constitution, the court of law shall give primacy to the provision in the Constitution.	Supreme Court Act Article 3 The Supreme Court shall examine and decide as the final instance 1. all litigation which according to law or special decrees may have been brought before the judicial department of the Senate of Finland; 2. appeals against the decisions and actions of authorities, which until now have been subject to appeal to the judicial department of the Senate; 3. appeals against the judgments and decisions of the Land Court; 4. charges for misconduct in office committed by the President or a member of a court of appeal in the performance of his duties; and 5. applications for the restoration of lapsed time and for the annulment of a final judgement.
France	Article 61-1 ²⁹² [Entrée en vigueur dans les conditions fixées par les lois et lois organiques nécessaires à leur application (article 46-1 de la loi constitutionnelle n° 2008-724 du 23 juillet 2008)] Lorsque, à l'occasion d'une instance en cours devant une juridiction, il est soutenu qu'une disposition législative porte atteinte aux droits et libertés que la Constitution garantit, le Conseil constitutionnel peut être saisi de cette question sur renvoi du Conseil d'État ou de la Cour de cassation qui se prononce dans un délai déterminé. Une loi organique détermine les conditions d'application du présent article.	-

http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/html/constitution/constitution2.htm

State	Constitution	Laws
Georgia	Article 89 1. The Constitutional Court of Georgia on the basis of a constitutional claim or a submission of the President of Georgia, the Government, not less than one fifth of the members of the Parliament, a court, the higher representative bodies the Autonomous Republic of Abkhazia and the Autonomous Republic of Ajara, the Public Defender or a citizen in accordance with a procedure established by the Organic Law shall: a. adjudicate upon the constitutionality of a Constitutional Agreement, law, normative acts of the President and the Government, the normative acts of the higher state bodies of the Autonomous Republic Abkhazia and the Autonomous Republic of Ajara (changes are added by the Constitutional Laws of Georgia of 20 April 2000 and 30 March 2001); f. consider on the basis of a constitutional claim of a citizen constitutionality of normative acts in terms of the issues of Chapter Two of the Constitution;	Law on the Constitutional Court Chapter One Principles of constitutional proceedings Article 1 1. Constitutional proceedings before the Court shall be conducted in conformity with the equality of the parties and the adversarial principle. 2. Individuals and bodies listed in paragraph 1 of Articles 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41 and in Article 42 of Georgia's Organic Law on the Constitutional Court of Georgia shall have equal rights to address the Constitutional Court directly. Article 39 1. The following shall have the right to lodge a constitutional claim on constitutionality of a normative act or a particular provisions thereof: a) Citizens of Georgia, other individuals residing in Georgia and legal entities of Georgia, if they believe that their rights and freedoms recognised by Chapter Two of the Constitution of Georgia are infringed or may be directly infringed upon;
Germany	Article 93 (1) The Federal Constitutional Court shall rule: 4a. on constitutional complaints which may be filed by anybody claiming that one of their basic rights or one of their rights under paragraph (4) of Article 20 or under Article 33, 38, 101, 103 or 104 has been violated by public authority; Article 94 (2) The constitution and procedure of the Federal Constitutional Court shall be governed by a federal law which shall specify the cases in which its decisions have the force of law. Such law may make a complaint of unconstitutionality conditional upon the exhaustion of all other legal remedies and provide for a special admissibility procedure.	Law on the Federal Constitutional Court Article 13 The Federal Constitutional Court shall decide in the cases determined by the Basic Law, to wit 8a. on constitutional complaints (Article 93 (1) (4 a) and (4 b) of the Basic Law), Article 90 1. Any person who claims that one of his basic rights or one of his rights under paragraph 4 of Article 20, Articles 33, 38, 101, 103 and 104 of the Basic Law has been violated by public authority may lodge a constitutional complaint with the Federal Constitutional Court. Article 95 Law on the Federal Constitutional Court 1. If the constitutional complaint is upheld, the decision shall state which provision of the Basic Law has been infringed and by which act or omission. The Federal Constitutional Court may at the same time declare that any repetition of the act or omission against which the complaint was directed will infringe the Basic Law. 2. If a constitutional complaint against a decision is upheld, the Federal Constitutional Court shall quash the decision and in cases pursuant to the first sentence of Article 90 (2) above it shall refer the matter back to a competent court. 3. If a constitutional complaint against a law is upheld, the law shall be declared null and void. The same shall apply if a constitutional complaint pursuant to paragraph 2 above is upheld because the quashed decision is based on an unconstitutional law.
Greece	Article 100 1. A Special Highest Court shall be established, the jurisdiction of which shall comprise: d) Settlement of any conflict between the courts and the administrative authorities, or between the Supreme Administrative Court	Law no. 345 establishing the Special Highest Court Article 48 Disputes concerning assessment of the constitutionality of a law or its interpretation 1. Where conflicting judgments have been delivered by the Council of State, the Supreme

	Constitution	Laws
	and the ordinary administrative courts on one hand and the civil and criminal courts on the other, or between the Court of Auditors and any other court. e) Settlement of controversies on whether the content of a statute enacted by Parliament is contrary to the Constitution, or on the interpretation of provisions of such statute when conflicting judgments have been pronounced by the Supreme Administrative Court, the Supreme Civil and Criminal Court or the Court of Auditors.	Court or the Comptrollers Council as to the assessment of the constitutionality of a law or its interpretation, the Special Court shall resolve the conflict at the request of: b. any person having a lawful interest. Should the Council of State, the Supreme Court or the Comptrollers Council wish to deliver a decision concerning assessment of the constitutionality of a law or its interpretation and conflicting with a previous decision of another of these authorities which has been invoked by one of the parties or is known to the authority so wishing, it shall refer to the Special Court by preliminary ruling.
Hungary	Article 32/A. (1) The Constitutional Court shall review the constitutionality of laws and perform the tasks assigned to its jurisdiction by statute. (2) The Constitutional Court shall annul the statutes and other legal norms that it finds to be unconstitutional. (3) Everyone has the right to initiate proceedings of the Constitutional Court in the cases specified by statute.	Act no. XXXII on the Constitutional Court Article 1 The competence of the Constitutional Court shall comprise the following: b. the examination of the unconstitutionality of legal rules as well as other legal means of State control; d. the adjudication of constitutional complaints submitted because of alleged violations of constitutional rights; e. the elimination of unconstitutionality manifesting itself in omission; Article 21 2. The procedure provided in Article 1, point b may be initiated by anyone. 4. The procedure provided in Article 1, points d and e may be initiated by anyone. Article 38 1. A judge shall initiate the proceedings of the Constitutional Court while suspending the judicial process if he/she in the course of any pending case, he/she considers unconstitutional the legal rule or other legal means of the State control which he/she needs to apply. 2. In a petition, anybody considering a legal rule to be applied in his/her pending process unconstitutional, may initiate the action of the judge provided in section 1. Article 48 1. Anybody aggrieved by the application of an unconstitutional legal rule who has exhausted all other legal remedies or has no other remedy available, may submit a constitutional complaint to the Constitutional Court because of the violation of his/her constitutional rights.
Iceland		Law No. 91/1991 on Procedure in Civil Cases as amended by Law No. 38/1994 Article 143 3. Anyone who considers that a district court judge, in his capacity as such, has performed a breach against him has the right to present an accusation against him by complaint appeal to the Supreme Court, who may issue an admonition to the judge or impose on him by judgement the penalty of a fine to the State. Part XXV Appeals to a higher court Article 151 [1. Parties are permitted to make an appeal to

State	Constitution	Laws
		judgement, subject to the limitations following from other provisions of this Law. In an appeal, a reconsideration of decrees and decisions made in a district court may be sought. 3. A judgement can be appealed against so that it will be materially changed or confirmed, it will be quashed and the case sent to the district court or dismissed from the district court. 4. Both or all parties are permitted to appeal against a judgement. The case shall then be heard in unison before the Supreme Court. 5. The right to appeal a case may not be assigned, either verbally or silently, until a judgement has been rendered in the district court.]1 1 Law No. 38/1994, Article 5
Ireland	Article 15 4. 2° Every law enacted by the Oireachtas which is in any respect repugnant to this Constitution or to any provision thereof, shall, but to the extent only of such repugnancy, be invalid. Article 34 3. 2° Save as otherwise provided by this Article, the jurisdiction of the High Court shall extend to the question of the validity of any law having regard to the provisions of this Constitution, and no such question shall be raised (whether by pleading, argument or otherwise) in any Court established under this or any other Article of this Constitution other than the High Court or the Supreme Court. 3° The Supreme Court. 3° The Supreme Court. 3° The Supreme Court shall, with such exceptions and subject to such regulations as may be prescribed by law, have appellate jurisdiction from all decisions of the High Court, and shall also have appellate jurisdiction from such decisions of other courts as may be prescribed by law. 4° No law shall be enacted excepting from the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court cases which involve questions as to the validity of any law having regard to the provisions of this Constitution.	VF LO reply: Order 84, Rule 20(4) of the Rules of the Superior Courts provides that leave to apply for judicial review shall not be granted unless the applicant has sufficient interest in the matter to which the application relates. It is submitted by Hogan and Morgan that this formulation of locus standi applies to all remedies, including challenges to the validity of a law on the basis of unconstitutionality ²⁹³ .
Israel		Basic Law: The Judiciary ²⁹⁴ Article 15 (b) The Supreme Court shall hear appeals against judgments and other decisions of the District Courts. (d) Without prejudice to the generality of the provisions of subsection (c), the Supreme Court sitting as a High Court of Justice shall be competent — (2) to order State and local authorities and the officials and bodies thereof, and other persons carrying out public functions under law, to do or refrain from doing any act in the lawful exercise of their functions or, if they were improperly elected or appointed, to refrain from acting; (3) to order courts (batei mishpat and batei din)

Hogan, Gerard & Morgan, David Gwynn, *Administration Law in Ireland*, 3rd Ed., Roundhall, Sweet & Maxwell, Dublin, 1998, p. 740

294

http://www.knesset.gov.il/laws/special/eng/basic8_eng.htm

State	Constitution	Laws
		and bodies and persons having judicial or quasi- judicial powers under law, other than courts dealt with by this Law and other than religious courts (batei din), to hear, refrain from hearing, or continue hearing a particular matter or to void a proceeding improperly taken or a decision improperly given; (4) to order religious courts (batei din) to hear a particular matter within their jurisdiction or to refrain from hearing or continue hearing a particular matter not within their jurisdiction, provided that the court shall not entertain an application under this paragraph is the applicant did not raise the question of jurisdiction at the earliest opportunity; and if he had no measurable opportunity to raise the question of jurisdiction until a decision had been given by a religious court (beit din), the court may quash a proceeding taken or a decision given by the religious court (beit din) without authority.
Italy	Article 24 Everyone can take judicial action to protect individual rights and legitimate interests. The right to defence is inviolable at every stage and moment of the proceedings. The indigent are assured, through appropriate institutions, the means for action and defence before all levels of jurisdiction. The law determines the conditions and the means for the reparation for judicial errors. Constitutional Law No. 1 of 9 February 1948 Section 1 Questions of constitutionality regarding an Act of Parliament or a central government statutory measure having the force of law raised by a court or by a party to judicial proceedings or not deemed by a court of law to be manifestly groundless, shall be referred to the Constitutional Court for a decision.	Provisions governing the review of constitutionality and guaranteeing the independence of the Constitutional Court Section 1 Questions of constitutionality regarding an Act of Parliament or a central government statutory measure having the force of law raised by a court or by a party to judicial proceedings or not deemed by a court of law to be manifestly groundless, shall be referred to the Constitutional Court for a decision. Law on the composition and procedures of the Constitutional Court Section 23 In the course of a judicial proceeding, any party to the case or the Public Prosecutor (Pubblico Ministero) may raise the issue of unconstitutionality in the appropriate form, indicating: a. the provisions of the central or regional government Act or statutory measure deemed to be unconstitutional; b. the provisions of the Constitution or the constitutional laws allegedly infringed thereby. If the case cannot be tried without first resolving the question of constitutionality, or if the trial court does not consider that the question of constitutionality raised is groundless, it shall issue an order referring the matter immediately to the Constitutional Court, setting out the terms and the reasons for raising the question of constitutionality, and shall suspend trial proceedings. Section 24 A court order rejecting the claim of unconstitutionality as being manifestly irrelevant or groundless must include adequate reasons. The same claim may be filed again at the beginning of proceedings at each subsequent instance.
Japan	Article 81 The Supreme Court is the court of last resort with power to determine the constitutionality of any law, order, regulation or official act.	

State	Constitution	Laws
Kazakhstan	No direct individual access	No direct individual access
Kazaknstan Korea, Republic	Article 111 The Constitutional Court shall have jurisdiction over the following matters: 5. Constitutional complaint as prescribed by Act.	Constitutional Court Act Article 2 (Jurisdiction) The Constitutional Court shall have jurisdiction over the following issues: 5. Constitutional complaint. Article 41 (Request for Adjudication on the Constitutionality of Statutes) (1) When the issue of whether or not statutes are constitutional is relevant to the judgment of the original case, the ordinary court(including the military court; hereinafter the same shall apply) shall request to the Constitutional Court, ex officio or by decision upon a motion by the party, an adjudication on the constitutionality of statutes. Article 68 (Causes for Request) (1) Any person who claims that his basic right which is guaranteed by the Constitution has been violated by an exercise or non-exercise of governmental power may file a constitutional complaint, except the judgments of the ordinary courts, with the Constitutional Court: Provided, That if any relief process is provided by other laws, no one may file a constitutional complaint without having exhausted all such processes. (2) If the motion made under Article 41 (1) for adjudication on constitutionality of statutes is rejected, the party may file a constitutional complaint with the Constitutional Court. In this case, the party may not repeatedly move to request for adjudication on the constitutionality of statutes for the same reason in the procedure of the case concerned.
Kyrgysztan	Article 82 3. The Constitutional Court shall: 8) render decisions concerning the constitutionality of practices in the application of laws which affect the constitutional rights of citizens. Article 85 3. The Constitutional Court: 1) shall declare laws and other legal and regulatory acts unconstitutional if they contradict the Constitution;	Law on constitutional proceedings including draft amendments of 2008 Article 14 The following entities may lodge applications with the Constitutional Court of the Kyrgyz Republic: 10) legal entities and citizens – on questions directly affecting their constitutional rights if these do not lie within the competence of other courts. Law on the Constitutional Court Article 13
		The Constitutional Court of the Kyrgyz Republic may: 1) admit laws other than constitutional and other normative legal acts in case of their inconsistency with the Constitution; 2) settle the disputes associated with functioning, applying and interpreting the Constitution; 7) cancel the decisions of local government bodies inconsistent with the Constitution of the Kyrgyz Republic; 8) render decisions on constitutionality of the legal practice affecting the constitutional rights of citizens. The Constitutional Court shall not consider the issues of lawfulness of sentences and other

State	Constitution	Laws
		decisions rendered by courts, arbitration courts, investigation agencies or public prosecutor's offices with regard to civil, criminal, administrative or arbitration cases unless such decisions have been rendered based on the legislation inconsistent with the Constitution.
Latvia	Article 85 In Latvia, there shall be a Constitutional Court, which, within its jurisdiction as provided for by law, shall review cases concerning the compliance of laws with the Constitution, as well as other matters regarding which jurisdiction is conferred upon it by law. The Constitutional Court shall have the right to declare laws or other enactments or parts thereof invalid.	Law on the Constitutional Court Article 19.2 1. Any person, who holds that his/her fundamental rights, established by the Constitution, have been violated by applying a normative act, which is not in compliance with the legal norm of higher legal force, may submit a claim (an application) to the Constitutional Court.
Liechtenstein	Article 43 The right of complaint is guaranteed. Any citizen shall be entitled to lodge a complaint regarding any action or procedure on the part of a public authority which is contrary to the Constitution, the law or the official regulations and detrimental to his rights or interests. Such complaint shall be addressed to that authority which is immediately superior to the authority concerned and may, if necessary, be pursued to the highest authority, except when the right of recourse may be barred by a legal restriction. If a complaint thus submitted is rejected by the superior authority, the latter shall be bound to declare to the complaining party the reasons for its decision. Article 104 1) A State Court shall be established by a special law as a court of public law to protect rights accorded by the Constitution, to decide in conflicts of jurisdiction between the law courts and the administrative authorities and to act as a disciplinary court for members of the Government.	Constitutional Court Act Article 15 1) The Constitutional Court shall decide on complaints to the extent that the complainant claims a violation, by a final decision or order in the last instance issued by a public authority, of one of his constitutionally guaranteed rights or of one of his rights guaranteed by international conventions for which the lawmaking power has explicitly recognised an individual right of complaint 3) Moreover, the Constitutional Court shall decide on complaints to the extent that the complainant claims an immediate violation, by a law, an ordinance, or an international treaty, of one of his constitutionally guaranteed rights or of one of his rights guaranteed by international conventions for which the lawmaking power has explicitly recognised an individual right of complaint (paragraph 2), and the legal provision in question has become effective for the complainant without a decision or order having been issued by a public authority. Article 20 1) The Constitutional Court shall decide on the compliance of ordinances or individual provisions thereof with the Constitution, laws, and international treaties: c) on application of at least 100 citizens eligible to vote, if such application is submitted with one month after publication of the ordinance in the Liechtenstein Legal Gazette.
Lithuania	No direct individual access	No direct individual access
Luxembourg	Article 95 ^{ter} (1) The Constitutional Court decides, by way of arrêt, on the conformity of the laws with the Constitution. (2) The Constitutional Court is seized, in a prejudicial manner, pursuant to the modalities to be determined by the law, by any court to decide on the conformity of the laws, save the laws approving treaties, to the Constitution.	Law on the Organisation of the Constitutional Court Article 6 When a party raises a question concerning a law's conformity with the Constitution before an ordinary court or an administrative court, that court shall refer the matter to the Constitutional Court. The court shall not be required to refer the matter to the Constitutional Court if, in its view: a. a decision on the matter raised is not necessary for it to deliver its judgment; b. the constitutionality issue is without foundation; c. the Constitutional Court has already ruled on a question submitted to it concerning the same

State	Constitution	Laws
		matter.
Malta	46.	European Convention Act
	(1) Subject to the provisions of subsections	Article 4
	(6) and (7) of this section, any person who	1. Any person who alleges that any of the
	alleges that any of the provisions of sections 33 to 45 (inclusive) of this	Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, has been, is being or is likely to be contravened in
	Constitution has been, is being or is likely to	relation to him, or such other person as the Civil
	be contravened in relation to him, or such	Court, First Hall, in Malta may appoint at the
	other person as the Civil Court, First Hall, in	instance of any person who so alleges, may,
	Malta may appoint at the instance of any	without prejudice to any other action with
	person who so alleges, may, without	respect to the same matter that is lawfully
	prejudice to any other action with respect to	available, apply to the Civil Court, First Hall, for
	the same matter that is lawfully available,	redress.
	apply to the Civil Court, First Hall, for	2. The Civil Court, First Hall, shall have original
	redress. (2) The Civil Court, First Hall, shall have	jurisdiction to hear and determine any application made by any person in pursuance of
	original jurisdiction to hear and determine	subsection 1 of this section, and may make
	any application made by any person in	such orders, issue such writs and give such
	pursuance of subsection (1) of this section,	directions as it may consider appropriate for the
	and may make such orders, issue such	purpose of enforcing, or securing the
	writs and give such directions as it may	enforcement, of the Human Rights and
	consider appropriate for the purpose of	Fundamental Freedoms to the enjoyment of
	enforcing, or securing the enforcement of,	which the person concerned is entitled
	any of the provisions of the said sections 33	
	to 45 (inclusive) to the protection of which the person concerned is entitled:	
	Provided that the Court may, if it considers	
	it desirable so to do, decline to exercise its	
	powers under this subsection in any case	
	where it is satisfied that adequate means of	
	redress for the contravention alleged are or	
	have been available to the person	
	concerned under any other law.	
	(3) If in any proceedings in any court other than the Civil Court, First Hall, or the	
	Constitutional Court any question arises as	
	to the contravention of any of the provisions	
	of the said sections 33 to 45 (inclusive), that	
	court shall refer the question to the Civil	
	Court, First Hall, unless in its opinion the	
	raising of the question is merely frivolous or	
	vexatious; and that court shall give its	
	decision on any question referred to it under this subsection and, subject to the	
	provisions of subsection (4) of this section,	
	the court in which the question arose shall	
	dispose of the question in accordance with	
	that decision.	
	(4) Any party to proceedings brought in the	
	Civil Court, First Hall, in pursuance of this	
	section shall have a right of appeal to the	
	Constitutional Court. (5) No appeal shall lie from any	
	(5) No appeal shall lie from any determination under this section that any	
	application or the raising of any question is	
	merely frivolous or vexatious.	
	Article 95	
	(2) One of the Superior Courts, composed	
	of such three judges as could, in	
	accordance with any law for the time being	
	in force in Malta, compose the Court of	
	Appeal, shall be known as the	
	Constitutional Court and shall have jurisdiction to hear and determine –	
	(c) appeals from decisions of the Civil	
	Court, First Hall, under section 46 of this	
		1

State	Constitution	Laws
	Constitution;	
	(d) appeals from decisions of any court of	
	original jurisdiction in Malta as to the	
	interpretation of this Constitution other than	
	those which may fall under section 46 of	
	this Constitution;	
	(e) appeals from decisions of any court of	
	original jurisdiction in Malta on questions as	
	to the validity of laws other than those which may fall under section 46 of this	
	Constitution; and	
	(f) any question decided by a court of	
	original jurisdiction in Malta together with	
	any of the questions referred to in the	
	foregoing paragraphs of this subsection on	
	which an appeal has been made to the	
	Constitutional Court:	
	Provided that nothing in this paragraph	
	shall preclude an appeal being brought	
	separately before the Court of Appeal in	
	accordance with any law for the time being	
	in force in Malta. (3) Notwithstanding the provisions of	
	subsection (2) of this section, if any such	
	question as is referred to in paragraph (d)	
	or (e) of that subsection arises for the first	
	time in proceedings in a court of appellate	
	jurisdiction, that court shall refer the	
	question to the court which gave the	
	original decision, unless in its opinion the	
	raising of the question is merely frivolous or	
	vexatious, and that court shall give its	
	decision on any such question and, subject	
	to any appeal in accordance with the	
	provisions of subsection (2) of this section,	
	the court in which the question arose shall	
	dispose of the question in accordance with that decision.	
	Article 116	
	A right of action for a declaration that any	
	law is invalid on any grounds other than	
	inconsistency with the provisions of	
	Sections 33 to 45 of this Constitution shall	
	appertain to all persons without distinction	
	and a person bringing such an action shall	
	not be required to show any personal	
	interest in support of his action.	

State	Constitution	Laws
Mexico	Article 103 The courts of the Federation will resolve all questions that arise: I. About laws or acts of authority that violate individual guarantees. Article 105 The Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation will get to know, in the terms that the regulating law specifies, about the following affairs: III. By itself or by petition of the appropriate unitary circuit tribunal, or the Attorney General of the Republic, it may get to know about cases of appeal of sentences of district judges in those cases in which the Federation took part, and in which their interest and importance merit its participation. Article 107 All questions that Article 103 discusses will be subject to the proceedings and forms of judicial order, that the law determines, according to the following bases: I. Judicial relief always will follow to the aggrieved party. II. Judgment will always be such that it only will be concerned with particular parties, limited to relief and protection in special cases for those who are making the complaint, without making a general declaration with respect to the law or act that motivates the complaint. VIII. Against judgments that district judges or Unitary Circuit Tribunals pronounce in cases of relief, there will be review. Of these, the Supreme Court of Justice will hear: a) When the petition for relief has been challenged, because it directly violates this Constitution, federal, states, or local laws, international treaties, regulations dispatched by the President of the Republic in accordance with section I of Artice 89 of this Constitution and regulations of state and local law made by the governors of the States or by the Federal District where the problem of constitutionality remains;	Organic Law on the Judicial Power of the Federation (p.t.) Article 10 ²⁹⁵ The Supreme Court of Justice will decide in the Plenary: II. On the appeal of revision against sentences passed in the constitutional hearing by district judges or unitary circuit courts in the following cases: a. If the problem of unconstitutionality of general norms subsists in the appeal of revision, if in the writ of amparo a federal or local law or a law of a federal district or an international treaty was impugned because they were deemed to directly violate the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States; b. If it makes use of its right to seize pending cases in view of deciding on a writ of amparo that it deems particularly interesting and having important implications for future legal action, as provided for in article 107 fraction VIII indent b) of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States. III. On the claim of revision against decisions following a writ of direct amparo challenging the constitutionality of a federal, local, or district law or of an international treaty issued by a collegial circuit tribunal, or if the decision on the violation required a direct interpretation of a precept of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, the revision will limit itself to the questions that are properly constitutional. Law of amparo Article 1 ²⁹⁶ The object of the process of amparo is to resolve every controversy that comes up: I- Concerning laws or acts of authorities that violate the individual guarantees.

²⁹⁵ Artículo 10. La Suprema Corte de Justicia conocerá funcionando en Pleno:

II. Del recurso de revisión contra sentencias pronunciadas en la audiencia constitucional por los jueces de distrito o los tribunales unitarios de circuito, en los siguientes casos:

a) Cuando subsista en el recurso el problema de constitucionalidad de normas generales, si en la demanda de amparo se hubiese impugnado una ley federal, local, del Distrito Federal, o un tratado internacional, por estimarlos directamente violatorios de un precepto de la Constitución Política de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos:

b) Cuando se ejercite la facultad de atracción contenida en el segundo párrafo del inciso b) de la fracción VIII del artículo 107 de la Constitución Política de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos, para conocer de un amparo en revisión que por su interés y trascendencia así lo amerite, y III. Del recurso de revisión contra sentencias que en amparo directo pronuncien los tribunales colegiados de circuito, cuando habiéndose impugnado la inconstitucionalidad de una ley federal, local, del Distrito Federal o de un tratado internacional, o cuando en los conceptos de violación se haya planteado la interpretación directa de un precepto de la Constitución Política de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos, dichas sentencias decidan u omitan decidir sobre tales materias, debiendo limitarse en estos casos la materia del recurso a la decisión de las cuestiones propiamente constitucionales. http://www.scin.gob.mx/NR/exeres/6CAFC6D1-5EF0-4069-9EFD-82342B9084F6,frameless.htm

El juicio de amparo tiene por objetoresolver toda controversia que se suscite: I- Por leyes o actos de la autoridad que violen las garantias individuales

http://www.scin.gob.mx/NR/rdonlyres/1A1F6A6C-64E8-4831-A596-03C68BBDB0CD/0/LeydeAmparo1.pdf

Constitution State Laws b) In the cases understood to be under Sections II and III of Article 103 of this Constitution. The Supreme Court of Justice, upon its initiative or upon petition may be by the corresponding Collected Circuit Tribunal, or the Attorney General of the Republic may hear cases of relief in review of which their interest and implications for future legal action merit. In the cases not foreseen in the previous paragraphs, the cases of relief will come before Collected Circuit Tribunals, and their iudaments will have no recourse. IX. The resolutions that the Collected Circuit Tribunals give in cases of direct iudicial relief have no appeal, unless they decide about the unconstitutionality of a law or establish a direct interpretation of a precept of the Constitution. Such resolutions, will be brought before the Supreme Court of Justice, and conform to general standards, that may establish criteria of importance and precedent. Only on these bases will they be reviewed by the Supreme Court of Justice, which will limit the matters of appeal exclusively to decision on the questions that are properly constitutional Article 114 The writ of amparo shall be lodged before the district court: I.- Against federal or local laws, international treaties, regulations issued by the President of the Republic in accordance with article 89 fraction I of the Constitution, regulations of local laws issued by the Governors of the States, or other regulations, decrees or generally applicable agreements, that, through their simple entry into force or through the first act of application cause prejudice to the complainant; II.- Against acts that don't emanate from judicial, administrative or labor tribunals; In the cases where the impugned act emanates from a judicial proceeding, the writ of amparo may only be lodged against final resolution if in that final act or during the proceedings a violation occurred in that the complainant was denied defence or the rights which the applicable law attributes to the complainant, except if the writ is lodged by a person standing outside of the controversy. III.-Against the execution of acts of judicial, administrative or labor tribunals that takes place outside of the proceeding or after the judgement[...] IV.- Against acts in relation to the proceeding, if they could cause irreparable damage; V.- Against acts executed during the or outside of the proceeding that are directed against outside persons [...]: VI.- Against laws or acts issued by the federal authority or the states in relation with article 1 fractions II and III of this law; VII.- Against resolutions of the Ministerio Público that confirm the non-

State	Constitution	Laws
	exercise or the disclaimer of a penal action, in accordance with the dispositions in article 21 paragraph 4 of the Constitution.	
Moldova	No direct individual access	No direct individual access
Monaco	Article 90 ²⁹⁷ A. – En matière constitutionnelle, le Tribunal Suprême statue souverainement: 19 sur la conformité du règlement intérieur du Conseil National aux dispositions constitutionnelles et, le cas échéant, législatives, dans les conditions prévues à l'article 61; 29 sur les recours en annulation, en appréciation de validité et en indemnité ayant pour objet une atteinte aux libertés et droits consacrés par le Titre III de la Constitution, et qui ne sont pas visés au paragraphe B du présent article. B En matière administrative, le Tribunal Suprême statue souverainement: 1°) sur les recours en annulation pour excès de pouvoir formés contre les décisions des diverses autorités administratives et les ordonnances souveraines prises pour l'exécution des lois, ainsi que sur l'octroi des indemnités qui en résultent; 29 sur les recours en cassation formés contre les décisions des juridictions administratives statuant en dernier ressort; 39 sur les recours en interprétation et les recours en appréciation de validité des décisions des diverses autorités administratives et des ordonnances souveraines prises pour l'exécution des lois.	Decree n; 2.984 on the organisation and functioning of the Supreme Tribunal ²⁹⁸ Le tribunal peut être saisi par toute personne, physique ou morale ayant qualité et justifiant d'un intérêt, en matière administrative comme en matière constitutionnelle. Ainsi notamment, toute loi peut être annulée, pour inconstitutionnalité, à l'initiative d'un justiciable, personne physique ou morale, monégasque ou étranger.

http://www.conseil-national.mc/constitution.php
 http://www.legimonaco.mc/305//legismc.nsf

State	Constitution	Laws
Montenegro	Article 149 The Constitutional Court shall decide on the following: 3) Constitutional appeal due to the violation of human rights and liberties granted by the Constitution, after all other efficient legal remedies have been exhausted Article 150 Any person may file an initiative to start the procedure for the assessment of constitutionality and legality.	Draft law on the Constitutional Court ²⁹⁹ Article 58 Constitutional complaints may be lodged against an individual act of state authority, local self-government authority or organisation vested with public powers, for the reason of violation of human rights and freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution, after all effective legal remedies have been exhausted. Article 59 Constitutional complaints may be lodged by anyone who believes that his human right and freedom guaranteed by the Constitution was violated by an individual act of state authority, local self-government authority or organisation vested with public powers. Constitutional complaint may also be lodged by another natural person or a state authority or organisation in charge of the monitoring and realisation of human rights and freedoms on behalf of the person referred to in paragraph 1 above on the basis of his authorisation.
Morocco	No direct individual access	No direct individual access
Netherlands	Article 94 Statutory regulations in force within the Kingdom shall not be applicable if such application is in conflict with provisions of treaties that are binding on all persons or of resolutions by international institutions. Article 120 The constitutionality of Acts of Parliament and treaties shall not be reviewed by the courts.	Judiciary Organisation Act Article 95 1. The Supreme Court shall take cognisance of appeals in cassation against the procedures of the courts of appeal and the district and sub- district courts and against their judgements, whether lodged by the parties concerned or by the procurator general at the Supreme Court "in the interests of the law". Article 99 1. The Supreme Court shall quash procedures and judgements: 2. where they violate the law, with the exception of the law of other States.
Norway	Article 88 The Supreme Court pronounces judgment in the final instance. Nevertheless, limitations on the right to bring a case before the Supreme Court may be prescribed by law.	Civil Procedure Act § 355 The court decisions which can be made subject of an independent appeal are judgments and such orders, for which it is specifically provided that they may be the subject of appeal. In connection with an appeal against a judgment or order a party may also appeal against preceding orders relating to the handling of the case. Criminal Procedure Act § 306 Appeals against judgments of the District Court (herredsretten) or the City Court (byretten) or the High Court (lagmannsretten) may be brought by the parties to court of appeal indicated in Sections 6 to 8.

²⁹⁹ <u>CDL(2008)073</u> Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of Montenegro

State	Constitution	Laws
Peru	Article 138 ³⁰⁰ (p.t.)	Organic law on the judicial power(p.t.)
	The power to administer justice emanates	Article 14 - Supremacy of the constitutional
	from the people and is exercised by the	norm and diffuse control of the Constitution ³⁰⁴
	Judicial Power through its hierarchical	In conformity with art. 236 of the Constitution,
	organs and in conformity with the	when the competent magistrates, when deciding
	Constitution and the laws.	on the merits of the question, find in their
	If, in any proceeding, there is incompatibility	interpretation that there is an incompatibility of a
	between a constitutional norm and a legal	constitutional provision and one with force of a
	norm, the judges shall give priority to the	law, they shall resolve the case in conformity
	first. Likewise, they shall give priority to the	with the constitutional provision.
	legal norm over all other norms of inferior	These judgements shall be referred to the
	value.	Constitutional and Social Chamber of the
	Article 144 ³⁰¹	Supreme Court for consultation, if they are not
	The Plenary of the Supreme Court is the	being impugned. Likewise, judgements at
	highest deliberating organ of the Judicial	second instance in which the same precept is
	Power.	being applied shall be referred to the Chamber,
	Article 200 ³⁰² The Constitution guarantees	even if against these judgements no appeal for
	the exercise of:	cassation may be lodged.
	1. The claim of <i>habeas corpus</i> , which can	In all those cases the magistrates only declare
	be lodged in relation to an action or	the inapplicability due to unconstitutionality of
	omission by any authority, civil servant or	the legal norm in the concrete case, without
	person, which violates or threatens	affecting its validity, which is controlled

300 La potestad de administrar justicia emana del pueblo y se ejerce por el Poder Judicial a través de sus órganos jerárquicos con arreglo a la Constitución y a las leyes.

En todo proceso, de existir incompatibilidad entre una norma constitucional y una norma legal, los jueces prefieren la primera. Igualmente, prefieren la norma legal sobre toda otra norma de rango http://www.tc.gob.pe/legconperu/constitucion.html

La Sala Plena de la Corte Suprema es el órgano máximo de deliberación del Poder Judicial.

302 Son garantías constitucionales:

1.La Acción de Hábeas Corpus, que procede ante el hecho u omisión, por parte de cualquier autoridad, funcionario o persona, que vulnera o amenaza la libertad individual o los derechos constitucionales conexos.

2.La Acción de Amparo, que procede contra el hecho u omisión, por parte de cualquier autoridad, funcionario o persona, que vulnera o amenaza los demás derechos reconocidos por la Constitución, con excepción de los señalados en el inciso siguiente. No procede contra normas legales ni contra Resoluciones Judiciales emanadas de procedimiento regular.

La Acción de Hábeas Data, que procede contra el hecho u omisión, por parte de cualquier autoridad, funcionario o persona, que vulnera o amenaza los derechos a que se refiere el Artículo 2º, incisos 5) y 6) de la Constitución.

5.La Acción Popular, que procede, por infracción de la Constitución y de la ley, contra los reglamentos, normas administrativas y resoluciones y decretos de carácter general, cualquiera sea la autoridad de la que emanen.

6.La Acción de Cumplimiento, que procede contra cualquier autoridad o funcionario renuente a acatar una norma legal o un acto administrativo, sin perjuicio de las responsabilidades de ley.

Una ley orgánica regula el ejercicio de estas garantías y los efectos de la declaración de inconstitucionalidad o ilegalidad de las normas.

El ejercicio de las acciones de hábeas corpus y de amparo no se suspende durante la vigencia de los regímenes de excepción a que se refiere el artículo 137º de la Constitución.

Cuando se interponen acciones de esta naturaleza en relación con derechos restringidos o suspendidos, el órgano jurisdiccional competente examina la razonabilidad y la proporcionalidad del acto restrictivo. No corresponde al juez cuestionar la declaración del estado de emergencia ni de sitio.

Corresponde al Tribunal Constitucional: 1. Conocer, en última y definitiva instancia, las resoluciones denegatorias de hábeas corpus, amparo, hábeas data, y acción de cumplimiento.

Ley organica del poder judicial

Artículo 14.- Supremacía de la norma constitucional y control difuso de la Constitución.

De conformidad con el Article 236 de la Constitución, cuando los Magistrados al momento de fallar el fondo de la cuestión de su competencia, en cualquier clase de proceso o especialidad, encuentren que hay incompatibilidad en su interpretación, de una disposición constitucional y una con rango de ley, resuelven la causa con arreglo a la primera.(*)

Las sentencias así expedidas son elevadas en consulta a la Sala Constitucional y Social de la Corte Suprema, si no fueran impugnadas. Lo son igualmente las sentencias en segunda instancia en las que se aplique este mismo precepto, aun cuando contra éstas no quepa recurso de casación.

En todos estos casos los magistrados se limitan a declarar la inaplicación de la norma legal por incompatibilidad constitucional, para el caso concreto, sin afectar su vigencia, la que es controlada en la forma y modo que la Constitución establece.

Cuando se trata de normas de inferior jerarquía, rige el mismo principio, no requiriéndose la elevación en consulta, sin perjuicio del proceso por acción popular.

State	Constitution	Laws
	individual liberty or the associated constitutional rights.	according to the form and procedure established by the Constitution.
	2.The writ of <i>amparo</i> , which can be lodged	Concerning norms of lower rank, the same
	against the action or omission by any	principle applies, but without necessity of referral
	authority, civil servant or person, which	for consultation, without prejudice to the
	violates or threatens the other rights	procedure applying for popular action.
	provided for in the Constitution, with the exception of those indicated in the following	Organic Law on the Constitutional Tribunal Article 5 ³⁰⁵
	indent. The writ may not be lodged against	The Tribunal shall be constituted of two
	legal norms or judicial resolutions that	Chambers of three members each to cognise, in
	respected the regular procedure.	last instance, concerning resolutions denying
	The claim of habeas data which can be	habeas corpus, amparo, habeas data and claim
	lodged against the action or omission by	of performance of duty, initiated before the
	any authority, civil servant or person, which	respective judges. The resolutions require three
	violates or threatens the rights provided for	conform votes.
	in Article 2 indents 5) and 6) of the Constitution.	Code of constitutional procedure Article VI Diffuse control and constitutional
	5. The popular action, which can be lodged	interpretation and constitutional
	in view of an infraction of the Constitution or	When there is an incompatibility between a
	the law, against regulations, administrative	constitutional norm and another norm of lower
	norms and resolutions and decrees of	rank, the judge must give priority to the former if
	general character, no matter which	this is necessary to resolve the controversy and
	authority these acts or omissions emanate	if it is not possible to interpret the lower norm in
	from.	conformity with the Constitution.
	6. The claim of performance of duty, which may be lodged against any authority or civil	The judges cannot refrain from applying a norm whose constitutionality has been confirmed in a
	servant refusing to attack a legal norm or	proceeding on unconstitutionality or in a
	an administrative act, without prejudice to	proceeding following a popular action.
	the legal responsibilities.	Article 75Finality
	An organic law shall regulate the exercise	The aim of the proceeding following a popular
	of these guarantees and the effect of the	action and of the proceeding on
	declaration of unconstitutionality or illegality	unconstitutionality is the protection of the
	of the norm.	Constitution against infractions against its
	The right to lodge writs of habeas corpus or	normative hierarchy or rank. This infraction can
	of amparo cannot be suspended during the effectiveness of exceptional regimes as	be direct or indirect, total or partial, and touch formal or material aspects.
L	1 chocavorioso di ovocptional regimes as	Torridi of material aspects.

³⁰⁵ Para conocer, en última y definitiva instancia, las resoluciones denegatorias de los procesos de amparo, hábeas corpus, hábeas data y de cumplimiento, iniciadas ante los jueces respectivos, el Tribunal está constituido por dos Salas, con tres miembros cada una. Las resoluciones requieren tres votos conformes. $^{\rm 306}$ Codigo procesal constitucional

Artículo VI.- Control Difuso e Interpretación Constitucional

Cuando exista incompatibilidad entre una norma constitucional y otra de inferior jerarquía, el Juez debe preferir la primera, siempre que ello sea relevante para resolver la controversia y no sea posible obtener una interpretación conforme a la Constitución.

Los Jueces no pueden dejar de aplicar una norma cuya constitucionalidad haya sido confirmada en un proceso de inconstitucionalidad o en un proceso de acción popular.

Artículo 75.- Finalidad

Los procesos de acción popular y de inconstitucionalidad tienen por finalidad la defensa de la Constitución frente a infracciones contra su jerarquía normativa. Esta infracción puede ser, directa o indirecta, de carácter total o parcial, y tanto por la forma como por el fondo.

http://www.tc.gob.pe//Codigo_Procesal.pdf

Procedencia de la demanda de acción popular

La demanda de acción popular procede contra los reglamentos, normas administrativas y resoluciones de carácter general, cualquiera que sea la autoridad de la que emanen, siempre que infrinjan la Constitución o la ley, o cuando no hayan sido expedidas o publicadas en la forma prescrita por la Constitución o la ley, según el caso.

La demanda de acción popular puede ser interpuesta por cualquier persona.

La acciones de garantía proceden aun en el caso que la violación o amenaza se base en una norma que sea incompatible con la Constitución. En este supuesto, la inaplicación de la norma se apreciará en el mismo procedimiento.

http://turan.uc3m.es/uc3m/inst/MGP/JCI/02-peru-leyhabeascorpusyamparo.htm

310 Si se ejerce la acción a causa de la violación de un derecho constitucional por omisión de un acto debido, el fallo ordenará el cumplimiento incondicional e inmediato de dicho acto.

¹ Las acciones de garantía también son pertinentes si una autoridad judicial, fuera de un procedimiento que es de su competencia, emite una resolución o cualquier disposición que lesione un derecho constitucional.

State	Constitution	Laws
	referred to in Article 137 of the Constitution. When claims of this nature are being lodged against restricted rights, the competent jurisdictional organ shall examine the reasonability and the proportionality of the restricting act. The judge shall not be entitled to question the declaration of state of emergency or if state of siege. Article 202 ³⁰³ The Constitutional Tribunal is entitled to: To cognise, in first and last instance, on claims of unconstitutionality. To cognise, in last instance, concerning resolutions denying habeas corpus, amparo, habeas data and claim of performance of duty.	Article 76. □ Admissibility of the popular action 307 Popular action can be initiated against regulations, administrative norms and resolutions of general character, no matter which authority they emanate from, if they infringe the Constitution or the law, or if they have not been enacted or published as prescribed by the Constitution or the law applicable. Article 84 Legitimation 308 The popular action can be filed by any person. Law 23506 on amparo and habeas corpus Article 3 309 The claims can be lodged even if the violation or threat emanates from a norm which is incompatible with the Constitution. In this case, the inapplicability of the norm shall be pronounced in the same proceeding. Article 4 310 If the claim is being lodged because of the violation of a constitutional right through omission where an action was due, the judgement will order the immediate and unconditional fulfilment of the act. Article 5311 The claims are also admissible if a judicial authority passes a resolution or any other act of disposal outside of a proceeding in its competence, that violates a constitutional right.
Poland	Article 79 1. In accordance with principles specified by statute, everyone whose constitutional freedoms or rights have been infringed, shall have the right to appeal to the Constitutional Tribunal for its judgment on the conformity to the Constitution of a statute or another normative act upon which basis a court or organ of public administration has made a final decision on his freedoms or rights or on his obligations specified in the Constitution. 2. The provisions of para. 1 above shall not relate to the rights specified in Article 56.	Constitutional Tribunal Act Article 27 The participants in the proceedings before the Tribunal shall be: 1) a subject who submitted an application or complaint concerning constitutional infringement; Article 46 1. Constitutional claim, further referred to as the "claim" can be submitted after trying all legal means, if such means is allowed, within 3 months from delivering the legally valid decision to the plaintiff, the final decision or other final judgement. 2. The Tribunal shall consider a complaint on the principles and in accordance with the procedure provided for the consideration of a application for the confirmation of conformity of statutes to the Constitution and of other normative acts to the Constitutions and statutes.
Portugal	Article 20 Access to law and effective judicial protection 1. Everyone is guaranteed access to law and to the courts in order to defend his or her rights and legally protected interests; justice shall not be denied to a person for lack of financial resources. Article 280 1. The Constitutional Court has jurisdiction to hear appeals against any of the following court decisions: a. Decisions refusing to apply a legal rule on the ground of unconstitutionality; b. Decisions applying a legal rule, the constitutionality of which was challenged during the proceedings.	Law on the Constitutional Court Article 70 – (Decisions that may be appealed) 1. An appeal may be made to the Constitutional Court, in section, regarding the following court decisions: a) Those rejecting the application of a rule on the grounds of unconstitutionality; b) Those applying a rule the unconstitutionality of which has been raised during the proceedings. c) Those rejecting the application of a rule which is included in a legislative act based on the grounds of its illegality in violating a law of reinforced value; d) Those rejecting the application of a rule appearing in regional legislation based on grounds of its illegality in violating the statute of

State Constitution Laws The Constitutional Court also an autonomous region or the general law of the jurisdiction to hear appeals against any of Republic: the following court decisions: e) Those rejecting the application of a rule a. Decisions refusing to apply a legislative issued by an organ of supreme national provision on the ground of illegality arising authority with grounds based on its illegality in from contravention of some superior law; violating the statute of an autonomous region; f) Those rejecting the application of a rule the b. Decisions refusing to apply a provision of a regional legislative instrument on the illegality of which has been raised during the illegality ground of arising proceedings based on any of the grounds contravention of the statute of an mentioned in sub-paragraphs c), d) and e); autonomous region or the general law of g) Those rejecting the application of a rule which has previously been judged unconstitutional or the Republic; c. Decisions refusing to apply a provision of illegal by the actual Constitutional Court; an instrument made by an organ with h) Those rejecting the application of a rule which has previously been judged unconstitutional by supreme authority on the ground of illegality arising from contravention of the statute of the Constitutional Committee according to the an autonomous region: exact terms in which it has been submitted for d. Decisions applying a provision, the examination by the Constitutional Court; legality of which was challenged during the i) Those rejecting the application of a rule proceedings on any of the grounds appearing in a legislative act on the grounds that specified in sub-paragraphs (a), (b) or (c). it contradicts an international convention, or that 3. Where a court refuses to apply a apply it contrary to what has been previously provision of an international convention, decided on the matter by the Constitutional any legislation or a regulatory decree, any appeal under paragraph 1(a) or 2(a) must Article 72 - (Legitimacy to appeal) be brought by the Public Prosecution. 1. The following may appeal to the 4. An appeal under paragraph (1)(b) or Constitutional Court: (2)(d) may be brought only by the party who a) The Public Prosecutor's Office; raised the question of unconstitutionality or b) Persons who, in agreement with the law illegality; the law shall prescribe the regulating the case in which the decision was requirements and procedure with respect to passed, have legitimacy to file an appeal. 2. The appeals envisaged in sub-paragraphs b) the bringing of these appeals. and f) of n.º 1 of article 70 may only be filed by the party that has raised the question of unconstitutionality or illegality in a way that is procedurally appropriate before the court that gave the decision appealed against in terms of the latter being obliged to know it. 3. The appeal is obligatory for the Public Prosecutor's Office when the rule that was refused application, due to unconstitutionality or illegality, appears in an international convention, legislative act or regulamentary decree, or when the cases envisaged in sub-paragraphs g), h) and i) of no. 1 of Article 70 are verified, with the exception of the ruling in the following number. 4. The Public Prosecutor's Office may abstain from filing an appeal on decisions taken, within the guidelines already established, for the issue in question in the case law of the Constitutional Court. Romania Article 144 Law on the Organisation and Operation of the The Constitutional Court shall have the **Constitutional Court** following powers: Article 23 1. The Constitutional Court shall pronounce d) to decide on objections as to the unconstitutionality of laws and ordinances, upon the exceptions raised before Instances brought up before courts of law or of referring to the unconstitutionality of laws and statutory orders. commercial arbitration; 2. If, in the course of a judgement, the Instance finds, ex officio, or one of the parties pleads the unconstitutionality of a provision under a law or statutory order on which the judgment of the cause depends, the exception raised shall be sent to the Constitutional Court, in order to pronounce upon the constitutionality of that provision.

State	Constitution	Laws
Russian	Article 125	Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional
Federation	4. The Constitutional Court of the Russian	Court
	Federation, upon complaints about	Article 3
	violations of the constitutional rights and	To protect the foundations of the constitutional
	freedoms of citizens and upon requests of	system and the basic rights and freedoms of
	the courts, shall verify the conformity with	individuals and citizens, and to ensure the
	the Constitution of any law which is applied	supremacy and direct action of the Constitution
	or shall be applied in a concrete case in a	of the Russian Federation on the entire territory
	way established by federal law.	of the Russian Federation, the Constitutional
		Court of the Russian Federation: 3. shall, at complaints on the violation of
		constitutional rights and freedoms of citizens
	!	and at inquiries of courts, verify the
	!	constitutionality of a law that has been applied or
		ought to be applied in a specific case;
		Article 96
		The right to petition the Constitutional Court with
		the individual or collective complaint on the
		violation of the constitutional rights and
		freedoms shall be vested in the citizens, whose
	!	rights and freedoms have been violated by the law that has been applied or ought to be applied
	!	in a specific case, and in the associations of
	!	citizens, as well as in other bodies and persons,
	!	envisaged in the federal law.
	!	Enclosed with the complaint, apart from the
	!	documents listed in Article 38 of the present
	!	Federal Constitutional Law shall be the copy of
		the official document confirming the application
	!	or the possibility of the application of the
	!	appealed law in the decision of the specific case. The official or the body that considered
	!	the case shall produce the copy of the
	!	aforementioned document to the petitioner at his
	!	request.
San Marino	Declaration of Citizens' Rights and of the	Qualified Law of 25 April 2003 (p.t.) ³¹³
	fundamental principles of the San Marinese	Article 11
	legal order ³¹² (p.t.)	The constitutional review as provided for by
	Article 16	article 16 of the Declaration of Rights may be
	The Collegio Garante:	direct or incidental in cases pending before the
	a. Verifies, upon direct request of as least twenty Councillors, of the Congress of	judicial organs. Article 13
	State, of five communities, of a number of	Constitutional review can be requested
	citizens entitled to vote representing a	incidentally in relation to cases pending before
	minimum of 1,5% of the electorate as	the jurisdictional organs of the Republic by the
	arises from the last and definitive annual	parties or by the [Public Prosecutor in
	revision of the electoral lists, as well as	administrative matters]. The request must be
	concerning cases pending before Tribunals	lodged in written form, or, if the Judge acts ex
	of the Republic, upon request by the judges	officio, through a motivated ordinance.
	or by the parties to the case, the	
	compatibility of laws and normative acts	
	having the force of law with the fundamental principles of the present law or	
	with the ones recalled by the present law.	
	man and office recomed by the present law.	<u>I</u>

³¹² Il Collegio Garante:

a.verifica, su richiesta diretta di almeno venti Consiglieri, del Congresso di Stato, di cinque Giunte di Castello, di un numero di cittadini elettori rappresentanti almeno l'1,5% del corpo elettorale quale risultante dall'ultima e definitiva revisione annuale delle liste elettorali, nonché nell'ambito di giudizi pendenti presso i Tribunali della Repubblica, su richiesta dei giudici o delle parti in causa, la rispondenza delle leggi, degli atti aventi forza di legge a contenuto normativo, nonché delle norme anche consuetudinarie aventi forza di legge, ai principi fondamentali dell'ordinamento di cui alla presente legge o da questa richiamati;

http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/ricercaleggi/vislegge.php3?action=visTestoLegge1&idlegge=6175&twid th=580&

State	Constitution	Laws
Serbia	Article 168	(Draft) Law on the Constitutional Court
	A proceeding of assessing the	Article 57
	constitutionality may be instituted by state	Constitutional complaints may be uttered
	bodies, bodies of territorial autonomy or local	against individual acts or actions of state
	self-government, as well as at least 25 deputies. The procedure may also be	authorities or organisations vested with public authority whereby are breached or denied
	instituted by the Constitutional Court.	human and minority rights and liberties
	Any legal or natural person shall have the	guaranteed by the Constitution, when other legal
	right to an initiative to institute a proceedings	remedies have been exhausted or are not
	of assessing the constitutionality and legality.	prescribed or where the right to their judicial
	The Constitutional Court may assess the	protection has been excluded by law.
	compliance of the Law and other general acts with the Constitution, compliance of	Constitutional complaints may also be uttered where all legal remedies have not been
	general acts with the Law, even when they	exhausted, in cases where the complainant's
	ceased to be effective, if the proceedings of	right to a trial in a reasonable time was
	assessing the constitutionality has been	breached.
	instituted within no more than six months	Article 58
	since they ceased to be effective.	Constitutional complaints may be uttered by all
	Article 168	persons who believe that their human or
	A proceedings of assessing the	minority rights and liberties guaranteed by the
	constitutionality may be instituted by state bodies, bodies of territorial autonomy or	Constitution have been breached or denied by an individual act or action of a state authority or
	local self-government, as well as at least	organisation vested with public authority.
	25 deputies. The procedure may also be	y garage and a part of the par
	instituted by the Constitutional Court.	
	Any legal or natural person shall have the	Constitutional complaints may on behalf of the
	right to an initiative to institute a	persons referred to in § 1 of this Article and on
	proceedings of assessing the constitutionality and legality.	the basis of their written authorisation also be uttered by natural or legal persons authorised by
	Article 170	them in writing, as well as state and other
	A constitutional appeal may be lodged	authorities in charge of the overseeing and
	against individual general acts or actions	exercise of human and minority rights and
	performed by state bodies or organisations	liberties.
	exercising delegated public powers which	
	violate or deny human or minority rights and freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution, if	
	other legal remedies for their protection	
	have already been applied or not specified.	
Slovakia	Article 127	Act on the Organisation of the Constitutional
	(1) The Constitutional Court shall decide on	Court
	complaints of natural persons or legal	Article 18
	persons if they are pleading the infringement of their fundamental rights or	1. The Constitutional Court shall open proceedings on a petition that has been filed by:
	freedoms, or human rights and fundamental	f. any person whose right is to be adjudged as
	freedoms resulting from the international	set out in Article 127 and 127a of the
	treaty which has been ratified by the Slovak	Constitution of the Slovak Republic.
	Republic and promulgated in the manner	Article 49
	laid down by a law, save another court shall	A constitutional complaint may be filed by a
	decide on protection of these rights and freedoms.	natural person or a legal entity (hereinafter "complainant") claiming that his or her
	Article 130	fundamental rights and freedoms have been
	(1) The Constitutional Court shall	violated by a lawful decision, measure or by
	commence the proceedings upon a petition	other encroachment, unless the protection of
	submitted by:	these rights and freedoms falls under another
	f) any person whose rights shall be	court's jurisdiction.
	adjudicated as defined in Article 127.	
	(2) The law shall specify who can commence the proceedings under	
	proceedings under	

³¹³ 1. La verifica di legittimità costituzionale di cui all'articolo 16 della Dichiarazione dei Diritti può avvenire in via diretta ovvero incidentale nell'ambito dei giudizi pendenti avanti agli organi giudiziari.

^{1.} La verifica di legittimità costituzionale può essere richiesta in via incidentale, nell'ambito di giudizi pendenti presso gli organi giurisdizionali della Repubblica, dalle parti o dal Procuratore del Fisco, con apposita istanza scritta, ovvero d'ufficio dal Giudice, mediante ordinanza motivata. http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/index.php3

State	Constitution	Laws
	Article 129.	
Slovenia	Article 160 of the Constitution The Constitutional Court decides: [] on constitutional complaints stemming from the violation of human rights and fundamental freedoms by individual acts; [] Article 162 (Proceedings before the Constitutional Court) Proceedings before the Constitutional Court shall be regulated by law. The law determines who may require the initiation of proceedings before the Constitutional Court. Anyone who demonstrates legal interest may request the initiation of proceedings before the Constitutional Court. The Constitutional Court. The Constitutional Court decides by a majority vote of all its judges unless otherwise provided for individual cases by the Constitution or law. The Constitutional Court may decide whether to initiate proceedings following a constitutional complaint with fewer judges as provided by	Constitutional Court Act Article 24 (1) Anyone who demonstrates legal interest may lodge a petition that the procedure for the review of the constitutionality or legality of regulations or general acts issued for the exercise of public authority be initiated. (2) Legal interest is deemed to be demonstrated if a regulation or general act issued for the exercise of public authority whose review has been requested by the petitioner directly interferes with his rights, legal interests, or legal position. Article 50 (1) Due to a violation of human rights or fundamental freedoms, a constitutional complaint may, under the conditions determined by this Act, be lodged against individual acts by which state authorities, local community authorities, or bearers of public authority decided the rights, obligations, or legal entitlements of individuals or legal entities.
South Africa	law. Article 167 (3) The Constitutional Court (a) is the highest court in all constitutional matters; (b) may decide only constitutional matters, and issues connected with decisions on constitutional matters; and (c) makes the final decision whether a matter is a constitutional matter or whether an issue is connected with a decision on a constitutional matter. (6) National legislation or the rules of the Constitutional Court must allow a person, when it is in the interests of justice and with leave of the Constitutional Court- (a) to bring a matter directly to the Constitutional Court; or (b) to appeal directly to the Constitutional Court from any other court. Article 172 (1) When deciding a constitutional matter within its power, a court- (a) must declare that any law or conduct that is inconsistent with the Constitution is invalid to the extent of its inconsistency; and (b) may make any order that is just and equitable, including- (i) an order limiting the retrospective effect of the declaration of invalidity; and (ii) an order suspending the declaration of invalidity for any period and on any conditions, to allow the competent authority to correct the defect. (2) (a) The Supreme Court of Appeal, a High Court or a court of similar status may make an order concerning the constitutional validity of an Act of Parliament, a provincial Act or any conduct of the President, but an	Rules of the Court 18 Direct access 1. An application for direct access as contemplated in section 167 (6) (a) of the Constitution shall be brought on notice of motion, which shall be supported by an affidavit, which shall set forth the facts upon which the applicant relies for relief. 19 Appeals 1. The procedure set out in this rule shall be followed in an application for leave to appeal to the Court where a decision on a constitutional matter, other than an order of constitutional invalidity under Section 172 (2) (a) of the Constitution, has been given by any court including the Supreme Court of Appeal, and irrespective of whether the President has refused leave or special leave to appeal.

unless it is confirmed by the	onstitutional
Court. Spain Article 53	Organic Law on the Constitutional Court
Spain Article 53 1. The rights and liberties	•
Chapter Two of the pre	
binding on all public a	
exercise of such rights and shall be protected in acco	,
provisions of Article 161.	
regulated only by law whi	shall, in any Constitution, the judge or court shall raise the
case, respect their essentia	
2. Any citizen may assert protection of the liberti	
recognised in Article 14 and	3
Chapter Two, by means of	preferential Articles 14 to 29 of the Constitution shall be
and summary procedure	
Courts and, when a submitting an individua	opriate, by constitucional) in the circumstances and form appeal for laid down by this Law, without prejudice to the
protection ("recurso de a	
Constitutional Court. This	r procedure courts of law. The same protection shall be
shall be applicable to	•
objection as recognised in A 3. The substantive legi	<u> </u>
practice and actions of the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
shall be based on the a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
respect and protection of recognised in Chapter Th	
may only be invoked in the	
in the context of the lega	rovisions by public authorities of the State, the Autonomous
which they are developed.	Communities and other territorial, corporate or
Article 161 The Constitutional Court	institutional public bodies, as well as by their jurisdiction officials or agents.
over the whole of Spanish	ritory and is 3. For the purposes of constitutional protection,
competent to hear:	no claims may be asserted other than those
a) appeals against unconstitutionality of laws	e alleged designed to restore or preserve the rights or regulations freedoms for which the action has been brought.
having the force of law.	eclaration of Article 42
unconstitutionality of a lega	
the force of law, jurisprudence, shall also a	preted by taken by the Cortès or any of its organs or by the latter, the legislative assemblies of the Autonomous
although the sentence	
handed down shall not los	, ,
res judicata. b) individual appeals	Constitution, may be the subject of legal action within a period of three months following the
("recursos de amparo") aga	
the rights and liberties con	ed in Article procedure of the Houses or the assemblies,
53,2 of the Constitu	
by law;	1. The above-mentioned violations of rights and
Article 162	freedoms resulting from provisions, legal
1. The following are eligible	enactments or common assault by the Government, its authorities, or its officials or by
b) lodge an individual appe ("recurso de amparo"): a	
corporate body with a legiting	e interest, as Autonomous Communities or their authorities,
well as the Defender of the	
Office of the Public Prosecu 2. In all other cases, the o	appeal for protection when the relevant judicial remedy has been exhausted, in accordance with
determine which persons a	
eligible.	3. Such an appeal may be based solely on an
	infringement, by a non-appealable decision, of
	the constitutional precepts recognising protected rights and freedoms.
	Article 44
	Violations of constitutionally protected rights and freedoms that are the immediate and direct
	result of an act or omission by a judicial body

State	Constitution	Laws
		may give grounds for such an appeal provided that the following conditions are met: [] Article 46 1. The following shall have standing to lodge an appeal for constitutional protection: a. In the case of Articles 42 and 45, the person directly affected, the Defender of the People and the Office of the Public Prosecutor; b. In the case of Articles 43 and 44, the parties to the corresponding judicial proceedings, the Defender of the People and the Office of the Public Prosecutor. 2. Where the appeal is brought by the Defender of the People or the Office of the Public Prosecutor, the Division of the Court with authority to hear the case for constitutional protection shall inform any potentially injured persons of whom it has knowledge and shall order publication of the notice of appeal in the "Official State Gazette" so that other interested parties may come forward. Such publication shall have preferential status. Article 47 1. Persons who benefited by the decision, act or circumstance that led to the appeal or persons with a legitimate interest therein may appear in the proceedings for constitutional protection as a defendant or additional party. 2. The Office of the Public Prosecutor shall intervene in all protection proceedings in defence of legality, citizens' rights and the public interest under the custodianship of the law.
Sweden	Chapter 11 Article 14 Constitution If a court or other public body finds that a provision conflicts with a rule of fundamental law or other superior statute, or finds that a procedure laid down in law has been disregarded in any important respect when the provision was made, the provision may not be applied. If the provision has been approved by the Riksdag or by the Government, however, it shall be waived only if the error is manifest. Article 189 Constitutional Jurisdiction	Federal Judicature Act ³¹⁴
	1 The Federal Supreme Court shall have jurisdiction over: a. Complaints about violations of constitutional rights; 2 For the decision of certain disputes, the statute may attribute jurisdiction to other federal authorities.	Article 82 Le Tribunal fédéral connaît des recours: a. contre les décisions rendues dans des causes de droit public; b. contre les actes normatifs cantonaux; c. qui concernent le droit de vote des citoyens ainsi que les élections et votations populaires. Article 86 1. Le recours est recevable contre les décisions: a. du Tribunal administratif fédéral; b. du Tribunal pénal fédéral; c. de l'Autorité indépendante d'examen des plaintes en matière de radio-télévision; d. des autorités cantonales de dernière instance, pour autant que le recours devant le Tribunal administratif fédéral ne soit pas ouvert. 2. Les cantons instituent des tribunaux supérieurs qui statuent comme autorités précédant immédiatement le Tribunal fédéral,

314 http://www.admin.ch/ch/d/sr/1/173.110.de.pdf

State	Constitution	Laws
		sauf dans les cas où une autre loi fédérale prévoit qu'une décision d'une autre autorité judiciaire peut faire l'objet d'un recours devant le Tribunal fédéral. Article 113 Le Tribunal fédéral connaît des recours constitutionnels contre les décisions des autorités cantonales de dernière instance qui ne peuvent faire l'objet d'aucun recours selon les articles 72 à 89.
		Article 115 A qualité pour former un recours constitutionnel quiconque: a. a pris part à la procédure devant l'autorité précédente ou a été privé de la possibilité de le faire et b. a un intérêt juridique à l'annulation ou à la modification de la décision attaquée. Article 116 Le recours constitutionnel peut être formé pour
		violation des droits constitutionnels.
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	Article 110 The Constitutional Court of the Republic of Macedonia: [] - protects the freedoms and rights of the individual and citizen relating to the freedom of conviction, conscience, thought and public expression of thought, political association and activity as well as to the prohibition of discrimination among citizens on the ground of sex, race, religion or national, social or political affiliation; []	Rules of Procedure Article 11 Proceedings for assessing the constitutionality of a law and the constitutionality and legality of a regulation or other common act are initiated by a decision of the Constitutional Court upon the submission of a petition to the Court. Article 12 Anyone can submit a petition for initiating proceedings for assessing the constitutionality of law or the constitutionality and legality of a regulation or other common act. Article 28 The Constitutional Court will refuse the petition: - if it is not competent to decide upon the request; - if it has already dealt with the same matter, and there are no grounds for reaching a different judgment; and - if there are other procedural obstacles to deciding on the petition. Article 51 Any citizen considering that an individual act or action has infringed his or her right or freedom, as provided in Article 110.3 of the Constitution of the Republic of Macedonia, he or she may lodge an application for protection by the Constitutional Court within 2 months from the date of notification of the final or legally binding individual act, or from the date on which he or she became aware of the activity undertaken creating such an infringement, but not later than 5 years from the date of the activity's being
Tunisia	No direct individual access	undertaken. No direct individual access
Turkey	No direct individual access	No direct individual access
Ukraine	Article 55 Human and citizens' rights and freedoms are protected by the court. Everyone is guaranteed the right to challenge in court the decisions, actions or omission of bodies of state power, bodies of	Law on the Constitutional Court of Ukraine Article 13 The Constitutional Court of Ukraine adopts decisions and provides conclusions in cases concerning: 4. official interpretation of the Constitution and

State	Constitution	Laws
	local self-government, officials and officers.	laws of Ukraine.
	Everyone has the right to appeal for the	Article 42
	protection of his or her rights to the	The constitutional petition is a written petition to
	Authorised Human Rights Representative	the Constitutional Court of Ukraine on the
	of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine.	necessity of an official interpretation of the
	After exhausting all domestic legal	Constitution of Ukraine and the laws of Ukraine
	remedies, everyone has the right to appeal	in order to secure implementation or protecting
	for the protection of his or her rights and	the constitutional rights and freedoms of the
	freedoms to the relevant international	individual and citizen as well as the rights of a
	judicial institutions or to the relevant bodies	legal entity.
	of international organisations of which	The constitutional petition sets forth:
	Ukraine is a member or participant.	3. articles (their separate provisions) of the
	Everyone has the right to protect his or her	Constitution of Ukraine or the Law of Ukraine,
	rights and freedoms from violations and illegal encroachments by any means not	the interpretation of which will be made by the
	prohibited by law.	Constitutional Court of Ukraine; 4. rationale of the necessity of an official
	Article 150	interpretation of the statements of the
	The authority of the Constitutional Court of	Constitution of Ukraine or the laws of
	Ukraine comprises:	Ukraine:.[]
	2) the official interpretation of the	Article 43
	Constitution of Ukraine and the laws of	Subjects of the right to a constitutional petition
	Ukraine:	for providing opinion by the Constitutional Court
	,	of Ukraine in the cases foreseen by
		subsection 4 of Article 13 of this Law are the
		citizens of Ukraine, aliens, stateless persons
		and legal entities.
United		Human Rights Act 1998 ³¹⁵
Kingdom		4 Declaration of incompatibility
		(1) Subsection (2) applies in any proceedings in
		which a court determines whether a provision of
		primary legislation is compatible with a
		Convention right.
		(2) If the court is satisfied that the provision is
		incompatible with a Convention right, it may
		make a declaration of that incompatibility.
		(3) Subsection (4) applies in any proceedings in
		which a court determines whether a provision of
		subordinate legislation, made in the exercise of
		a power conferred by primary legislation, is compatible with a Convention right.
		(4) If the court is satisfied—
		(a) that the provision is incompatible with a
		Convention right, and
		(b) that (disregarding any possibility of
		revocation) the primary legislation concerned
		prevents removal of the incompatibility,
		it may make a declaration of that incompatibility.
		(6) A declaration under this section ("a
		declaration of incompatibility")—
		(a) does not affect the validity, continuing
		operation or enforcement of the provision in
		respect of which it is given; and
		(b) is not binding on the parties to the
		proceedings in which it is made.
		6 Acts of public authorities
		(1) It is unlawful for a public authority to act in a
		way which is incompatible with a Convention
		right.
		7 Proceedings
		(1) A person who claims that a public authority
		has acted (or proposes to act) in a way which is
		made unlawful by section 6(1) may—
		(a) bring proceedings against the authority

315 http://www.opsi.gov.uk/acts/acts1998/ukpga 19980042 en 1#pb2-l1g3

State	Constitution	Laws
		under this Act in the appropriate court or
		tribunal, or
		(b) rely on the Convention right or rights
		concerned in any legal proceedings,
		but only if he is (or would be) a victim of the
		unlawful act.
		(2) In subsection (1)(a) "appropriate court or tribunal" means such court or tribunal as may be
		determined in accordance with rules; and
		proceedings against an authority include a
		counterclaim or similar proceeding.
		(3) If the proceedings are brought on an
		application for judicial review, the applicant is to
		be taken to have a sufficient interest in relation
		to the unlawful act only if he is, or would be, a
		victim of that act.
		8 Judicial remedies
		(1) In relation to any act (or proposed act) of a
		public authority which the court finds is (or would be) unlawful, it may grant such relief or remedy,
		or make such order, within its powers as it
		considers just and appropriate.
United States		§ 1251 U.S. Code
of America		(a) The Supreme Court and all courts
		established by Act of Congress may issue all
		writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their
		respective jurisdictions and agreeable to the
		usages and principles of law.
		(b) An alternative writ or rule nisi may be issued
		by a justice or judge of a court which has jurisdiction.
		§1254 US Code ³¹⁶
		Cases in the courts of appeals may be reviewed
		by the Supreme Court by the following methods:
		(1) By writ of certiorari granted upon the petition
		of any party to any civil or criminal case, before
		or after rendition of judgment or decree;
		(2) By certification at any time by a court of
		appeals of any question of law in any civil or criminal case as to which instructions are
		desired, and upon such certification the
		Supreme Court may give binding instructions or
		require the entire record to be sent up for
		decision of the entire matter in controversy.
		U.S. Supreme Court Rules ³¹⁷
		Rule 10. Considerations Governing Review on
		Certiorari
		Review on a writ of <i>certiorari</i> is not a matter of
		right, but of judicial discretion. A petition for a writ of certiorari will be granted only for
		compelling reasons. The following, although
		neither controlling nor fully measuring the
		Court's discretion, indicate the character of the
		reasons the Court considers:
		(a) a United States court of appeals has entered
		a decision in conflict with the decision of another
		United States court of appeals on the same
		important matter; has decided an important
		federal question in a way that conflicts with a
		decision by a state court of last resort; or has so
L		far departed from the accepted and usual

³¹⁶ http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/28/1254.html http://www.supremecourtus.gov/ctrules/2007rulesofthecourt.pdf

State	Constitution	Laws
		course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of this Court's supervisory power; (b) a state court of last resort has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals; (c) a state court or a United States court of appeals has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled by this Court, or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of this Court. A petition for a writ of certiorari is rarely granted when the asserted error consists of erroneous factual findings or the misapplication of a properly stated rule of law. Rule 18. Appeal from a United States District Court 1. When a direct appeal from a decision of a United States district court is authorised by law, the appeal is commenced by filing a notice of appeal with the clerk of the district court within the time provided by law after entry of the judgment sought to be reviewed. Rule 20. Procedure on a Petition for an Extraordinary Writ 1. Issuance by the Court of an extraordinary writ authorised by 28 U. S. C. § 1651(a) is not a matter
Uruguay	Article 258 ³¹⁸ (p.t.) The declaration of unconstitutionality of a law and of the inapplicability of the acts affected by the law can be requested by every person who considers that his direct, personal and legitimate interest has been violated: 1. By entering an action before the Supreme Court of Justice. 2. Through an exception of unconstitutionality, which can be filed in any ordinary judicial proceeding.	of right, but of discretion sparingly exercised. General Code of Procedure (p.t.) ³¹⁹ Article 509 The declaration of unconstitutionality and the inapplicability of the provisions affected by the former may be requested 1°By everyone who considers that his personal, legitimate and direct interest has been violated. 2° Ex officio, by the tribunal that decides in any jurisdictional proceeding. The Supreme Court of Justice, in the matters brought before it, shall pronounce itself in its decision on the question of unconstitutionality.

318 Artículo 258.- La declaración de inconstitucionalidad de una ley y la inaplicabilidad de las disposiciones afectadas por aquélla, podrán solicitarse por todo aquel que se considere lesionado en su interés directo, personal y legítimo: 1°Por vía de acción, que deberá entablar ante la S uprema Corte de Justicia.

El Juez o Tribunal que entendiere en cualquier procedimiento judicial, o el Tribunal de lo Contencioso Administrativo, en su caso, también podrá solicitar de oficio la declaración de inconstitucionalidad de una ley y su inaplicabilidad, antes de dictar resolución.

En este caso y en el previsto por el numeral 2º), se suspenderán los procedimientos, elevándose las actuaciones a la Suprema Corte de Justicia.

http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/Portadas/SitioConcursosCSS/downloads/Constitucion_2004.pdf ³¹⁹Artículo 509.

Titulares de la solicitud. La declaración de inconstitucionalidad y la inaplicabilidad de las disposiciones afectadas por aquélla, podrán ser solicitadas. 1º Por todo aquél que se considere lesionado en su interés directo, personal y legítimo. 2º De oficio, por el tribunal que entendi ere en cualquier procedimiento jurisdiccional. La Suprema Corte de Justicia, en los asuntos que se tramiten ante ellas, se pronunciará en la sentencia sobre la cuestión de inconstitucionalidad.

Artículo 510

Cuando la declaración de inconstitucionalidad se solicitare por las personas a que se refiere el numeral 1° del artículo anterior podrá ser promovida: 1° Por vía de acción, cuando no existiere procedimiento jurisdiccional pendiente. En este caso, deberá interponerse directamente ante la Suprema Corte de Justicia. 2° Por vía de excepción o defensa, que deberá oponerse ante el tribunal que estuviere conociendo en dicho procedimiento. <a href="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?"https://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?"https://www.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?ley=15982&Anchor="https://www.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?ley=15982&Anch

²º Por vía de excepción, que podrá oponer en cualqu ier procedimiento judicial.

State	Constitution	Laws
	The Judge or Tribunal that cognises in any ordinary judicial proceeding, or the Tribunal of Administrative Disputes, within their jurisdiction and before administering justice, may request ex officio the declaration of unconstitutionality and inapplicability of a law. In this case and in the case of number 2, the proceedings are suspended and the proceeding is elevated to the Supreme Court of Justice.	Article 510 If the declaration of unconstitutionality is requested by the persons referred to in number 1 of the previous article, it can be put 1. Through an action, if there is no pending proceeding. In this case, it shall be lodged directly with the Supreme Court of Justice. 2. As an exception which shall be lodged before the tribunal that decides on the matter.

7 Bibliography

7.1.1 Venice Commission documents

<u>CDL-STD(1993)002</u>, H. Steinberger, Models of constitutional jurisdiction, Science and Technique of Democracy, No. 2,

<u>CDL-STD(1995)015</u>, The protection of fundamental rights by constitutional courts, Science and Technique of Democracy, No. 15

<u>CDL-INF(1996)010</u> Opinion on the draft law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Azerbaijan

<u>CDL(1997)018rev</u> Opinion on the law on the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, adopted at the 31st plenary meeting of the Commission

<u>CDL-JU(1997)015</u>, A. Mavčić, "Human rights protection with the help of the individual complaint" <u>CDL-AD (2001)005</u>, Opinion on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of Azerbaijan

CDL-INF(2001)009 Decisions of constitutional courts and equivalent bodies and their execution.

CDL-INF(2001)28 Interim Opinion on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Azerbaijan

<u>CDL-JU (2001)22</u>, G. Brunner, "Der Zugang des Einzelnen zur Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit im europäischen Raum", Report for the CoCoSem seminar in Zakopane, Poland, October 2001 CDL-STD(2003)037 Science and Technique of Democracy No 37 (2003)

<u>CDL-AD (2004)24</u> Opinion on the draft constitutional amendments with regard to the Constitutional Court of Turkey

<u>CDL-AD(2004)035</u> Opinion on the Draft Federal Constitutional Law "On Modifications and Amendments to the Federal Constitutional Law on the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation"

<u>CDL-JU(2004)017</u>, "The effects of the decisions of the Constitutional Court in relation to other jurisdictions", report for the Conference on the "Role of the Constitutional Court in the Maintenance of the Stability and Development of the Constitution", Moscow, 2004

<u>CDL-JU(2004)021</u>, I. Vida, "The obligatory force of decisions of the Constitutional Court for other courts as stabilising factor", report for the Conference on the "Role of the Constitutional Court in the Maintenance of the Stability and Development of the Constitution", Moscow, 2004

CDL-AD(2006)017 Opinion on the Amendments to the Law on the Constitutional Court of Armenia

CDL-AD(2007)020, Opinion on the possible reform of the Ombudsman institution in Kazakhstan CDL-AD(2007)039 Comments on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Serbia

CDL-AD(2008)030 Opinion on the Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of Montenegro

<u>CDL-AD (2008)029</u> Opinion on the draft laws amending and supplementing 1) the Law on Constitutional Proceedings and 2) the Law on the Constitutional Court of Kyrgyzstan

<u>CDL-JU(2008)012</u> The use of international instruments for protecting individual rights, freedoms and legitimate interests through national legislation and the right to legal defence in Belarus: challenges and outlook

<u>CDL-AD(2008)027</u> Amicus curiae brief in the cases of Sejdić and Finci v. Bosnia and Herzegovina (Applications no. 27996/06 and 34836/06) pending before the European Court of Human Rights

CDL-JU (2008)031 Systematic Thesaurus Version 20

CDL-JU(2008)032 W.-F. Rigaux, "Introduction of a Constitutional Review of Laws: Benefit, Purpose and Modalities", Report for the seminar on constitutional jurisdiction, Ramallah, 2008 CDL-JU(2008)040, P. Paczolay, "The Jurisdiction of the Hungarian Constitutional Court", report for the seminar "Models of constitutional jurisdiction", Ramallah, 2008

<u>CDL-JU(2009)001</u>, "Reflections on the Execution of Constitutional Court Decisions in a Democratic State under the Rule of Law on the Basis of the Constitutional Law Situation in the Federal Republic of Germany", Baku, 2008

<u>CDL-AD(2004)024</u>, Opinion on the draft constitutional amendments with regard to the Constitutional Court of Turkey

7.1.2 Books and articles

A. van Aaken, "Making International Human Rights Protection More Effective: A Rational-Choice Approach to the Effectiveness of lus Standi Provisions", Preprints of the Max Planck Institute for Research on Collective Goods Bonn 2005/16, Bonn, 2005, in: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=802424#, accessed 23 February 2009

R. Ben Achour, "Le contrôle de la constitutionnalité des lois: quelle procédure?", Actes du colloque international "L'effectivité des droits fondamentaux dans les pays de la communauté francophone ", Port-Louis (Île Maurice), 29-30 septembre, 1er octobre 1993, in: http://www.bibliotheque.refer.org/livre59/l5905.pdf, accessed 07 February 2009

A.R. Brewer-Carías, "Some aspects of the "amparo" proceeding in Latin America as a constitutional judicial mean specifically established for the protection of Human Rights", Paper for the Colloquium in International and Comparative Law, Baltimore, 2007, in: http://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1001&context=iclc_papers, accessed 25 February 2009

A. Cavari, "Between Law and Politics: Constitutional Review of Legislation" Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Law and Society Association, Renaissance Hotel, Chicago, Illinois, May 27, 2004, in: <a href="http://www.allacademic.com/one/www/www/index.php?cmd=www_search&offset=0&limit=5&multi_search_search_mode=publication&multi_search_publication_fulltext_mod=fulltext&textfield_submit=true&search_module=multi_search&search=Search&search_field=title_idx&fulltext_search=Between+Law+and+Politics%3A++Constitutional+Review+of+Legislation, accessed 4 May 2009

- S. Ř. Dürr, "Individual Access to Constitutional Court in European Transitional Countries", in: B. Fort (ed.), Democratising Access to Justice in Transitional Countries, Proceedings of the Workshop "Comparing Access to Justice in Asian and European Transitional Countries", Sang Choy International, Jakarta, 2006
- J.-F. Flauss, "Human Rights Act 1998: Kaléidoscope", in: Revue française de droit constitutionnel No 48 2001/4, P.U.F., Paris
- L. Garlicki, "Constitutional courts versus supreme courts", International Journal of Constitutional Law 2007 5(1), Oxford University Press, Oxford, in: http://icon.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/content/full/5/1/44#FN59#FN59, accessed 11 February 2009
- T. Ginsburg, "Comparative Constitutional Review", United States Institute for Peace Projects, http://www.usip.org/ruleoflaw/projects/tg_memo_on_constitutional_review.pdf, accessed 02 March 2009
- C. Gusy, "Die Verfassungsbeschwerde", Das Bundesverfassungsgericht im politischen System, VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden, 2006
- C. Hönnige, "Verfassungsgerichte in West- und Osteuropa", in: Verfassungsgericht, Regierung und Opposition, Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden, 2007
- M. Kau, Bundesverfassungsgericht und US Supreme Court: Die Bedeutung des United States Supreme Court für die Errichtung und Fortentwicklung des Bundesverfassungsgerichts, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2007
- G. Kucsko-Stadlmayer, "The Competences of European Ombudspersons Description and Analysis of the Status Quo", in: http://www.ioi-europe.org/index2.html
- H. Hyun Lee, Rapporteur Report for the Asian Constitutional Courts, in: http://www.venice.coe.int/WCCJ/Papers/KOR Kong%20Hyun%20Lee3 E.pdf, accessed 10 March 2009

H. Nogueira Alcala, "El recurso de proteccion en Chile", Anuario iberoamericano de justicia constitucional, no. 3, 1999, Madrid, 1999, in:

http://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=1976169, accessed 25 February 2009

J. Marko, "Five Years of Constitutional Jurisprudence in Bosnia and Herzegovina: A First Balance", European Diversity and Autonomy Papers- EDAP (2004), 7, in: http://www.eurac.edu/documents/edap/2004_edap07.pdf, accessed 3 June 2009

A. Mavčič, Konstitucionnaja žalova v Slovenii : vystuplenie zavedujuščego otdela meždunarodnyh svjazej Konstitucionnogo suda Respubliki Slovenija Arne Mavčiča. Azarbaycan Respublikasi Konstitusiya Mahkamasinin melumati, 2004, 1, p. 97-99. [COBISS.SI-ID 239103]
A. Mavčič, Konstitucionnyj kontrol v Respublike Slovenija. Evropejskie pravovye kul'tury, 2002, 1, No. 1, p. 441-463. [COBISS.SI-ID 197887]

A. Mavčič, *La aceptación de un moderno sistema de protección de derechos humanos por la justicia constitucional en Eslovenia*. Revista iberoamericana de derecho procesal constitucional, 2007, No. 7, p. 181-197. [COBISS.SI-ID <u>305663</u>]

A. Mavčič, *Slovenian constitutional review : its position in the world and its role in the transition to a new democratic system.* Ljubljana: Nova revija, 1995. p. 235, [18] p. appendix. ISBN 961-6017-25-X. [COBISS.SI-ID 55585280]

A. Mavčič, *Sloveniya Respublikasi konstitusiya mahkamasinin analitik va beynalxalq amakdaşliq idarasinin rahbari.* Azarbaycan Respublikasi Konstitusiya Mahkamasinin melumati, 2003, 1, p. 97-106. [COBISS.SI-ID 190207]

A. Mavčič, Sloveniyada konstitusiza şikayati : Sloveniya Respublikasinin konstitusiya mahkamasinda beynalxalq alaqualar şöbasinin müdiri Arne Mavçiçin çixiş. Azarbaycan Respublikasi Konstitusiya Mahkamasinin melumati, 2004, 1, p. 69-71. [COBISS.SI-ID <u>239359</u>] A. Mavčič, *The Constitutional Law of Slovenia (International Encyclopaedia of Laws)*, The Hague, London, Boston, 1998.

A. Mavčič, *The constitutional review*. The Netherlands: BookWorld Publications, cop. 2001. p. 240, ilustr., zvd. ISBN 90-75228-18-X. [COBISS.SI-ID <u>152063</u>]

A. Mavčič, *The Protection of Fundamental Rights by the Constitutional Court and the Practice of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia*, The Protection of Fundamental Rights by the Constitutional Courts. Proceedings of the UniDem Seminar, Brioni/Croatia, on 23-25 September 1995, European Commission for Democracy through Law, Collection Science and Technique of Democracy, No. 15, Council of Europe Publishing, 1996, p. 204-231

A. Mavčič, *The Republic of Slovenia*. V: KORTMANN, C. A. J. M. (ur.), FLEUREN, J. W. A. (ur.), VOERMANS, Wim (ur.), KINDLOVÁ, Miluše. Constitutional law of 10 EU member states: the 2004 enlargement. Deventer: Kluwer, 2006, p. X/1-X/60. [COBISS.SI-ID 300287]

A. Mavčič, *The Slovenian Constitutional Court and its activities during the period of transition.* Revue de justice constitutionnelle Est-Européenne, 2001, 2, p. 229-251. [COBISS.SI-ID 155391]

A. Mavčič, *The Slovenian constitutional review : an exception among systems and experiences in the new democracies.* Journal Constitutional Law Eastern Central Europe, 1997, vol. 4, No. 2, p. 169-214. [COBISS.SI-ID 74751]

A. Mavčič, *The specialities of Slovenian constitutional review as compared with the current systems of such review in the new democracies.* V: DE VERGOTTINI, Giuseppe (ur.). Giustizia constituzionale e sviluppo democratico nei paesi dell'Europa centro-orientale, (CISR, 21). Torino: G. Giappichelli, cop. 2001, p. 161-188. [COBISS.SI-ID <u>97791</u>]

A. Mavčič, Verfahrensarten, *Entscheidungswirkungen und die einstweilige Anordnung in der slowenischen Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit.* V: FROWEIN, Jochen Abr. (ur.), MARAUHN, Thilo (ur.). Grundfragen der Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit in Mittel- und Osteuropa, (Beiträge zum ausländischen öffentlichen Recht und Völkerrecht, Bd. 130). Berlin [etc.]: Springer, cop. 1998, p. 427-440. [COBISS.SI-ID 74495]

A. Mavčič, *Zakon o ustavnem sodišču s pojasnili*, (Zbirka Nomos). Ljubljana: Nova revija, 2000. p. 426 ISBN 961-6352-06-7. [COBISS.SI-ID <u>106511360</u>]

C. Paraskeva, "Human Rights Protection Begins and Ends at Home: The 'Pilot Judgment Procedure' Developed by the European Court of Human Rights", in: http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/shared/shared/shared/prob/Paraskeva.pdf, accessed 5 June 2009

- P. Pernthaler, Allgemeine Staatslehre und Verfassungslehre, 2nd rev. ed., Springer Verlag, Vienna, 1996
- X. Philippe, "Le contrôle de constitutionnalité des droits fondamentaux dans les pays européens", Actes du colloque international "L'effectivité des droits fondamentaux dans les pays de la communauté francophone ", Port-Louis (Île Maurice), 29-30 septembre, 1er octobre 1993
- X. Samuel, "Les réserves d'interprétation émises par le Conseil constitutionnel", in: http://www.conseil-constitutionnel.fr/conseil-

constitutionnel/root/bank_mm/pdf/Conseil/reserves.pdf, accessed 4 June 2009

- D.H.Scheuing, "Allemagne", Table Ronde: Justice constitutionnelle; justice ordinaire; justice supranationale: A qui revient la protection des droits fondamentaux en Europe? Annuaire de justice constitutionnelle, 2004, Presses universitaires d'Aix-Marseille
- H. Schwartz, The Struggle for Constitutional Justice in Post-Communist Europe, Chicago University Press, Chicago, 2000
- I. Spirovski, "Constitutional Validity of Human Rights Treaties in the Republic of Macedonia: The Norms and the Courts", Report for the World Conference on Constitutional Justice, in: http://www.venice.coe.int/WCCJ/Papers/MKD Spirovski E.pdf, accessed 3 June 2009

7.1.3 Institutional documents

General Report, XIIth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, (A. Alen, M. Melchior), Brussels, 2002, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/en/common/home.html, accessed 23 February 2009

Bericht des Schweizerischen Bundesgericht für die VII. Konferenz der europäischen Verfassungsgerichte, in: http://www.confcoconsteu.org/reports/Zwitserland-DE.pdf, accessed 2 June 2009

- R. Jaeger, S. Broß, "Die Beziehungen zwischen den Verfassungsgerichtshöfen und den übrigen einzelstaatlichen Rechtsprechungsorganen, einschließlich der diesbezüglichen Interferenz des Handelns der europäischen Rechtsprechungsorgane", report for the XIIth Conference of European Constitutional Courts
- G. Kucsko-Stadlmayer, "Die Beziehungen zwischen den Verfassungsgerichtshöfen und den übrigen einzelstaatlichen Rechtsprechungsorganen, einschließlich der diesbezüglichen Interferenz des Handelns der europäischen Rechtsprechungsorgane", report for the XIIth Conference of European Constitutional Courts, 2002
- H. Wille, National report for the XIVth Conference of European Constitutional Courts, in: http://www.lrkt.lt/conference/Pranesimai/Q_Liechtenstein_D.doc#_Toc198870236

General Report, XIVth Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, Vilnius in: http://www.lrkt.lt/conference/Pranesimai/XIV%20Congress%20General%20Report_LT.doc, accessed 25 March 2009

L. Csink, P. Paczolay, "Problem of legislative ommission (sic) in constitutional jurisprudence", Hungarian National Report for the 14th Conference of Constitutional Courts, Vilnius, 2008 in: http://www.lrkt.lt/conference/Pranesimai/omissionHUN_en.doc, accessed 02 March 2009

7.1.4 Information from the Constitutional or Supreme Courts' home pages

Canada

http://www.scc-csc.gc.ca., accessed 1 October 2009

Cyprus

http://www.supremecourt.gov.cy/judicial/sc.nsf/DMLfaq_en/DMLfaq_en?OpenDocument, accessed 4 June2009

Germany

Merkblatt über die Verfassungsbeschwerde zum Bundesverfassungsgericht, in:

http://www.bundesverfassungsgericht.de/organisation/vb_merkblatt.html, accessed 8 June 2009 Ireland

http://www.supremecourt.ie/supremecourt/sclibrary3.nsf/pagecurrent/9034466B2045E5EC8025

743200511625?opendocument&l=en, accessed 09 April 2009

United States of America

"The Court and Constitutional Interpretation", in:

http://www.supremecourtus.gov/about/constitutional.pdf, accessed 04 May 2009

7.1.5 Legal texts if not from CODICES

Argentina

Law on The Organisation of the National Judiciary

http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/115000-119999/116333/norma.htm

Law on Public Defender http://www.defensor.gov.ar/institucion/ley-sp.htm

Brazil

Constitution http://www.v-brazil.com/government/laws/constitution.html

Canada

Law on the Supreme Court http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/S-26/text.html

http://www.er.ugam.ca/nobel/r31400/jur2515/ndecours/jur2515chap7-2007.pdf, accessed 1

October 2009

Chile

Constitution http://www.gobiernodechile.cl/viewEstado.aspx?idArticulo=24065,

https://www.presidencia.cl/documentos/Constituci%F3n%20Pol%EDtica.pdf

Autonomous rule of the Supreme Court on the implementation of the recurso de protección

http://www.justicia.cl/documentos/docs_auto1.html,

http://www.minsal.cl/juridico/CIRCULAR 35 07.doc

Economic Amparo .

http://www.cecoch.cl/htm/revista/docs/estudiosconst/5n_2_5_2007/7_el_recurso_economico.pd

Information on Ombudsperson http://www.ombudsman.cl/pdf/informe2-ddhh.pdf

Estonia

Law on Ombudsperson http://www.legaltext.ee/text/en/X30041K6.htm

France

Constitution http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/html/constitution/constitution2.htm

Greece

Law on Ombudsperson http://www.synigoros.gr/en law.htm

Israel

Basic Laws http://www.knesset.gov.il/laws/special/eng/basic8_eng.htm

Law on Ombudsperson http://www.justice.gov.il/NR/rdonlyres/5E97F18F-9224-4B99-BBBC-676C4B50A682/0/law.pdf

Mexico

Law on amparo http://info4.juridicas.unam.mx/ijure/fed/19/91.htm?s=, accessed 17 March 2009

Organic Law on the Judicial Power of the Federation

http://www.scjn.gob.mx/NR/exeres/6CAFC6D1-5EF0-4069-9EFD-

82342B9084F6,frameless.htm

Moldova

Law on Ombudsperson http://www.constcourt.md/index en.html

Monaco

Constitution http://www.conseil-national.mc/constitution.php

Decree n; 2.984 on the organisation and functioning of the Supreme Tribunal

http://www.legimonaco.mc/305//legismc.nsf

Montenegro

CDL(2008)073 Draft Law on the Constitutional Court of Montenegro

Peru

Constitution http://www.tc.gob.pe/legconperu/constitucion.html

Organic Law on the Judicial Power http://www.tc.gob.pe//Codigo Procesal.pdf

Law on amparo and habeas corpus http://turan.uc3m.es/uc3m/inst/MGP/JCI/02-peru-leyhabeascorpusyamparo.htm

Romania

Law on Ombudsperson http://www.avp.ro/indexen.html

San Marino

Law N.21 of 11 March 1981

http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/ricercaleggi/vislegge.php3?action=visTestoLegge 1&idlegge=6175&twidth=580&=

Qualified Law of 25 April 2003 http://www.consigliograndeegenerale.sm/new/index.php3 Slovakia

http://www.vop.gov.sk/act-on-the-public-defender-of-rights

Switzerland

Federal Judicature Act http://www.admin.ch/ch/d/sr/1/173.110.de.pdf

"The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"

http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/type,LEGISLATION,,MKD,3fcb36dc4,0.html

United Kingdom

Human Rights Act 1998 http://www.opsi.gov.uk/acts/acts1998/ukpga 19980042 en 1#pb2-l1g3

Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1967

http://www.opsi.gov.uk/RevisedStatutes/Acts/ukpga/1967/cukpga 19670013 en 1

United States of America

U.S. Code http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/28/1254.html

Supreme Court Rules http://www.supremecourtus.gov/ctrules/2007rulesofthecourt.pdf Uruguay

Constitution http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/constituciones/const004.htm

General Code of Procedure

http://www.parlamento.gub.uy/leyes/AccesoTextoLey.asp?Ley=15982&Anchor=